

## Combining Ability in F<sub>1</sub> Generation for Diallel Crosses for Yield and Yield Components in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*, L.)

Haridy, M. H.

Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Al -Azhar University



### ABSTRACT

The combining ability and heterosis have been analyzed in a 6-parents F<sub>1</sub> diallel cross for yield and its components. The experiment was conducted at the Experimental Fam., Faculty of Agricultural, Al-Azhar University) Assiut Branch. (The analysis of variance indicated highly significant differences in among 21 enter for plant height, number of spikes/plant, spike length (cm), grain weight/spike,(gm), 100-grain weight(gm) and grain yield/plant(gm). Variance due to general combining ability as well as specific combining ability were highly the above mentioned traits. However, the ratio of genetic components  $\frac{\sum gi}{\sum sij^2}$  was less than unity of the non-additive genetic variance in the inheritance of all the above traits. Heterosis effects over mid and better parents were shown in F<sub>1</sub> hybrid for all studied characters

### INTRODUCTION

Wheat is one of major cereal crop in Egypt, wheat breeding programs played the major role in developing new high yielding varieties, resistant stress, pest and diseases as well as tolerant to different environmental stress. The breeders are screen the breeding materials and then selecting donor parents for breeding programs. The genetic improvement of various traits, which depends on the nature and magnitude of genetic variability, and hybridization, which play a critical role for obtaining the new recombination's and releasing new materials, will help the breeders to identify the best combinations to be crossed and exploit heterosis. Heterosis is considered good criteria for synthetics and ultimately hybrids and could lead to improve the yield and its components in bread wheat. Superiority of hybrids over the mid and better parents for grain yield was found to be associated with manifestations of heterotic effects in main yield components i.e., number of spikes/plant, spike length (cm), grain weight/spike,(gm), 100-grain weight(gm) and grain yield/plant(gm). The concept of combining ability is useful in connection with testing procedures, in which it is desired to study and compare the performance of line in hybrid combination (Griffing, 1956). Combining ability analysis helps the breeders to identify the best combiners which may be hybridized either to exploit heterosis. Therefore, GCA and SCA variance will be accurate calculation for evaluating yield and components. The objective of this study was to evaluate the nature of gene action and general and specific combining abilities of six bread wheat genotypes and their F<sub>1</sub> hybrids.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at Experimental Farm Faculty of Agriculture, Al- Azhar University (Assiut Branch) during the period of 2014 / 2015 and 2015/ 2016 growing seasons. The breeding material used in this study were Sids 1(p<sub>1</sub>), Sids 4 (p<sub>2</sub>), Sids 12(p<sub>3</sub>), Giza164(p<sub>4</sub>), Giza 168(p<sub>5</sub>) and Sakha 93(p<sub>6</sub>). The characters used in this study were plant height, number of spikes/plant, spike length (cm), grain weight /spike,(gm) -100, grain weight (gm) and grain yield/plant(gm.)

#### Experimental layout:

In 2014/2015 season the tested variety were crossed in all possible combinations excluding reciprocals, to generate 15 hybrids (F<sub>1</sub>) crosses.

In the 2015/2016 grown season F<sub>1</sub> hybrids and their parents were grown in a randomized complete block design of three replications. Each entry was grown in 1 row 3m long with 50cm between rows. Planting was done in hills spaced 15 cm apart. The recommended agronomic practices of wheat production were applied at the proper time. The data were recorded on 15 randomly selected plants from each cross and parent. The data were statistically analyzed by using the ordinary analysis of variance to test the significance of differences among genotypes according to Snedecor and Cochran (1982). (was made on plot mean basis. The variation among parents and F<sub>1</sub> crosses was partitioned into general and specific combining abilities as illustrated by Griffing (1956) (Method 2, Model 1) The heterotic effects of F<sub>1</sub> crosses were estimated as percentage from mid and better parents according to Fonseca and Patterson (1968) as follows:

**Mid parents heterosis (%) = (F<sub>1</sub> - mid parent / mid parent) x 100.**

**Better parents heterosis (%) = (F<sub>1</sub> - better parent / better parent) x 100.**

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Analysis of variance and mean performance :

The analysis of variance (Table 1) cleared the highly significant difference that were found among genotype for all traits, indicating a wide genetic variability in these materials and the genetic analysis could be performed. Mean of parents and their F<sub>1</sub> hybrids are presented in (Table 2). Mean of the six parents and their fifteen F<sub>1</sub> crosses are presented in Table 2. The results revealed that mean of parents was wide extended with a range of 75.56-118.05 (P<sub>4</sub>-P<sub>1</sub>) 10.29-8.50 ; (P<sub>1</sub>-P<sub>6</sub>) -3.48 ; 10.63 (P<sub>6</sub>-P<sub>2</sub>) 2.38-1.71 ; (P<sub>2</sub>-P<sub>4</sub>) 5.01-3.98 ; (P<sub>1</sub>-P<sub>5</sub>) and 7.77) 26.33-P<sub>6</sub>-P<sub>2</sub> (for plant height, number of spikes/plant, spike length (cm), grain weight/spike,(gm), 100-grain weight(gm) and grain yield/plant(gm), respectively. Meanwhile, means of F<sub>1</sub> hybrids were extended with a range 70.29 -127.27 (P<sub>4</sub>Xp)-(P<sub>6</sub>P<sub>1</sub>Xp<sub>5</sub>) ; 12.36- 9.00(P<sub>1</sub>Xp)-(P<sub>6</sub>P<sub>4</sub>Xp) 9.96- 4.14 ; (P<sub>5</sub>P<sub>5</sub>Xp)-(P<sub>6</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Xp) 3.68 - 1.75 ; (P<sub>3</sub>P<sub>1</sub>Xp)-(P<sub>2</sub>P<sub>1</sub>Xp) 5.03-3.21 ; (P<sub>5</sub>P<sub>1</sub>Xp)-(P<sub>3</sub>P<sub>1</sub>Xp) (and 12.65 -33.38) P<sub>5</sub>Xp)-(P<sub>6</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Xp) (for the above-mentioned traits, respectively. The F<sub>1</sub> mean increased over the parental mean for all studied traits. Apparently, the different means among the six parents and their F<sub>1</sub> seemed to be valuable in improving the studied traits in bread wheat breeding programs. These results are in agreement with those reported by Lamkey *et al*, (1999). Alam *et al*, (2004). Saad *et al* (2010). and Beche *et al*. (2013).

**Table 1. Mean squares of genotypes, general combining ability (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) and their ratios for yield and its components in wheat .**

S.O.V	d.f	Plant height	Spike length(cm)	Number of spikes/plant	Grain weight Spike	-100grain weight	Grain yield/plant
Replicates	2	10.25	2.89	1.26	0.68	1.16	3.44
Genotypes	20	718.96**	4.24**	12.23**	1.38**	1.29**	241.23**
Error	40	8.69	1.19	1.06	0.32	1.11	1.38
GCA	5	630.13**	2.15**	7.17**	0.49**	0.690**	140.78**
SCA	15	109.47**	1.17**	3.05**	0.45**	0.345**	60.18**
Error	40	2.90	0.40	0.35	0.11	0.29	0.47
$\sum gi_j^2 / \sum sij^2$		0.74	0.28	0.32	0.14	0.42	0.31

**Table 2. Mean performances for all studied characters of parents and F<sub>1</sub> crosses.**

Traits Geno.	Plant height	Spike length (cm)	Number of spikes /plant	Grain weight Spike	-100grain weight	Grain yield /plant
P <sub>1</sub>	118.05	8.13	9.40	2.13	3.98	22.01
P <sub>2</sub>	93.54	10.29	10.63	2.38	4.52	26.33
P <sub>3</sub>	92.10	9.92	8.62	2.38	4.58	22.52
P <sub>4</sub>	75.56	9.66	5.74	1.71	3.91	10.84
P <sub>5</sub>	99.05	9.37	4.69	2.40	5.01	12.48
P <sub>6</sub>	88.24	8.50	3.48	1.08	4.28	7.77
P <sub>1</sub> XP <sub>2</sub>	123.95	9.19	6.27	1.75	3.43	12.97
P <sub>1</sub> XP <sub>3</sub>	121.40	9.26	6.01	3.68	3.21	23.11
P <sub>1</sub> XP <sub>4</sub>	89.45	10.14	8.05	2.98	3.85	25.03
P <sub>1</sub> XP <sub>5</sub>	127.27	10.92	9.45	3.68	3.88	34.78
P <sub>1</sub> XP <sub>6</sub>	118.53	9.00	8.37	2.50	5.03	21.91
P <sub>2</sub> XP <sub>3</sub>	94.93	12.19	9.96	3.35	3.88	33.38
P <sub>2</sub> XP <sub>4</sub>	82.37	11.39	4.04	3.13	3.78	15.65
P <sub>2</sub> XP <sub>5</sub>	97.84	10.42	7.87	3.68	4.77	28.97
P <sub>2</sub> XP <sub>6</sub>	94.52	11.93	6.03	3.01	4.24	20.14
P <sub>3</sub> XP <sub>4</sub>	94.59	10.10	7.42	2.22	4.75	17.47
P <sub>3</sub> XP <sub>5</sub>	102.49	11.38	7.06	2.53	5.00	19.87
P <sub>3</sub> XP <sub>6</sub>	91.58	9.953	5.42	2.35	4.21	15.73
P <sub>4</sub> XP <sub>5</sub>	92.13	12.36	7.04	2.57	4.10	19.08
P <sub>4</sub> XP <sub>6</sub>	70.29	10.13	6.65	2.24	4.77	15.87
P <sub>5</sub> XP <sub>6</sub>	103.64	11.50	4.14	2.57	4.11	12.65
LSD0.05	4.86	1.56	1.47	0.80	1.05	1.68
LSD0.01	6.50	2.08	1.97	1.07	1.33	2.24

**Heterosis :**

Data in Table 3 showed that there were significant values for the heterosis over mid and better parent for all studied traits, indicating that heterosis played an important role in the inheritance of these traits .for plant height eight crosses out fifteen had desirable highly positive significant values for the heterosis over mid parent and one of them (p<sub>1</sub>x p<sub>3</sub>) Giza 155 xGiza 168 also, showed highly positive significant value for the heterosis over better parent ,for Spike length (cm) 6 crosses out fifteen had desirable highly positive significant values for the heterosis over mid parent and two of them (p<sub>1</sub>x p<sub>5</sub>) Sids 1x Giza 168 and (p<sub>1</sub>x p<sub>2</sub>) Sids1 x Sids 4 also, showed highly positive significant value for the heterosis over better parent .for Number of spikes/plant three crosses had desirable highly positive significant values for the heterosis over mid parent and one of them p<sub>1</sub>x p<sub>5</sub>(Sids 1 xGiza 168) also, showed positive significant value for the heterosis over better parent) .p<sub>1</sub>x p<sub>1</sub> ,(p<sub>3</sub>x p<sub>5</sub>) and (p<sub>2</sub>x p<sub>5</sub>) had desirable highly positive significant values for the heterosis over mid and better parent for grain weight spike .For -100grain weight (p<sub>1</sub>x p<sub>6</sub>) and (p<sub>4</sub>x p<sub>6</sub>) had desirable highly positive significant values for the heterosis over mid and better parent .Grain yield/plant four crosses (p<sub>1</sub>x p<sub>1</sub>) ,(p<sub>2</sub>x p<sub>1</sub>) ,(p<sub>3</sub>x p<sub>6</sub>) and (p<sub>5</sub>x p<sub>6</sub>) out fifteen had desirable highly positive significant values for the heterosis over mid and better parent .these results are

supported with the findings of Kobiljski *et al* ,(2002) , Faiz *et al* ,(2006),Al-Ashkar (2007 ,(Anwer *et al* (2011) . and Khodadadi *et al*.(2012).

**Combining ability :**

The analysis of variance Table 1 emphasized that mean squares due to general combining ability and specific combining ability were highly significant for all studied traits, indicating that additive and non-additive effects were involved in the control of studied traits. Suggesting the predominate effect of the additive gene involved. The ratio of  $\sum gi_j^2 / \sum sij^2$  was less than unity of the inheritance of studied traits. Similar results were reported by Gorjanovic and Balalic (2005 ,(Inamullah ,*et al* ,(2006) .Hassan *et al* . (2007) , Saad *et al* ,(2010) , Zaazaa, E. I. (2010) ,Cifici ,(2012).Yilbirim *et al* (2014) and Ashraf *et al* (2015) .

**A-General combining ability :**

Data in table 4 revield that p<sub>5</sub>Giza 168 has a desirable significant GCA effects for all studied traits, except ,number of spikes/plant .As well as, the P<sub>2</sub>Sids 4 had desirable significant GCA effects for all studied traits, except plant height thus these two parents can be good general combiners for grain yield /plant along with most of the yield contributing traits and can be recommended as a donor in wheat breeding programs .

**B-Specific combining ability :**

Data in table 4 cleared that most desirable negative significant SCA effects were found in plant height and

100-grain weight. On the other hand most desirable positive significant SCA effects were found in the other traits. The cross p<sub>1</sub>x p<sub>6</sub>Sids 1 x Shakha 93 had desirable positive significant SCA effects for all traits, so it can be a good specific combination for grain yield /plant along with most of the yield contributing traits.

**Table 4. Heterosis as percentage of mid-parents(M.P) and better parent(B.P) in the F<sub>1</sub> crosses for studied characters .**

Traits Geno.	Plant height(cm)		Spike length(cm)		Number of spikes/plant	
	M.P	B.P	M.P	B.P	M.P	B.P
P <sub>1</sub> XP <sub>2</sub>	17.16**	4.99*	-0.22	-10.69	-37.41**	-41.03**
P <sub>1</sub> XP <sub>3</sub>	15.54**	2.84	2.66	-6.62	-33.25**	-36.01**
P <sub>1</sub> XP <sub>4</sub>	-7.60**	-24.23**	14.03	4.97	6.39	-14.30
P <sub>1</sub> XP <sub>5</sub>	17.24**	7.80**	24.82**	16.54	34.20**	0.57
P <sub>1</sub> XP <sub>6</sub>	14.91**	0.41	8.24	5.89	30.05*	-10.89
P <sub>2</sub> XP <sub>3</sub>	2.27	1.49	20.69**	18.54**	3.53	-6.24
P <sub>2</sub> XP <sub>4</sub>	-2.58	-11.94**	14.22	10.76	-50.64**	-61.98**
P <sub>2</sub> XP <sub>5</sub>	1.61	-1.22	5.99	1.26	2.83	-25.91**
P <sub>2</sub> XP <sub>6</sub>	3.99	1.05	26.99**	15.94	-14.56	-43.29**
P <sub>3</sub> XP <sub>4</sub>	12.84**	2.71	3.18	1.85	3.32	-13.92
P <sub>3</sub> XP <sub>5</sub>	7.23**	3.47	17.99*	14.72	6.16	-18.06*
P <sub>3</sub> XP <sub>6</sub>	1.56	-0.57	8.09	0.34	-10.36	-37.08**
P <sub>4</sub> XP <sub>5</sub>	5.53**	-6.98**	29.91**	27.94**	34.93**	22.52*
P <sub>4</sub> XP <sub>6</sub>	-14.18**	-20.34**	11.56	4.83	44.20**	15.79
P <sub>5</sub> XP <sub>6</sub>	**10.67	4.63	**28.69	*22.70	1.31	11.74-
L.S.D5%	4.21	4.86	1.56	1.80	1.47	1.70
L.S.D1%	5.63	6.50	2.08	2.41	1.97	2.27
Traits	Grain weight	Spike	-100grain weight(gm)	Grain yield/plant(gm)		
P <sub>1</sub> XP <sub>2</sub>	-22.45	-26.57	-19.37**	-24.13**	-46.35**	-50.75**
P <sub>1</sub> XP <sub>3</sub>	63.05**	54.48**	-23.70**	-27.63**	3.79	2.64
P <sub>1</sub> XP <sub>4</sub>	55.25**	40.06	-2.49	-3.35	52.34**	11.15
P <sub>1</sub> XP <sub>5</sub>	62.48**	53.33**	-13.62	-22.4	103.00**	183.93**
P <sub>1</sub> XP <sub>6</sub>	55.40**	17.22	21.71**	26.19**	57.68**	-0.50
P <sub>2</sub> XP <sub>3</sub>	40.66*	40.56*	-13.29*	-12.54	36.67**	48.24**
P <sub>2</sub> XP <sub>4</sub>	52.81**	31.33	-10.24	-16.24*	-15.81**	-40.57**
P <sub>2</sub> XP <sub>5</sub>	53.87**	54.41**	0.18	-4.67	50.22**	10.05
P <sub>2</sub> XP <sub>6</sub>	73.65**	26.30	-3.64	-6.20	25.50**	-23.50**
P <sub>3</sub> XP <sub>4</sub>	8.47	-6.73	13.81*	7.06	4.76	-22.40*
P <sub>3</sub> XP <sub>5</sub>	5.86	5.42	6.00	0.07	14.32**	-11.75
P <sub>3</sub> XP <sub>6</sub>	35.52	-1.40	-3.33	-5.11	11.20	-30.16**
P <sub>4</sub> XP <sub>5</sub>	24.96	7.08	14.51*	2.07	65.32**	55.82**
P <sub>4</sub> XP <sub>6</sub>	59.95**	30.55	16.48**	21.89**	91.13**	46.43**
P <sub>5</sub> XP <sub>6</sub>	*47.75	7.22	11.46-	17.87-	**40.36	3.24
LSD0.05	0.80	0.93	0.55	1.05	1.68	1.94
LSD0.01	1.07	1.24	0.94	1.33	2.24	2.59

**Table 4. Estimates of general and specific combining ability effects for the studied characters in six wheat varieties.**

Traits Geno.	Plant height	Spike length (cm)	Number of spikes/plant	Grain weight Spike	-100grain weight	Grain yield/plant
P <sub>1</sub>	15.77**	-0.89**	1.02**	0.09	-0.19	-8.33**
P <sub>2</sub>	-1.23*	0.47*	0.83**	0.20*	-0.43*	1.90**
P <sub>3</sub>	-1.91**	0.10	0.54**	0.10	0.09	3.31**
P <sub>4</sub>	-12.07**	0.19	-0.51*	-0.19	0.26	-0.13
P <sub>5</sub>	3.64**	0.43*	-0.48*	0.22*	0.36*	1.38**
P <sub>6</sub>	**4.43-	0.30-	**1.40-	**0.41-	-0.09	1.87**
LSD0.05	1.11	0.41	0.39	0.21	0.35	0.44
LSD0.01	1.48	0.55	0.52	0.28	0.47	0.59
P <sub>1</sub> XP <sub>2</sub>	-12.14**	-0.36	0.39	-0.64**	-0.21	-12.86**
P <sub>1</sub> XP <sub>3</sub>	10.76**	-0.67	-2.55**	-1.13**	-0.15	-12.31**
P <sub>1</sub> XP <sub>4</sub>	8.89**	-0.22	-2.52**	0.90**	0.72	-4.29**
P <sub>1</sub> XP <sub>5</sub>	-12.90**	0.57	0.58	0.50*	-0.53	-7.59**
P <sub>1</sub> XP <sub>6</sub>	9.21**	1.13*	1.94**	0.79**	0.95*	6.00**
P <sub>2</sub> XP <sub>3</sub>	8.54**	-0.08	1.78**	0.23	0.43	6.50**
P <sub>2</sub> XP <sub>4</sub>	-2.65	-0.93	2.00**	-0.60*	-0.50	1.32*
P <sub>2</sub> XP <sub>5</sub>	-0.58	1.35**	1.62**	0.47	-1.31**	3.65**
P <sub>2</sub> XP <sub>6</sub>	-2.98	0.46	-3.25**	0.54*	-0.13	1.57**
P <sub>3</sub> XP <sub>4</sub>	-3.22	-0.75	0.56	0.68**	0.45	0.12
P <sub>3</sub> XP <sub>5</sub>	1.54	1.49**	-0.37	0.64**	0.19	6.11**
P <sub>3</sub> XP <sub>6</sub>	-2.73	-0.56	0.57	-0.40	-0.03	3.23**
P <sub>4</sub> XP <sub>5</sub>	9.93**	-0.47	0.42	-0.27	-0.54	4.84**
P <sub>4</sub> XP <sub>6</sub>	2.11	0.58	0.03	-0.37	0.60	3.44**
P <sub>5</sub> XP <sub>6</sub>	-0.73	-0.12	-0.69	0.07	0.94*	0.10
LSD0.05	3.05	1.13	1.07	0.48	0.79	1.00
LSD0.01	4.07	1.51	1.43	0.64	1.06	1.34

## REFERENCES

- Al-Ashkar, I.M. (2007). (Breeding studies for earliness and yield in some genotypes of wheat. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar Univ. Egypt .
- Anwer, J.; M. Hussan; S.Asgher; J. Ahmed and M. Owais.(2011) .Combining ability estimates for grain yield in wheat. J .Agric. Res.,49 (4)437-445 .
- Ashraf, S.; S. Malook.; I.Naseen.; N. Ghorhi.; S. Ashrof.; S. A .Qasanti.;I. Khalid and W. Amin (2015) .(Combining ability analysis is breeding approach to develop drought tolerance of wheat genotypes. Am-Euras. J.Agric. Sci., 15(3):415-423.
- Beche, E.; C. L. Silva.; E.S.Pagliosa.; M.A. Capelin (2013) .(Hybrid performance and heterosis in early segregate population of Brazilian spring wheat. Australian J. of Crop. Sci., Aics. 7 (1):51-57.
- Cifici.E.A.(2012) .(Estimate of heterosis and path analysis for grain yield per spike and some agronomic traits on durum wheat. Animal J. Sci., 22(3): 747-752 .
- Fonseca, S. and F.L. Patterson (1968) .(Hybrid vigor in seven parental diallel cross in common wheat )*Triticum aestivum, L* .(Crop. Sci. 88-8:85 ,.
- Faiz, F.A.;M.Sabar.; T.H. Awan.; M. Ijaz and Z. Manzoor. (2006) .Heterois and combining ability analysis in basmati rice hybrids. J .Anim. Pl.Sci.,16(1-2).
- Gorjanovic,B. and M.K. Balalic (2005) .(Inheritance of plant height and spike length in wheat. Gene tika. 3(1):25-31 .
- Griffing, B .(1956).Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing, Asut. J. Biol. Sci., 9:463- 493.
- Hassan, G.;F.Mhammed .; S.S.Afridi and I. Khalil (2007) .(Combining ability in F<sub>1</sub> generation for diallel crosses for yield and yield components in wheat, Sarhad J.Agric., Vol. 23,No. 4,2007 .
- Inamullah, H. A.; F.Mohammed; S.U.Din; G. Hassan and G.Gul .(2006) .Evaluation of heterotic and heterobeltiotic potential of wheat genotypes for improved yield. Pak. J. Bot., 38:1159-1167 .
- Khodadadi, E.; S. Aharizad; M. S.abazi; H. Shahbazi and E .Khodadadi (2012) .(Combining ability analysis of bread quality in wheat. Annals of Bio. Res., 5:2464- 2468.
- Lamkey, K.R. and J.W. Edwards (1999) .(The quantitative genetics of heterosis, p 31-48 in:The Genetics and Exploitation of heterosis in Crops, edited by Coors J. G. and S.Pandey. Ctop. Sci.Society of America .
- Saad, F. F.; S.R.E. Abo-Hegazy; E.A.M. El-Sayed and H.S . Suleiman.(2010) .(Heterosis and combining ability for yield and components in diallel crossis among seven bread wheat genotypes . Egypt. J.Plant. Breed., 14(3) 7-22.
- Snedecor, G. W. and W.G. Cochran (1982).(Statistical methods 7<sup>th</sup> edition. Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, U.S.A.
- Yilbirim, M.; H.Gezgncand and A. H. Poaksoy (2014) . Combining ability in a 7 x7 half diallel cross for plant height ,yield and yield components in bread wheat).*Triticum aestivum, L* .(Turk Tarimve Doga Bilimleri Derghisi 1(3) 354-360 .
- Zaazaa, E. I. (2010) .(Heterosis and combining ability for yield and components in diallel crosses of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum, L.*) .Al-Azher J. Agric. Sci. Sector Res.Vol. 8:pp 1-13.

## القدرة على الائتلاف في الجيل الاول للهجن الدائرية في المحصول ومكوناته للقمح

مختار حسن هريدي

كلية الزراعة – جامعة الازهر

تم تقدير القدرة علي الائتلاف وقوة الهجين وذلك من خلال تحليل الهجن الدائرية لستة اباء من القمح لصفة المحصول ومكوناته اجرية التجربة في مزرعة كلية الزراعة جامعة الازهر فرع اسبوط اوضح التحليل للتباين ان هناك معنوية علي لكل من 21 هجين في صفة طول النبات (سم) وعدد السنابل للنبات وطول السنبل و وزن حبوب السنبل و وزن حبة و كذلك وزن حبوب النبات ايضا كانت القدرة العامة والخاصة عالية في معظم المعاملات بينما كانت نسبة التباين للقدرة العامة علي نسبة التباين للقدرة الخاصة اقل من الوحدة في كل الصفات هذا يؤكد ان التباين الغير اضافي لعب دورا كبير في توريث تلك الصفات ايضا كان هناك قوة هجين منسوبة لمتوسط الابوين والاب الافضل في كل الصفات تحت الدراسة.