# EFFECT OF THE COMBINATION BETWEEN ORGANIC AND MINERAL NITROGEN ALONG WITH OR WITHOUT BIOFERTILIZERS AND YEAST EXTRACT ON POTATO GROWTH AND PRODUCTIVITY

N. M. Malash<sup>(1)</sup>, M. A. Fattah Allah<sup>(1)</sup>, F. A. Aly<sup>(1)</sup> and Nahla M. Morsy<sup>(2)</sup>

(1) Department of Hoticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Minufia University, Egypt.

(2) Environmental Studies & Research Institute, El-Sadat City University, Egypt.

(Received: Nov. 11, 2013)

ABSTRACT: This field experiment was carried out on potato, Solanum tuberosum L., cv. Sponta, at the Experimental Farm of the Environmental Studies & Research Institute in El-Sadat city, Minufiya University, Egypt, during the summer seasons of 2009 and 2010 in sandy loam soil. The study aimed to investigate the effect of organic/mineral nitrogen combination with or without biofertilizer inoculation and with or without foliar application by yeast extract on growth, yield and tuber quality of potato. The treatments used were 150 kg mineral-N /feddan as ammonium nitrate, 100 kg mineral-N + 50 kg organic-N as chicken manure, 75 kg mineral-N + 75 kg organic-N, 50 kg mineral-N + 100 kg organic-N and 150 kg/feddan organic-N with or without biofertilizer "N-free living bacteria (Azotobacter and Azospirillium) and a phosphate dissolving bacteria (Bacillus megatherium)" and with or without foliar application by yeast extract (50 ml/l).

Results showed that using 75 kg mineral-N + 75 kg organic-N, enhanced plant growth, increased yield and improved tuber quality than other organic-mineral N combination. Also, inoculating tubers with biofertilizer gave good results than no biofertilizer added. Also, sprayed potato plants with yeast extract gave good results (in most cases) in terms of vigor growth, higher yield and tuber quality as compared with those untreated. Therefore, using 75 Kg mineral-N + 75 Kg organic-N /feddan combined with biofertilizer and spray with yeast extract treatment gave the highest values of growth parameters and increased total yield with the best tuber quality as compared with all other used treatments. This increase in yield as a result of using this particular treatment reached 26.66 and 30.15 % as an average in both seasons for yield per plant and per feddan, respectively, as compared with plants supplied with 150 kg mineral-N without biofertilizer or spraying with yeast extract (control).

**Key words:** Organic/mineral N combination, chicken manure, yeast extract, biofertilizer, potato tuber

#### INTRODUCTION

Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) is one of the most important solanaceous vegetable crops grown in Egypt. Its tubers are rich in carbohydrates and contain considerable amounts of proteins, vitamins and minerals (Singh and Kaur, 2009). According to the recorded data obtained from the department of Agricultural Economics and Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture and Reclamation, the cultivated area of potato in 2009 reached about 329.721 feddans, which yielded 3.659.284 tons of tubers with an average of about 11.098 tons per feddan.

The production of high and safe for human nutrition crop yield require that the

soil must have favorable physical, chemical nutritional and biological conditions. Beside its benefit in reducing the hazard effect of mineral fertilization on human health, it was mentioned that organic nitrogen treatment as well as biofertilizer inoculation led to improve root and plant growth parameters. In addition, organic nitrogen and biofertilizer have beneficial effect of increasing population of soil microorganisms especially in the surface layer at root rhizosphere, that produce substances, which stimulate plant growth (Awad, et al., 1993). Many workers emphasized the beneficial role of organic manures incorporated with biofertilizer to stimulate plant growth, yield of vegetables

among them Abou-Hussein, et al. (2002) on potatoes; El-Araby (2004), El-Gamal and Hammed (2005); Hamed (2008) on Jerusalem artichoke and Agbede (2010) on sweet potato.

Yeast extract is a natural bio-substance suggested to have stimulating, nutritional and protective functions when used on vegetables. Foliar application of yeast was found to increase growth, vield and quality of many vegetable crops (Abou El-Nasr et al., 2001; Kabeel et al., 2005; Fawzy, 2007 and Ahmed et al. 2011). In this connection, yeasts have been reported to be enriched phytohormones (especially οf cytokinins), vitamins, enzymes, amino acids and minerals (Barnett et al., 1990; Fathy and Farid, 1996; Khedr and Farid, 2002). It was also reported about its stimulatory effects on cell division and enlargement, protein and nucleic acid synthesis and chlorophyll formation (Kraig and Haber, 1980; and Castelfranco and Beale, 1983).

The objective of this experiment is to study the effect of replacing mineral fertilization which is common in the Egyptian agriculture. by organic source and biofertilizers potato plants. on Such replacing, even in part, may reduce mineral accumulation, particularly nitrate, in the tuber and reduce pollution of the environment.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Field experiment was carried out at the Experimental Farm of the Environmental Studies & Research Institute, El-Sadat City University, Egypt, during the two successive seasons of 2009 and 2010. This study was carried out to investigate the effect of systems different N-fertilizer i.e., the combination between mineral-N (as ammonium nitrate) and organic-N (as chicken manure) in different ratios, the effect of biofertiliztion (a mixture of N-free fixing bacteria, P-dissolving bacteria) and yeast extract on growth and productivity of potatos (Solanum tuberosum L cv. Sponta) grown under sandy loam soil and drip irrigation system.

The treatments contained three factors: (1) N fertilizer systems contained five

combinations between two N sources i.e., as mineral fertilizer and organic manure as follows: 150 kg/feddan mineral-N ammonium nitrate (33.5 % N), 100 kg mineral-N + 50 Kg organic-N, 75 kg mineral-N + 75 kg organic-N, 50 kg mineral-N + 100 kg organic-N and 150 kg/feddan organic-N as chicken manure. (2) Biofertilization in two treatments: without biofertilizer addition or with biofertilizer. Biofertilizer solution was containing 500 ml of N<sub>2</sub>- fixing free living bacterial cultures (Azotobacter chroococcum and Azospirillium lipoferum) and 500 ml of dissolving bacterial phosphate culture (Bacillus megaterium) and (3) Foliar application with yeast extract; contained two treatments: without foliar application or with foliar application. Inoculants of biofertilizer and yeast extract were prepared in the Botany Department (Microbiology Branch), Faculty of Agriculture, Benha University, Egypt. Yeast extract sprayed at rates of 50 ml/l and it was applied six times at 7 days intervals starting from 6 weeks after planting. Analysis of prepared yeast stock solution was: total protein (5.3%), total carbohydrates (4.7%), N (1.2%), P (0.13%), K (0.3%), Mg (0.013%), Ca (0.02%), Na (0.01%); micro-elements (ppm), Fe (0.13), Mn (0.07), Zn (0.04), Cu (.04), B (0.016), Mo (0.0003), IAA (0.5 mg/ml) and GA (0.3 mg/ml) according to Fathy et al. (2000). Plot size was  $10.5 \text{ m}^2$  (5x2.1 m) with 25 cm between plants and included 3-ridges each of 70 cm wide and 5.0 m long, each plot contained 60 plants.

The experimental design was a split-split plot design with 3 replicates. The combinations between N sources, biofertilizers and yeast extract applications were assigned at main plots, sub plots and sub-sub plots, respectively.

Certified potato tubers of cv. Sponta were obtained from the General Authority for Producers and Exporters of Horticulture Crops, Cairo, Egypt.

Chicken manure which was obtained from a chicken farm in Moshtohor village, Qalubia, Egypt, containing 2.95 %N, 0.94 %  $P_2O_5$ , 0.95 %  $K_2O$  and 38.15 % organic matter (O.M.) as an average in both seasons. Tubers were planted in the field on

28 of January in each season. Tuber, inoculation with biofertilizers was done by dipping them for 10 minutes in a suspension biofertilizers before the planting. Ammonium nitrate (33.5 % N) was applied six times according to the recommendation of Ministry of Agriculture. The super phosphate fertilizer (16% P2O5) was added as one dose at soil preparation at a rate of 75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> / fed. before planting. Potassium sulphate (48 % K<sub>2</sub>O) was added 3 times at 40, 50 and 60 days after planting at a total rate of 150 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed. All the experimental plots received the same amount of water, using drip irrigation system; the used lines of irrigation were of model GR 16 mm and the flow rate of drippers was 2I / hour. Water pressure 1.5 bar when all lines were opened.

The plants were sprayed 3 times with Fe, Zn, and Mn EDTA at 55, 65 and 75 days after planting in the two seasons. Physical and chemical properties of the soil are presented in Table 1.

Four plants from each plot were randomly taken to measure vegetative growth parameters "plant height, number of stems/plant and fresh and dry weight" of entire plant at 90 days after planting, plant samples were oven-dried at 70°C then ground and kept for analysis. Leaf area was recorded as cm<sup>2</sup> per plant using a digital leaf area meter (L1-300 portable area meter produced by L1-COR, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA). Plants were harvested at 110 days after planting and tuber yield was recorded per plant and per feddan. Specific gravity, it was estimated by Haase (2003), tuber dry matter content was determined by oven dried sample at 70°C for 72 hours using the standard methods as illustrated by A.O.A.C. (1990). Tuber size were measured by

calibrating the water volume displaced by immersing the tuber in graduated jar. Starch, reducing, non-reducing and total sugars were determined contents in tubers according to the method in A.O.A.C. (1990). Nitrate content was determined according to the method described by Cataldo et al. (1975). All obtained data from the first and the second seasons were recorded on plot basis and statistically analyzed according to a split-split plot design. Duncan's Multiple Range Test at 5% level was used to compare between treatment means. All the obtained data were subjected to statistical analysis of variance according to the procedure outlined by Gomez and Gomez (1984). MSTAT-C program (1988) was used for statistical computations.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Vegetative growth

Data presented in Tables 2 & 3 show that, the plants which were fertilized with 75 kg mineral-N + 75 kg organic-N gave in general the highest values of plant height, leaf area, fresh and dry weights as compared with those produced by other treatments in both seasons. However, number of stems was not significantly affected by N fertilization regime only in 2009 season. This result is in harmony with Kate *et al.* (2005) on potato who found that mineral + organic fertilizer together gave the best vegetative growth over than when each N form (mineral or organic) applied alone.

Also, in spite of biofertilizers which did not gave a distinct effect on vegetative growth of potato plants, yeast extract, on the other hand had a favourable effect in most cases on such growth parameters (Tables, 2 & 3).

Table (1): Physical properties and chemical analysis of the experimental soils:

Table (1): 1 Hydrodi properties and enemical and						, old of the experimental donor				
	Mechanic	al analysi	analysis Texture			Hq	EC. dS/m	CaCO3	O.M.%	
Sand%	Silt%	Clay%	, o	rexture			EC. US/III	Cacos	O.1VI. 70	
70.0	26.0	4.0		Sandy loam			6.00		0.80	
	Chemical analysis									
	Cations (meq/L)				Anions (meq/L)					
N <sup>+</sup>	P⁺	Ca <sup>⁺⁺</sup>	Mg <sup>++</sup>	Na <sup>⁺</sup>	K⁺	∞₃⁻	HCO <sup>-</sup> 3	CL <sup>-</sup>	SO <sub>4</sub>	
Traces	0.40	53.75	23.75	17.1	2.16	Zero	8.0	68.0	20.76	

Table (2): Vegetative growth of potato plants (at 90 days after planting) as affected by the interaction among organic-mineral N combinations, biofertilizer and yeast

foliar application treatments during the summer season of 2009.

		Characters	Plant	Number of	Leaf/area	Fresh weight	Dry weight
		Treatments	height cm	stems/plant	cm <sup>2</sup> /plant	g/plant	g/plant
Z _	Without	Without yeast extract	51.43 F	2.233 A	1470.0 CDEFGH	221.2 EFG	24.23 EF
50 kg mineral-N	biofertilizer	With yeast extract	59.40 AB	2.567 A	1592.0 CDEFG	280.9 B	30.47 ABC
) kg m	With	Without yeast extract	51.47 F	2.233 A	1540.0 CDEFGH	235.7 CDEF	24.17 EF
150	biofertilizer	With yeast extract	54.37 DE	2.367 A	1661.0 BCDEFG	245.8 BCDE	26.30 DE
Z Z	Without	Without yeast extract	53.77 E	2.233 A	1251.0 DEFGH	221.8 EFG	23.37 F
mineral-N organic-N	biofertilizer	With yeast extract	54.43 DE	2.500 A	1781.0 BCDEF	264.1 BCD	28.30 CD
<u> </u>	With	Without yeast extract	51.43 F	2.267 A	1426.0 CDEFGH	233.2 DEF	24.27 EF
100 + 50	biofertilizer	With yeast extract	56.47 CD	2.333 A	2561.0 A	271.5 BC	28.27 CD
+ 	Without	Without yeast extract	57.20 BC	2.500 A	1892.0 BCDE	277.6 B	29.37 BC
75 kg mineral-N · 75 kg organic-N	biofertilizer	With yeast extract	58.20 ABC	2.500 A	1970.0 BCD	274.2 B	29.30 BC
kg mir kg or	With	Without yeast extract	59.20 AB	2.567 A	2056.0 BC	321.3 A	31.33 AB
75 k 75	biofertilizer	With yeast extract	60.43 A	2.667 A	2342.0 AB	324.2 A	32.40 A
+ Z	Without	Without yeast extract	40.40 GH	2.233 A	1036.0 FGH	203.3 FG	18.20 HI
neral-l rganic	biofertilizer	With yeast extract	42.37 G	2.267 A	1061.0 FGH	209.4 EFG	22.27 FG
50 kg mineral-N + 100 kg organic-N	With	Without yeast extract	42.43 G	2.333 A	1122.0 FGH	213.0 EFG	22.30 FG
50 l	biofertilizer	With yeast extract	42.33 G	2.267 A	1180.0 EFGH	188.0 GH	20.17 GH
Z _	Without	Without yeast extract	37.27 IJ	2.233 A	1006.0 GH	162.2 H	15.33 J
iinera	biofertilizer	With yeast extract	39.37 HI	2.233 A	1016.0 GH	166.2 H	17.30 IJ
50 kg mineral-N	With	Without yeast extract	35.37 J	2.167 A	696.6 GH	162.6 H	15.23 IJ
150	biofertilizer	With yeast extract	36.40 J	2.167 A	985.9 GH	163.7 H	16.30 IJ

Table (3): Vegetative growth of potato plants (at 90 days after planting) as affected by the interaction among organic-mineral N combinations, biofertilizer and yeast foliar application treatments during the summer season of 2010.

		liar application t	Plant	Number of	l	Fresh	
Tre	eatments	Characters	height cm	stems/plant	Leaf area cm²/plant	weight g/plant	Dry weight g/plant
z	Without biofertilize r	Without yeast extract	52.23 H	2.200 E	1492.0 CDEFGH	221.5 J	23.83 DE
150 Kg mineral-N	Witl biofe	With yeast extract	60.07 B	2.300 CDE	1622.0 CDEFG	282.0 B	29.90 B
50 Kg r	With biofertilize r	Without yeast extract	52.27 H	2.667 ABCD	1562.0 CDEFGH	238.0 G	24.83 D
	W biofe	With yeast extract	55.13 G	2.267 DE	1672.0 BCDEFG	248.4 F	27.00 C
+ 50	Without biofertilize r	Without yeast extract	51.11 I	2.167 E	1272.0 DEFGH	225.8 I	24.00 DE
100 Kg mineral-N + Kg organic-N	Witl biofe	With yeast extract	55.30 F	2.833 A	1811.0 BCDEF	263.9 E	27.73 C
Kg mir Kg org	With biofertilize r	Without yeast extract	52.12 H	2.667 ABCD	1435.0 CDEFGH	233.3 H	25.00 D
100	W biofe	With yeast extract	57.27 E	2.233 DE	2582.0 A	275.2 D	27.77 C
75 Kg	Without biofertilize r	Without yeast extract	57.94 D	2.367 BCDE	1912.0 BCDE	279.2 C	29.97 B
75 Kg mineral-N + 75 Kg organic-N	Witl biofe	With yeast extract	58.87 C	2.333 BCDE	1982.0 BCD	276.7 CD	30.00 B
g miner orga	With biofertilize r	Without yeast extract	59.98 B	2.767 AB	2089.0 BC	355.6 A	30.83 AB
75 K	W biofe	With yeast extract	61.14 A	2.433 ABCDE	2372.0 AB	358.0 A	31.80 A
100	Without biofertilize r	Without yeast extract	41.23 K	2.667 ABCD	1060.0 FGH	172.5 N	19.00 G
50 Kg mineral-N + Kg organic-N	Witl biofe	With yeast extract	43.21 J	2.167 E	1096.0 FGH	210.1 L	22.93 E
kg org	With biofertilize r	Without yeast extract	43.34 J	2.733 ABC	1152.0 FGH	215.2 K	21.73 F
50 1	W biofe	With yeast extract	43.29 J	2.200 E	1192.0 EFGH	188.2 M	20.93 F
z	Without biofertilize r	Without yeast extract	38.11 M	2.167 E	1026.0 GH	165.3 O	16.00 I
rganic-	With biofe	With yeast extract	40.16 L	2.200 E	1048.0 GH	166.0 O	17.90 H
50 Kg organic-N	With biofertilize r	Without yeast extract	36.25 O	2.133 E	819.6 H	165.6 O	14.83 J
<u> </u>	W biofe	With yeast extract	37.30 N	2.100 E	1005. GH	167.0 O	15.77 IJ

However, the highest values of plant height, leaf area and fresh and dry weight of potato plants (at 90 days after planting) in both seasons, were obtained when plants received 75 kg N organic + 75 kg N mineral, biofertilized and sprayed with yeast extract. It seems from such results that the condition in which N in mineral form was equal to that in organic gave the optimal growth and regeneration of microorganisms in the soil. Since the free living nitrogen fixing bacteria have the ability not only to fix nitrogen but also to release certain phytohormons of nature GA3 and IAA which could stimulate plant growth, absorption of nutrients and photosynthesis process (Abd El-Latif et al., 2001).

In general, increasing organic-N ratio in the fertilizer combinations than 50% (75 kg N) in the expense of mineral-N, tended to decrease significantly growth parameters. However, increasing mineral-N ratio over 50% (75 kg N) in such combination show only slight decrease (significant in few cases) in plant growth, this may suggests that organic-N might not exceed 50% of N in the organic- mineral N combination (Tables 2 and 3).

However, using yeast extracts as foliar application gave in most cases higher values of plant growth parameters than those un-sprayed. The beneficial effect of yeast extract on the growth parameter of potato plants may be due to that yeast as a natural source for cytocinins had stimulatory effects on cell division and enlargement, protein and nucleic acid synthesis and chlorophylls formation (Spencer et al., 1983). Also, yeast was found to contain carbohydrate, amino acids and lipids as well as several vitamins and some nutritional element i.e., Na, Ca, Fe, Mg, K, P, S, Zn and Si (Shady, 1978 and Nagodawithana, 1991).

#### Total yield and its components

It seems from Table 4 that treatment which received 75 kg N/fed. in mineral form with 75 kg N/fed. in organic form, particularly treated with biofertilizer gave the heaviest tuber weight and hiefgest total yield per plant and per fed.

It is also observed that the effect of biofertilizers in enhancing yield of all treatments, unlike its effect on plant growth, was more pronounced. This observation may suggests that the favourable effect of biofertilizers may appear late in the growing season. Also, plants that sprayed with yeast extract gave higher tuber weight and tuber yield than those did not spray with yeast regardless N fertilization treatments (Table, 4).

Also, data presented in Table 4 indicate that increasing the ratio of either organic or mineral N in the fertilizer combination over 50% of both resulted in decreasing average tuber weight and yield/plant and per feddan, but the reduction in yield was more pronounced when the ratio of organic N increased.

Thus the significant lowest values of average tuber weight and total yield were observed when all N dose was added in organic form (Table 4).

The presence of mineral nitrogen with organic one in 50/50 % ratio achieve the most efficient decomposition of organic matter which maintain slow but constant release of mineral nutrition in root zone (Tisdale and Nelson, 1975). Such conditions may provide good media for the optimum activity of biofertilizer and thus increases soil fertility (Marschner, 1997 and Havlin *et al.* 1999). Accordingly, the highest tuber weight and yield of potato was recorded to the treatment received 75 kg N in mineral form with 75 kg/fed. N in organic form and treated by both biofertilizers and yeast extract.

#### **Tuber physical characteristics**

It is clear from data presented in Table 5 that treatment received 50% of total nitrogen in organic and other 50% in mineral forms gave, the highest values of tuber, size and matter content particularly with biofertilizer treatment, combined regardless the effect of yeast extract application. However, there was a tendency that such N fertilization treatment (50 % N organic /50% N mineral) increased specific gravity, but the recent treatments, in general, failed to show a clear trend regarding this character (Table 5).

Table (4): Tuber yield and its components of potato plants (at 110 days after planting) as affected by the interaction among organic-mineral N combinations, biofertilizer and yeast foliar application treatments during the summer seasons of 2009 & 2010.

Characters			First	Season (20	09)	Second Season (2010)			
Tre	Treatments		Average weight of tuber (g)	Total yield g/plant	Total yield ton/fed	Average weight of tuber (g)	Total yield g/plant	Total yield ton/fed	
Z-	Without	Without yeast	110.2	551.0	11.220	112.3	581.9	11.48	
	biofertilizer	extract	FG	BC	GH	ABC	DEF	GH	
Kg mineral-N	Witl	With yeast	116.2	581.2	11.950	115.8	611.9	11.95	
	biofe	extract	D	BC	CD	ABC	C	DE	
50 Kg r	With	Without yeast	113.0	565.0	11.560	114.7	591.3	11.670	
	biofertilizer	extract	EF	BC	EF	ABC	CDEF	EFG	
7	W	With yeast	117.7	588.3	12.120	119.3	596.5	12.470	
	biofe	extract	CD	B	C	ABC	CDE	C	
+ 50	Without	Without yeast	108.7	543.3	11.040	109.8	579.7	11.120	
	biofertilizer	extract	G	C	H	ABC	EF	I	
100 Kg mineral-N +	Witl	With yeast	111.6	558.0	11.390	114.3	570.8	11.360	
Kg organic-N	biofe	extract	FG	BC	FG	ABC	F	HI	
Kg min	With	Without yeast extract	111.7	558.3	11.400	113.1	590.3	11.490	
Kg org	biofertilizer		FG	BC	FG	ABC	CDEF	FGH	
100	W	With yeast	115.3	576.7	11.840	117.3	602.3	12.010	
	biofer	extract	DE	BC	CDE	ABC	CD	D	
٠ 75	Without biofertilizer	Without yeast extract	114.7 DE	573.3 BC	11.760 DE	115.1 ABC	593.0 CDE	11.780 DEF	
(g mineral-N -	With	With yeast	120.3	585.0	12.840	123.2	636.3	13.010	
Kg organic-N	biofer	extract	C	B	B	ABC	B	B	
75 Kg mineral-N +	With	Without yeast	152.0	700.0	14.800	149.5	733.3	14.630	
Kg organic-N	biofertilizer	extract	B	A	A	AB	A	A	
75	W	With yeast	158.7	703.5	14.880	157.9	731.4	14.640	
	biofer	extract	A	A	A	A	A	A	
100	Without biofertilizer	Without yeast extract	75.3 I	301.3 DEF	7.233 J	78.6 BC	329.5 HI	7.320 K	
50 Kg mineral-N +	With	With yeast	80.3	321.3	7.713	81.1	354.1	8.000	
Kg organic-N	biofer	extract	H	D	I	BC	G	J	
g mine	With	Without yeast	79.7	318.7	7.647	80.8	347.9	7.840	
Kg org	biofertilizer	extract	H	DE	I	BC	GH	J	
50 K	W	With yeast	80.8	323.3	7.760	81.6	355.0	7.787	
	biofer	extract	H	D	I	BC	G	J	
z	Without	Without yeast	62.7	250.7	6.017	64.5	283.7	6.253	
	biofertilizer	extract	K	GH	L	C	K	M	
50 Kg organic-N	Witl	With yeast	71.0	284.0	6.820	70.1	315.4	6.880	
	biofe	extract	J	EFG	K	C	IJ	L	
50 Kg o	With	Without yeast	61.0	244.0	5.860	61.7	275.4	5.927	
	biofertilizer	extract	K	H	L	C	K	N	
Moon		With yeast extract	69.0 J	276.0 FGH	6.623 K	70.1 C	306.5 J	6.740 L	

Table (5): Size, dry matter content and specific gravity of potato tubers (at 110 days after planting) as affected by the interaction among organic-mineral N combinations, biofertilizer and yeast foliar application treatments during the summer season of 2009 & 2010.

Characters			Firs	t Season (20	09)	Second Season (2010)			
Treatments		Tuber size	Dry matter %	Specific gravity g/cm³	Tuber size	Dry matter %	Specific gravity g/cm³		
Z.	out tilizer	Without yeast extract	159.0 GH	16.24 IJ	1.045 EFG	161.8 F	16.23 J	1.061 A	
50 Kg mineral-N	Without	With yeast	186.3	18.61	1.048	187.7	18.41	1.058	
	biofertilizer	extract	C	CD	B	B	D	B	
50 Kg r	With	Without yeast	162.7	16.37	1.04	165.3	16.24	1.050	
	biofertilizer	extract	G	HI	K	E	IJ	HI	
16	W/	With yeast	181.0	18.43	1.046	184.5	18.32	1.056	
	biofer	extract	DE	DE	CDE	B	D	CD	
50 Kg	Without	Without yeast	151.7	15.98	1.044	154.5	15.81	1.057	
	biofertilizer	extract	I	KL	EFGH	G	M	BC	
ı mineral-N + 5	With	With yeast	179.7	17.00	1.047	181.0	16.87	1.054	
organic-N	biofer	extract	DE	G	BC	C	G	DE	
g minel	With	Without yeast	173.7	16.57	1.042	176.5	16.34	1.052	
orgal	biofertilizer	extract	F	H	IJ	D	HI	FG	
100 Kg r	W	With yeast	180.0	17.33	1.043	180.8	17.02	1.053	
	biofer	extract	DE	F	HI	C	F	EF	
	Without	Without yeast	184.4	18.40	1.046	187.3	18.20	1.056	
	biofertilizer	extract	CD	E	CDE	B	E	CD	
al-N + 7	With	With yeast	193.0	18.77	1.051	194.7	18.64	1.058	
nic-N	biofer	extract	B	C	A	A	C	B	
75 Kg mineral-N + 75 Kg	With	Without yeast	198.3	19.58	1.045	198.1	19.47	1.045	
organic-N	biofertilizer	extract	A	A	DEF	A	A	J	
75 Kg	W	With yeast	194.7	19.33	1.049	197.7	19.23	1.059	
	biofer	extract	AB	B	B	A	B	B	
00 Kg	Without	Without yeast	151.3	15.87	1.044	152.2	15.86	1.050	
	biofertilizer	extract	I	LM	FGHI	G	M	GHI	
iineral-N + 1	With	With yeast	175.7	16.57	1.040	180.2	16.37	1.046	
organic-N	biofer	extract	EF	H	K	C	H	J	
50 Kg mineral-N + 100 Kg	With	Without yeast	170.7	16.38	1.044	173.3	16.25	1.051	
organic-N	biofertilizer	extract	F	HI	EFGH	D	IJ	GHI	
50 Kç	W	With yeast	180.0	17.13	1.045	180.5	17.02	1.051	
	biofer	extract	DE	G	EFG	C	F	FGH	
z	Without	Without yeast	152.7	15.75	1.042	153.8	15.55	1.049	
	biofertilizer	extract	I	M	HIJ	G	N	I	
50 Kg organic-N	With	With yeast extract	152.7 I	16.23 IJ	1.047 BCD	154.0 G	16.10 K	1.057 BC	
50 Kg c	With	Without yeast	150.7	15.70	1.041	153.5	15.57	1.054	
	biofertilizer	extract	I	M	JK	G	N	DE	
1		With yeast extract	155.7 HI	16.11 JK	1.043 GHI	158.7 F	15.97 L	1.050 HI	

Increasing ratio of both mineral-N or organic-N in the fertilizer than 50%, resulted in decreasing tuber physical characteristics particularly with increasing the ratio of organic N in the fertilizer.

It is also obvious (Table 5) that the favourable effect of biofertilizer in increasing tuber size was more pronounced when N at both forms were applied at equal dose (50/50%). However, such effect of biofertilizer diminished as organic N increased than 50% in the fertilizer combination.

In addition, yeast extract treatment enhanced tuber size and dry matter content. The favourable effect of yeast extract application on tuber size was more pronounced as long as mineral N ratio in the fertilizer was equal or highest than organic one (Table 5).

Plants that received all nitrogen dose in organic form (150 kg) either treated with biofertilizer or yeast extract or not gave the least values of tuber physical characters (Table, 5). Obtained results are agreement with those reported by Arisha and Bardisi (1999), Calskan *et al.* (2004) and Bekhit *et al.* (2005) on potato.

#### **Tuber chemical constituents**

With few exceptions starch content in potato tuber increased gradually with changing N organic/N mineral ratio toward that treatment received equal dose of both forms. In other words treatment fertilized with 75 kg N organic/75 kg N /fed. as mineral particularly with biofertilizer application gave the highest starch content (Tables 6 & 7). Although, yeast extract treatments enhanced starch content in some cases (particularly when the ratio of mineral N were high) its effects did not take a clear trend in general.

Also, reducing, non-reducing and total sugars gradually increased with reducing N ratio of both forms from 100% (full dose) toward 50% of both forms (Tables 6 & 7). Treatment that received this particular ratio (75 kg N organic + 75 kg N/fed. mineral) particularly with biofertilizer and yeast application gave the highest values of sugars. However, high sugar content in

potato tuber is not favourable particularly in French fries processing.

Also data in Tables, 6 and 7 showed that nitrate accumulation in tubers was relatively low in plants received 150 kg organic-N, medium in plants fertilized with 75 kg organic -N+75 kg mineral -N and high in plants supplied with all dose of N as inorganic form i.e 150 kg mineral-N. This may be due to the increase in the rate of nitrogen absorption than the metabolism within the plant (Scott, 2008). On the other hand, the addition of organic-N over 50% significantly decreased nitrate accumulation in potato tubers. Similar result was reported Youssef (2007) who found fertilization potato plants with 150 kg mineral-N/fed. increased nitrate content in tubers. Also, these results are in harmony with those of Barmaki et al. (2008) who found that nitrate contents in tubers of plants received with organic manure alone or organic manure combined with inorganic N fertilizers were less than tubers of plants received chemical fertilizers only.

Montagu and Goh (1990) and Faller and Fialho (2009) explained that nutrients from organic fertilizers are released more slowly and steadily to the plant, whereas synthetic chemical fertilizers offer more readily available sources of nitrogen, to accelerate plant growth and accumulate the excess N in the form of nitrate. In addition N in mineral form, particularly when combined with similar amont with organic N form, prove the optimum conditions of nutrients utilization by microorganism in the soil to build up new generation. The high microorganism populations actively degrade the organic mater and release N countinusly but slowly.

The European Commission Scientific Committee on Food (SCF) agreed to retain its earlier Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) for the nitrate ion of 3.7 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> body weight (*European Commission, 1997*). Maximum level of nitrates and nitrites permitted in lettuce in Romania is 2 g kg<sup>-1</sup> DW (Socaciu and Stanila, 2007).

It is worthy to mention that nitrate concentration in potato tubers found in this experiment is still in the safe border for human consumption.

Table (6): Some chemical constituents of potato tubers (at 110 days after planting) as affected by the interaction among organic-mineral N combinations, biofertilizer and yeast foliar application treatments during the summer season of 2009.

		2009.					ı
Characters					Nitrate		
Treati	ments		Starch%	Reducing	Non- reducing	Total	mg/kg <sup>-1</sup> D.W.
-N	Without	Without yeast	18.32	0.478	1.624	2.101	306.2
	biofertilizer	extract	FGH	J	K	H	DE
nineral	Wit biofe	With yeast extract	26.47 B	0.534 C	1.938 F	2.471 E	305.0 DE
50 Kg mineral-N	With	Without yeast	23.36	0.472	1.558	2.029	311.3
	biofertilizer	extract	C	KL	N	I	E
1.	W biofe	With yeast extract	22.44 D	0.455 O	2.333 A	2.787 B	302.8 DE
+ 50	Without	Without yeast	17.67	0.473	1.503	1.975	297.6
	biofertilizer	extract	GH	K	P	J	CDE
100 Kg mineral-N +	Witi	With yeast extract	27.49	0.496	1.732	2.227	291.2
Kg organic-N	biofe		A	G	H	F	BCDE
Kg min	With	Without yeast	20.40	0.471	1.599	2.069	314.0
Kg org	biofertilizer	extract	E	LM	L	HI	E
100	W biofe	With yeast extract	23.42 C	0.484 H	1.742 G	2.225 F	297.6 CDE
+ 75	Without	Without yeast	26.56	0.510	2.017	2.526	263.8
	biofertilizer	extract	B	E	E	D	ABC
⟨g mineral-N ·	With	With yeast extract	23.60	0.508	2.152	2.659	271.2
Kg organic-N	biofer		C	F	D	C	ABCD
Kg mineral-N +	With	Without yeast	27.49	0.581	2.318	2.898	264.1
Kg organic-N	biofertilizer	extract	A	B	B	A	ABC
75	W biofe	With yeast extract	27.53 A	0.614 A	2.284 C	2.897 A	271.5 ABCD
. 100	Without	Without yeast	18.55	0.437	1.484	1.920	257.6
	biofertilizer	extract	F	Q	Q	K	AB
g mineral-N +	With	With yeast extract	26.35	0.532	1.623	2.154	261.4
Kg organic-N	biofer		B	D	K	G	BCD
50 Kg mineral-N + 100	With	Without yeast	26.61	0.458	1.714	2.171	256.7
Kg organic-N	biofertilizer	extract	B	N	I	G	AB
50 K	W biofer	With yeast extract	23.43 C	0.453 P	1.580 M	2.032 I	257.5 AB
N-	Without	Without yeast	18.43	0.453	1.633	2.085	254.1
	biofertilizer	extract	FG	P	J	HI	AB
50 Kg organic-N	Wit biofe	With yeast extract	17.60 H	0.470 M	1.452 R	1.921 K	239.8 A
50 Kg c	With	Without yeast	17.62	0.454	1.383	1.836	252.6
	biofertilizer	extract	H	OP	S	L	A
1,	W biofer	With yeast extract	18.42 FG	0.481 I	1.553 O	2.033 I	253.4 AB

Table (7): Some chemical constituents of potato tubers (at 110 days after planting) as affected by the interaction among organic-mineral N combinations, biofertilizer and yeast foliar application treatments during the summer season of 2010.

		Characters			Sugars %		
					Non-		Nitrate mg/kg <sup>-1</sup> D.W.
Treat	ments		Starch%	Reducing	reducing	Total	J J
z	Without biofertilizer	Without yeast extract	19.11 L	0.494 J	1.699 G	2.192 HI	306.7 G
mineral-N	Witl biofe	With yeast extract	27.39 D	0.542 C	1.963 E	2.505 E	303.8 G
50 Kg n	With biofertilizer	Without yeast extract	23.84 H	0.484 K	1.600 H	2.083 K	302.2 G
15	W biofer	With yeast extract	22.91 I	0.471 N	2.408 A	2.878 B	316.8 H
+ 50	Without biofertilizer	Without yeast extract	18.23 N	0.481 L	1.544 HIJ	2.026 L	301.1 FG
100 Kg mineral-N Kg organic-N	With biofer	With yeast extract	28.28 B	0.522 G	1.774 F	2.295 F	304.0 G
Kg min Kg org	With biofertilizer	Without yeast extract	20.98 J	0.473 M	1.674 G	2.146 IJ	296.7 F
100	W biofe	With yeast extract	23.99 G	0.506 H	1.767 F	2.273 FG	289.7 E
+ 75 J	Without biofertilizer	Without yeast extract	27.06 E	0.536 E	2.075 D	2.611 D	258.1 BC
	With biofer	With yeast extract	24.35 F	0.524 F	2.227 C	2.751 C	259.4 C
Kg mineral-N Kg organic-N	With biofertilizer	Without yeast extract	28.45 A	0.589 B	2.343 B	2.932 A	273.7 D
75	W biofe	With yeast extract	28.14 C	0.626 A	2.326 B	2.952 A	276.8 D
. 100	Without biofertilizer	Without yeast extract	18.86 M	0.453 Q	1.542 IJ	1.995 L	257.6 BC
nineral-N + organic-N	Witl biofe	With yeast extract	27.00 E	0.540 D	1.664 G	2.205 H	257.3 BC
50 Kg mineral-N + 100 Kg organic-N	With biofertilizer	Without yeast extract	27.35 D	0.484 K	1.756 F	2.239 GH	257.8 BC
50 K	W biofer	With yeast extract	24.27 F	0.455 P	1.655 G	2.109 JK	254 BC
z	nout tilizer	Without yeast extract	19.37 K	0.462 O	1.674 G	2.136 JK	233.5 A
rganic-	Without biofertilize	With yeast extract	18.02 O	0.482 L	1.510 J	1.992 L	252.3 B
50 Kg organic-N	With biofertilizer	Without yeast extract	18.29 N	0.470 N	1.441 K	1.911 M	252.6 B
15		With yeast extract	19.10 L	0.503 I	1.578 HI	2.081 K	252.6 B

#### REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (1990). Official Methods of analysis. 12<sup>th</sup> Ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington, D.C., U.S.A.
- Abd El-Latif, M. R., A. A. El-Bana and A. A. Galal (2001). Effect of biofertilizers (Microbein and Phosphorine) on bacterial pod blight of guar and black cumin damping off root rot and with diseases. Proc. of the Fifth Arabian Horticulture Conference, Ismailia, Egypt, March 24-28: 133 140.
- Abou El-Nasr, M.E., R.A. El-Shabrawy and M.M. Abd El-Rahman (2001). Effect of Bread yeast application and some nutrient elements on squash (Cucurbita pepo L) plant growth, yield and fruit quality under conditions of the early summer planting. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 26(7): 4451-4464.
- Abou- Hussein, S. D., I. EL- Oksh, T. EL-Shorbagy and U. A. EL-Bahiry (2002). Effect of chicken manure, compost and biofertilizers on vegetative growth, tuber characteristics and yield of potato crop. Egyp. J. Hort., 29:135-149.
- Agbede, T. M. (2010). Tillage and fertilizer effects on some soil properties, leaf nutrient concentrations, growth and sweet potato yield on an Alfisol in southwestern Nigeria. Soil and Tillage Res.I 10: 25-32.
- Ahmed, A.A., M.M.H. Abd El-Baky, M.F.Zaki and Faten S. Abd El-Aal (2011). Effect of foliar application of active yeast extract and zinc on growth, yield and quality of potato plant (Solanum tuberosum L.). Journal of Applied Sciences Research, 7(12): 2479-2488.
- Arisha, H.M. and A. Bardisi (1999). Effect of mineral and organic fertilizers on Growth , yield and tuber quality of potato under sandy soil conditions. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 26: 391 409.
- Awad, F.K., W. Khalil and A.H. Maksoud (1993). Comparative effects of some organic manures and bentonite as soil amendments. Agrochemical 37: 101-106.
- Barmaki, M., F.R. Khoei, S.Z. Salmasi, M. Moghaddam and G.N. Ganbalani (2008). Effect of organic farming on yield and

- quality of potato tubers in Ardabil. J. Food Agric. Environ. 6: 106-109.
- Barnett, J.A., R.W. Payne and D. Yarrow (1990). Yeasts, characteristics and Identification, 2nd Ed. Cambridge University Press, London, 999pp.
- Bekhit, S.R., A.H. Hassan, M.H. Ramadan and A.M.A. Al-Anany (2005). Effect of different levels and sources of nitrogen on growth, yield and quality of potatoes grown under sandy soil conditions. Ann. Agric. Sci. Moshtohor. 43: 381-394.
- Calskan, M.E., S. Klc, E. Gunel and M. Mert (2004). Effect of farmyard manure and mineral fertilization on growth and yield of early potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) under the Mediterranean conditions in Turkey. Indian J. Agron., 49: 198-200.
- Castelfranco, P.A. and S.I. Beale, 1983. Chlorophyll biosynthesis recent advances and areas of current increst. Ann. Rev. Plant Physio., 34: 241-278.
- Cataldo, D.A., M. Haroon, L.E. Schader and V.L. Yongs (1975). Rapid colorimitric determination of nitrate in plant tissue by nitration of Salicylic acid. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Analysis, 6: 71-80.
- EL-Araby, S. M. (2004). Effect of biofertilization under nitrogen fertilization rates on growth, yield and chemical constituents of Jerusalem artichoke tubers. J. of the Advances in Agric. Res. Fac. Agric. Saba Basha Alex. Univ., 9 (1):55 67.
- EL -Gamal, S. M. A. and S. A. Hammed (2005). Response of Helianthus tuberosus L. to organic and bio organic fertilizers. Arab Univ. J. Agric. Sci., Ain shams Univ., Cairo. Egypt., 13 (3): 609 623.
- European Commission (1997). Opinion on Nitrate and Nitrite (expressed on 22 September 1995). In: Food Science and Techniques. Report of the Scientific Committee for Food, Thirty Eight Series, pages 1–33. HTU. <a href="http://europa.eu.int/comm/food/fs/sc/scf/reports/scf\_reports\_38">http://europa.eu.int/comm/food/fs/sc/scf/reports/scf\_reports\_38</a>.
- Faller, A. L. K. and E. Fialho (2009). The antioxidant capacity and polyphenol content of organic and conventional retail

- vegetables after domestic cooking. Food Res. International 42: 210-215.
- Fathy, S. and S. Farid (1996). Effect of some chemical treatments, yeast preparation and royal Jelly on some vegetable crops growing in late summer season to induce their ability towards better thermal tolerance. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 25(4): 2215-2249.
- Fathy, S., S. Lei, S. Farid and S. El-Desouky (2000). Induce cold tolerance of outdoor tomatoes during early season by using triphosphate (ATP) yeast, other natural and chemical treatments to improve their fruiting and yield. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 25: 377-401.
- Fawzy, Z.F. (2007). Increasing productivity of head lettuce by foliar spraying of some bio- and organic compounds. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 22(10A): 237-247.
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez (1984).
  Statistical procedures for agricultural research. A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, USA.
- Haase, N.U. (2003). Estimation of dry matter and starch concentration in potatoes by determination of under-water weight and near infrared spectroscopy. Pot. Res. 46:117-127.
- Hamed, N. M. (2008). Response of jerusalem artichoke plants to some bio and organic fertilization treatments in the newly reclaimed lands. PhD. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Ain Shams Univ.
- Havlin, J., J. Beaton, S.L. Tisdale and N.W. Osorio (1999). Soil fertility and fertilizers. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall. 499 p.
- Kabeel, M.M., S.M. Ahmed and M.A. Fayza (2005). Effect of organic and biofertilizer on growth, yield and fruit quality of cucumber grown under clear polyethylene low tunnels. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 30(5): 2827- 2841.
- Kate, D.M., A.V. Solanke, T.K. Tiwari and S.M. Nemade (2005). Growth and yield of potato cultivars as affected by integrated nutrient management system. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ., 30: 236-237.
- Khedr, Z M.A. and S. Farid (2002). Response of naturally virus infected tomato plants to yeast extract and

- phosphoric acid application. Ann. Agric. Sci. Moshtohor. Egypt., 38 (2): 927-939.
- Kraig, E. and J.E. Haber, 1980. Messenger ribonucleic acid and protein metabolism during sporulation of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Bacterial., 144: 1098-1112.
- Marschner, H. (1997). Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Acad. tress, Harcourt Brace and Co., Publishers, London.
- Montagu, K. D. and K. M. Goh (1990). Effect of forms and rates of organic and inorganic nitrogen fertilizers on yield and some quality indices of tomatoes (Lycoperiscon esculentum, Miller). New Zealand J. Crop Hort. Sci., 18: 31-37.
- MSTAT-C. (1988). A micro-computer program for the design, management, and analysis of agronomic research experiments. Michigan State Univ., East Lansing, MI.
- Nagodawithana, W. T. (1991). Yeast technology. Universal Foods Corporation Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Published by Van Nostrnd Reinhold New York. P. 273.
- Scott, P. (2008). Physiology and Behavior of Plants. John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester, UK.
- Shady, M. A. (1978). The yeasts, Adv. Cour. From grad. St. In Microbiol. PP: 146-247. Agric. Bot. Dept., Fac. Agric., Mansoura Univ.
- Singh, J. and L. Kaur (2009). Advances in potato properties of a range of soils from Western Australia chemistry and technology. Academic Press (Elsevier), and on plant growth as assessed in a glasshouse NY, USA.
- Socaciu, C. and A. Stanila (2007). Nitrates in food, health and the environment. In: Case studies in food safety and Environmental health (Ed. P. Ho, M. M. C. Vieira), ISEKI Publ. ISEKI Publ. Ed. Kristberg Kristbergsson, Springer, NY 2007, 23-29.
- Spencer, T.F.T., S.M. Dorothy and A.R.W. Smith (1983). Yeast genetics fundamental and applied aspects. Springer-Verlag, New York, USA, 16-18.
- Tisdale, S. L. and W. L. Nelson (1975). Soil fertility and fertilizers.Macmillan Publishing Co.,Inc.New York :694 pages.

Youssef, M. E. (2007). Effect of some agricultural treatments on the growth, productivity, quality and storageability of

potato. Ph. D. thesis Fac. Agric. Zagaz. Univ., Egypt.

## تأثير التداخل بين التسميد النيتروجينى العضوى والمعدنى مع أو بدون التسميد الحيوى أو مستخلص الخميرة على النمو وانتاجية البطاطس

نبيل محمد ملش (1) ، محمد عبدالفتاح فتح الله(1) ، فتوح أبواليزيد على (1) ، نهله مختار مرسى (2) (1) قسم البساتين – كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنوفية

(2) قسم التتمية المتواصلة - معهد الدراسات والبحوث البيئية - جامعة مدينة السادات

#### الملخص العربي

أجريت تجربة حقلية على نبات البطاطس صنف سبونتا بمزرعة معهد الدراسات والبحوث البيئية بمدينة السادات – جامعة المنوفية وذلك أثناء الموسم الصيفي لعامي 2000 ، 2010 في أرض رملية صفراء. وكان تصميم المعاملات في التجربة هو القطع المنشقة مرتين في ثلاث مكررات. وتهدف هذه التجربة إلى دراسة تأثير خمس معاملات من التداخل بين التسميد النيتروجيني العضوي والمعدني ومعاملتين تسميد حيوي ومعاملتين رش ورقى بمستخلص الخميرة على نمو ومحصول وجودة درنات البطاطس. وهذه المعاملات هي إما استخدام سماد نترات الأمونيوم بمعدل 150 كجم ن/فدان أو إستخدام نترات أمونيوم بمعدل 100 كجم ن + سماد الدواجن بمعدل 50 كجم ن الفدان أو استخدام نترات أمونيوم بمعدل 75 كجم ن الفدان أو استخدام سماد الدواجن بمعدل نترات أمونيوم بمعدل 50 كجم ن القدان أو استخدام سماد الدواجن بمعدل 150 كجم ن الفدان أو استخدام الدواجن المواجن بمعدل 150 كجم ن/فدان، وذلك مع أو بدون التاقيح بخليط من التسميد الحيوي "بكتيريا تثبيت آزوت الهواء الجوي وبكتيريا إذابة الفوسفور"، وأيضا مع أو بدون الرش الورقي بمستخلص الخميرة (50 مل/نتر).

وقد وجد من النتائج أن استخدام خليط من التسميد النيتروجيني مناصفة بين التسميد العضوى على صورة سماد الدواجن بمعدل 75 كجم نيتروجين للفدان والتسميد المعدني على صورة نترات أمونيوم بمعدل 75 كجم نيتروجين للفدان قد أدى إلى تحسن النمو والمحصول والجودة لدرنات نباتات البطاطس، كما وأن تلقيح الدرنات ببكتيريا التسميد الحيوى والرش الورقي بمستخلص الخميرة (50 مل مستخلص خميرة لكل لتر ماء رش) مع الخليط السابق من النيتروجين العضوى والمعدني قد أعطى أعلى القيم لصفات النمو الخضرى وأعلى محصول النبات والفدان، وأدى إلى تحسين الصفات الطبيعية والكيميائية للدرنات وذلك مقارنة بباقي معاملات التجربة، وكانت الزيادة في محصول النبات والفدان قدرها 66.66 ٪ و 30.15 ٪ كمتوسط عام وعلى الترتيب في موسمي الزراعة وذلك مقارنة بالمعاملة التي سمدت نباتاتها بمعدل نيتروجين معدني (150 كجم للفدان) وبدون تسميد حيوى أو الرش الورقي بمستخلص الخميرة (معاملة المقارنة).

Effect of the	combination	between	organic	and mineral	Nitrogen along

Effect of the combination between organic and mineral Nitrogen along.......