

## **HETEROSIS AND COMBINING ABILITY IN MAIZE USING DIALLEL CROSSES AMONG SEVEN NEW INBRED LINES**

**EI-Badawy, M. El. M.**

**Dept. of Agronomy, Fac. Agric., Moshtohor, Benha Univerity, Egypt**

### **ABSTRACT**

A half diallel cross between 7 inbred lines of maize was evaluated under two different nitrogen rates for six quantitative characters. Nitrogen rates, genotypes, parents, hybrids and parents vs crosses mean squares were significant for all traits. Significant genotype x nitrogen rate mean squares were obtained for days to 50% maturity, No. of rows ear<sup>-1</sup> and shelling%. Significant interaction mean squares between hybrids x nitrogen rates were detected for days to 50% maturity, No. of rows ear<sup>-1</sup> and grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>. General and specific combining ability (GCA and SCA) mean squares were significant for all traits. GCA/SCA ratios revealed that the additive and additive x additive types of gene action were the most important expressions for days to 50% maturity, number of rows ear-1 and shelling% in both and nitrogen rates and combined analysis. Significant interaction mean squares between nitrogen rates and GCA and SCA were detected for most traits. The crosses P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>2</sub> and P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> at the low nitrogen level, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>4</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>6</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> and P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>5</sub> hybrids at the normal nitrogen level and the hybrid P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> in the across nitrogen levels, were out yielded the check hybrid (Pioneer 30K8). Also, single cross P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> did not differ significantly from the hybrid Hytech 2031 in low nitrogen rate and combined analysis for grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>. The parental inbred line No. 4 gave a good combiner for No. of rows ear<sup>-1</sup> and grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> at both and across nitrogen rate. The most desirable inter and intra allelic interactions were presented by combinations: P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>6</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>6</sub> and P<sub>5</sub>xP<sub>6</sub> for 100-kernel weight, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>4</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>6</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>3</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>5</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> and P<sub>4</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> for grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> and P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>4</sub> and P<sub>4</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> for shelling%. These crosses may be prime importance in breeding programs either towards hybrid maize production or synthetic varieties composed of hybrids which involved the good combiners for the traits in view.

**Keywords:** General combining ability (GCA), Specific combining ability (SCA), Additive, Non- additive, Gene action, Nitrogen, Heterosis, Maize

### **INTRODUCTION**

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is considered the third cereal crop after rice and wheat all over the world for production and consumption. In addition to its use as a human food, it is also utilized as a poultry and livestock feed and also as a fodder. Moreover, it is also used for industrial purposes such as glue, soap, paint, insecticides, toothpaste, shaving cream, rubber tires, rayon, molded plastics, fuels and others (White and Johnson, 2003).

Nowadays, corn breeders do their best to explore the genetic material in order to develop new maize genotypes which characterized by high yielding potentiality and better quality. To do that they need enough knowledge about the type and relative amount of genetic variance components and their interaction by environments as well as heterosis for yield and its component. One of the most informative methodology in this concern is diallel analysis system which is widely and extensively used for

estimating the types of gene action. The two main genetic parameters of diallel analysis are GCA and SCA which are essential in developing breeding strategies. In this concern, several investigators reported that additive gene action was responsible for the inheritance of grain yield and most of its contributing characters. Among those are: Sedhom, 1994; Ahmed *et al.*, 2000; Al-Naggar *et al.*, 2002; Alamnie *et al.*, 2006; El-Badawy, 2006 and Sedhom *et al.*, 2007. However, Dadheech and Joshi, 2007, Barakat and Osman, 2008 and Irshad-El-Haq *et al.*, 2010 reported that non additive gene action was more important in the inheritance grain yield and most other agronomic traits in maize. While Iqbal *et al.*, 2007; Akbar *et al.*, 2008 and Hefny 2010 they reported that both additive and non additive gene effects were important in the genetic expression of maize yield and its contributing traits.

Therefore, the main objectives of this investigation were: To establish the magnitude of heterosis as well as both general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining abilities effects and their interaction with the nitrogen fertilization rates.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **Plant materials**

Seven yellow inbred lines of corn (*Zea mays* L.) were used as parents in this study. Moshtohor P<sub>1</sub> (M9), P<sub>2</sub> (M41), P<sub>3</sub> (M55), P<sub>4</sub> (M120), P<sub>5</sub> (M21), P<sub>6</sub> (M46) and P<sub>7</sub> (M39) were developed in the Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Moshtohor, Benha University by Prof. Dr. A.A.M. El-Hosary.

### **Field experiments**

In the first season (summer 2010) the seven inbred lines were sown in 18<sup>th</sup> May, 28<sup>th</sup> May and 8<sup>th</sup> June to avoid differences in flowering time and to secure enough hybrid seed. All possible combinations without reciprocals were made between the seven inbred lines by hand method giving a total of 21 crosses. In the second season (summer 2011), two adjacent experiments were conducted involved parents, 21 hybrids and Single Cross (S.C.) (Hytech 2031) and S.C. Pioneer 30K8 (Check varieties) were planted in May 16<sup>th</sup> at the Agricultural Research and Experimental Station of the Fac. of Agric., Moshtohor. Two experiments each with different nitrogen levels were conducted to evaluate the parents, 21 hybrids and Single Cross (S.C.) Hytech 2031 and S.C. Pioneer 30K8 (Check varieties). The first experiment received 60 kg N fed.<sup>-1</sup> and the second one received 120 kg N fed.<sup>-1</sup>. A randomized complete block design with three replications was used for each experiment. Each plot consisted of two ridges of five meters length and 70 cm width. Hills were spaced at 25 cm with three kernels per hill on one side of the ridge. The seedlings were thinned to one plant per hill. The rest of cultural practices were followed as usual for ordinary maize field in the area. Random of 20 guarded plants in each plot was taken to evaluate, days to 50% maturity (days) was recorded as the number of days from sowing to the day when all husks of ears turned brown, No. of kernels row<sup>-1</sup>, No. of row ear<sup>-1</sup>, 100-kernel

weight (g), grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (g) was adjusted for 15.5% moisture and shelling%.

#### **Statistical analysis**

The obtained data were statistically analyzed for analysis of variance by using computer statistical program MSTAT-C. General and specific combining ability estimates were estimated according to (Griffing, 1956) diallel cross analysis designated as method 2 model I for each experiment. The combined analysis of the two experiments was carried out whenever homogeneity of variance was detected (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955) was used to differentiate between means.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The analysis of variance for ordinary analysis of the two nitrogen rates as well as the combined for all traits under study is given in Table 1. Mean squares due to nitrogen rates were found to be significant for all studied traits except shelling%, with high magnitudes in high nitrogen rate compared to those in low one. The increase in mean performance in these traits at high rate of nitrogen might be due to the stimulating effect of nitrogen on metabolic process in maize plant. These results are in agreement with those obtained by (Ayub *et al.*, 2002, Eltelib *et al.*, 2006; Hefny and Aly, 2008; Ngaboyisonga *et al.*, 2009; Tamilarasi and Vetriventhan, 2009 and EL-Badawy *et al.*, 2010).

Genotypes, parental inbred lines, crosses and parent vs crosses mean squares were significant for all studied traits for each nitrogen rate as well as for the combined analysis across nitrogen rates except for parent's vs crosses mean square due to days to 50% to maturity at low nitrogen rate. This indicates the wide diversity between the genetic materials used in the present study.

Significant genotype x nitrogen rate mean squares were obtained for days to 50% maturity, No. of rows ear<sup>-1</sup> and shelling% (Table 1), revealing that the performance of genotypes differed from nitrogen rate to another. However, insignificant interaction mean squares between parents x nitrogen and hybrids x nitrogen rates were detected for all traits except for hybrid x nitrogen level for days to 50% maturity, No. of rows ear<sup>-1</sup> and grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>, revealing that the performances of parents and crosses were responded similar to environmental changes. For the exceptional traits, significant interaction mean squares between hybrid and nitrogen rates were detected indicating that, these hybrids behaved some what differently from nitrogen rate to another. Also, insignificant interaction between mean squares due to parent vs crosses and nitrogen rate were obtained for all traits except days to 50% maturity and shelling%. This result indicates that the heterotic effects were not affected by the nitrogen changes.

#### **Mean performances**

The mean performances of the tested seven parental inbred lines and their 21 hybrids and two check varieties at each nitrogen rate and as an average over the nitrogen rates are present in Table 2.





*El-Badawy, M. El. M.*

2c

For days to 50% maturity, the inbred line P<sub>5</sub> at the two nitrogen rates and the combined analysis gave significant lowest value of this trait. On the other hand, none of the hybrids surpassed the late or the highest performing inbred lines and the check hybrid Hytech 2031 except for P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>3</sub> revealing that all hybrids were shifted towards the earliness direction. Earliness in maize is favorable for escaping destructive injuries caused by (*Sesamia cretica* ledi), (*Chilo simplex* But) and (*Pyrausta nubilialis*). Similar results were reported by (El-Hosary and El-Badawy, 2005, El-Hosary *et al.* 2006 and Sedhom *et al.*, 2007).

The inbred line No. 1 at low nitrogen rate, No. 4 and 6 at high nitrogen rate and No. 1, 4, 7, 6 and 3 in the combined analysis had significantly the highest mean values for No. of rows ear<sup>-1</sup>. Also, the crosses P<sub>4</sub>xP<sub>6</sub> in low nitrogen rate, P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>4</sub> at high nitrogen rate and P<sub>4</sub>xP<sub>6</sub>, P<sub>3</sub>xP<sub>4</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>4</sub> and P<sub>4</sub>xP<sub>5</sub> at the combined analysis gave the highest mean value for this trait and surpassed the check hybrids Hytech 2031 and Pioneer 30K8.

The inbred lines No. 4 and 6 showed significant higher number of kernels row<sup>-1</sup> at both and across nitrogen rate. The check hybrid Hytech 2031 had the highest number of kernels row<sup>-1</sup> followed by cross P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>7</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>5</sub> and P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>4</sub>.

The parental inbred lines No. 4 and 3 gave the highest one for 100-kernel weight. Meanwhile, check hybrid Hytech 2031 exhibited highest weight of 100-kernel followed by P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>6</sub> at both and across nitrogen rates.

The parental inbred line No. 4 in the first, second nitrogen rate and across them had the highest mean values of grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>. This inbred line exhibited high mean values for one or more of the traits contributing to grain yield. EL-Badawy *et al.*, 2010 reported that for yield and its component, the parental inbred lines under his study No. 1, 7 and 4 in low nitrogen rate (60 kg N fad.<sup>-1</sup>), 7, 9 and 1 at high nitrogen rate (120 kg N fad.<sup>-1</sup>) and No. 1, 6, 9 and 4 in the combined analysis had the highest mean values for one or more of the traits contributing to grain yield.

Concerning grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> the cross P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> in low nitrogen rate had a significant superiority over other hybrids and Pioneer 30K8, it insignificant over the check hybrid Hytech 2031. On the same trend, in high nitrogen rate the crosses P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>4</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>4</sub> and P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>5</sub> had superiority significantly over check variety Pioneer 30K8. Also, the cross P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> in the combined analysis gave the highest value for grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> compared other hybrids and check variety Pioneer 30K8. These hybrids exhibited significant increase in one or more of traits contributing grain yield (Table 2). The fluctuation of hybrids from nitrogen rate to another was detected for most traits. These results would be due to significant interaction between hybrids and nitrogen rates.

As for shelling %, the parental inbred lines No. 8 in the first nitrogen rate, No. 2 and 6 at high nitrogen rate and No. 2, 6 and 5 at across nitrogen rates had the highest mean values of this trait. On the other hand, the crosses P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>2</sub> and P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> gave significance high values in the combined data.

Such variability among maize genotypes for yield and its components were recorded by several investigators. Among those are: El-Hosary and El-Badawy, 2005; Mosa and Motawei, 2005; Dadheech and Joshi, 2007;

Sedhom *et al.*, 2007, Hefny and Aly, 2008; EL-Badawy *et al.*, 2010 and Hefny, 2011.

**Heterosis**

Heterosis expressed as the percentage deviation of F<sub>1</sub> mean performance from Single Cross (S.C.) Hytech 2031 and Pioneer 30K8 values for grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> is presented in Table 3. Concerning grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> the cross P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>2</sub> and P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> at the low nitrogen level, the parental combination P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>4</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>6</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> and P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>5</sub> at the normal nitrogen level and the hybrid P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> in the across nitrogen levels, out yielded the check hybrid (Pioneer 30K8). Also, eleven, three and nine hybrids had insignificant heterotic effects relative to the check hybrid (Pioneer 30K8). for low, high nitrogen levels and the combined analysis, respectively. Hence, it could be concluded that these crosses offer possibility for improving grain yield of maize. Also, single cross P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> did not differ significantly from the hybrid Hytech 2031 in low nitrogen rate and combined analysis for grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>. While, grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> of the single cross P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>5</sub> had insignificant heterotic effect in the high nitrogen rate regarding the chick hybrid Hytech 2031. These crosses may be useful for testing under different locations and environments. Several investigators reported high heterosis for yield of maize; i.e. (Shafey *et al.*, 2003; Singh *et al.*, 2004; Kanta *et al.*, 2005; Alamnie *et al.*, 2006; El-Hosary *et al.*, 2006; Hefny, 2007; Sedhom *et al.*, 2007 and EL-Badawy *et al.*, 2010)

**Table 3: Heterosis for grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> relative to single crosses Pioneer 30K8 and Hytech 2031.**

Cross	Heterosis relative to S.C. Pioneer 30K8			Heterosis relative to S.C. Hytech 2031		
	N1	N2	Comb.	N1	N2	Comb.
1x2	8.73*	-7.48*	-0.07	-15.39**	-26.43**	-21.33**
1x3	6.14	-4.58	0.32	-17.40**	-24.13**	-21.02**
1x4	4.85	8.75**	6.97	-18.41**	-13.52*	-15.78**
1x5	-33.51**	-29.66**	-31.40**	-48.26**	-44.07**	-45.99**
1x6	-4.15	7.35*	2.09	-25.41**	-14.64**	-19.62**
1x7	21.34**	8.16**	14.16**	-5.58	-13.99**	-10.12
2x3	5.17	-8.57**	-2.29	-18.16**	-27.30**	-23.07**
2x4	4.58	1.09	2.68	-18.62**	-19.62**	-19.15**
2x5	0.54	9.48**	5.39	-21.76**	-12.95	-17.02**
2x6	-8.46	-18.23**	-13.77**	-28.76**	-34.98**	-32.11**
2x7	0.48	-2.22	-0.96	-21.80**	-22.25**	-22.02**
3x4	-7.17	-15.06**	-11.45**	-27.76**	-32.46**	-30.28**
3x5	-17.62**	-11.61**	-14.36**	-35.89**	-29.72**	-32.57**
3x6	-13.25**	-21.54**	-17.75**	-32.49**	-37.61**	-35.25**
3x7	-15.03**	-24.26**	-20.02**	-33.88**	-39.78**	-37.03**
4x5	-5.33	-19.95**	-13.27**	-26.33**	-36.35**	-31.72**
4x6	-19.83**	-17.37**	-18.49**	-37.61**	-34.29**	-35.83**
4x7	5.71	-8.98**	-2.29	-17.74**	-27.62**	-23.07**
5x6	-13.25**	-23.76**	-18.99**	-32.49**	-39.38**	-36.22**
5x7	-6.84	-18.68**	-13.27**	-27.51**	-35.34**	-31.72**
6x7	-31.84**	-26.58**	-28.98**	-46.96**	-41.62**	-44.09**

\* and \*\* significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

N1, N2 and Comb. refer to first, second nitrogen level and combined analysis, respectively.



### **Combining ability**

The analysis of variance for combining ability at the combined analysis for all the studied traits is presented in Table 1. The mean square of general combining ability (GCA) includes the additive and additive x additive genetic portion while specific combining ability (SCA) represents the non additive genetic portion of the total variance arising largely from dominance and epistatic deviations. The mean squares due to general and specific combining ability were significant for all the studied traits, revealing that both additive and non-additive types of gene action were involved in determining the performance of single-cross progeny.

If both general and specific combining ability mean squares are significant, one may ask which type and or types of gene action are important in determining the performance of single- cross progeny. To overcome such situation the size of mean squares can be used to assume the relative importance of general and specific combining ability mean squares which were highly significant. Hence, GCA/SCA ratio was used as measure to reveal the nature of genetic variance involved.

Significant interaction mean squares between nitrogen rates and GCA were detected for all studied traits. Such result indicated that additive and additive by additive effects were more influenced by nitrogen rates than non-additive genetic effects of this trait. Whereas, Significant interaction mean squares between nitrogen rates and SCA were obtained for days to 50% maturity and for shelling%, revealing that non additive effects was more changed with nitrogen rates than additive genetic effects for both traits.

These finding confirm with those obtained above from the ordinary analysis of variance. The interaction between both types of combining abilities and environmental changes were reported to be significant for earliness and grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (Mosa, 2003; El-Badawy, 2006; Mosa and Motawei, 2005; Dadheech and Joshi, 2007; Sedhom *et al.*, 2007 and Hefny and Aly, 2008).

High ratios for GCA/SCA which largely exceeded the unity were obtained for days to 50% maturity, number of rows ear<sup>-1</sup> and shelling% in both and across nitrogen rates. Indicating that large part of the total genetic variability associated with these traits was additive and additive by additive gene action.

For the other remain traits i.e. No. of kernels row<sup>-1</sup>, 100-kernel weight and grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>, GCA/SCA ratios, were less than unity. Therefore, it could be concluded that the large portion of the total genetic variability associated with these traits is due to non-additive gene action. Similar results were reported by (Amer, 2005, El-Hosary and El-Badawy, 2005, El-Hosary *et al.*, 2006 and Sedhom *et al.*, 2007). On the other hand, Iqbal *et al.*, (2007; Akbar *et al.*, (2008) and Hefny (2010) reported that both additive and non additive were important in the genetic expression of most of the traits studied in maize.

It is fairly evident that ratio for GCAxN/GCA was higher than ratio of SCAxN/SCA for shelling%. Also, the interaction of GCAxN were significant for No. of rows ear<sup>-1</sup>, No of kernel row<sup>-1</sup>, 100-kernel weight and grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> but insignificant SCAxN were detected. This result indicated that additive

effects were more influenced by nitrogen rates than non additive genetic effects of these traits. For days to 50% to maturity indicating that the non-additive effects were more influenced by nitrogen rates than additive genetic effects. This conclusion is in well agreement with those reported by Gilbert (1958).

**General combining ability effects**

Estimates of GCA effects ( $\hat{g}_i$ ) for individual parental inbred lines for each trait in the combined analysis are presented in Table 4. general combining ability effects estimated herein differ significantly from zero as it compared to LSD values at 0.05 and 0.01 level of significance. High positive values would be of interest under all traits in question except days to 50% maturity where high negative effects would be useful from the breeder's point of view.

The parental inbred line No. 5 exhibited significant negative ( $\hat{g}_i$ ) effects for; days to 50% maturity at both and across nitrogen rates indicating that this inbred line could be considered as a good combiner for developing early genotypes to escape corn pests. The parental inbred line No. 2 was a good combiner for No. of kernels row<sup>-1</sup> at high nitrogen rate as well as the combined analysis and for shelling % at both and across nitrogen rates. The parental inbred line No. 4 seemed to be a good combiner for No. of rows ear<sup>-1</sup> and grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> at both and across nitrogen rate, for No. of kernels row<sup>-1</sup> at low nitrogen rate and 100- kernel weight at high nitrogen rate as well as the combined analysis. The parental inbred line No. 6 exhibited significant positive ( $\hat{g}_i$ ) effects for; 100-kernel weight. Sofi and Rather, 2006 found that the parents, CML-244, CML-79 and CML-214; GLET-27; CML-214, W-7 and CML-244 and CML-214, W-6 and CML-111 were good general combiners for grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>; 100-kernel weight; kernel row ear<sup>-1</sup> and ear length, respectively.

**Table 4. General combining ability effects for parents for each Nitrogen level as well as the combined across them for all traits under study.**

Parent	Trait								
	days to maturity			no of rows ear <sup>-1</sup>			no of kernels row <sup>-1</sup>		
	N1	N2	Comb	N1	N2	Comb	N1	N2	Comb
P1	1.09**	1.70**	1.40**	0.16	-0.29**	-0.07	0.13	-0.25	-0.06
P2	-0.90**	-1.54**	-1.22**	-0.30*	-0.22*	-0.26*	0.68	1.54**	1.11**
P3	0.10	0.65**	0.37*	-0.05	0.12	0.03	-1.41**	-0.43	-0.92*
P4	-1.04**	-1.45**	-1.25**	1.45**	1.19**	1.32**	1.34**	-0.17	0.58
P5	-1.89**	-3.34**	-2.61**	-0.47**	-0.28**	-0.37**	0.31	-0.26	0.03
P6	0.88**	1.35**	1.11**	-0.31*	-0.11	-0.21	-1.16**	-1.13**	-1.14**
P7	1.76**	2.64**	2.20**	-0.47**	-0.42**	-0.44**	0.11	0.70*	0.40
LSD gi 5%	0.27	0.30	0.28	0.28	0.20	0.24	0.80	0.66	0.73
LSD gi 1%	0.36	0.40	0.38	0.37	0.27	0.32	1.06	0.88	0.97
LSD (gi-gj) 5%	0.41	0.46	0.43	0.42	0.31	0.36	1.22	1.01	1.11
LSD (gi-gj) 1%	0.54	0.61	0.58	0.56	0.41	0.48	1.62	1.34	1.48

\* and \*\* significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

N1, N2 and Comb. refer to first, second nitrogen level and combined analysis, respectively.

Table 4: Cont.

Parent	Trait								
	100-kernel weight			grain yield plant <sup>-1</sup>			shelling%		
	N1	N2	Comb	N1	N2	Comb	N1	N2	Comb
P1	-0.09	0.07	-0.01	6.34**	6.16**	6.25**	-0.69**	1.06**	0.18
P2	-0.62	-0.59	-0.61	4.80*	1.77	3.28	3.16**	2.99**	3.08**
P3	-0.68	-0.48	-0.58	-2.71	-2.14	-2.42	-0.85**	-1.77**	-1.31**
P4	0.60	1.35**	0.97*	11.66**	13.79**	12.72**	-2.10**	-2.06**	-2.08**
P5	-0.29	-1.33**	-0.81*	-8.70**	-9.63**	-9.17**	1.43**	-0.20	0.61*
P6	1.41**	1.24**	1.33**	-10.81**	-6.13**	-8.47**	-0.62*	-0.54	-0.58*
P7	-0.33	-0.26	-0.29	-0.57	-3.82*	-2.19	-0.33	0.53	0.10
LSD gi 5%	0.82	0.79	0.81	4.17	3.66	3.91	0.50	0.56	0.53
LSD gi 1%	1.09	1.05	1.07	5.55	4.86	5.20	0.66	0.75	0.71
LSD (gi-gj) 5%	1.25	1.21	1.23	6.37	5.58	5.98	0.76	0.86	0.81
LSD (gi-gj) 1%	1.67	1.60	1.64	8.47	7.43	7.95	1.02	1.14	1.08

\* and \*\* significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

N1, N2 and Comb. refer to first, second nitrogen level and combined analysis, respectively.

These result indicated that these parental inbred lines possess favorable genes and that improvement in yield may be attained if they are used in hybridization program.

### Specific combining ability

Specific combining ability effects  $\hat{S}_{ij}$  for the studied 21 hybrids were computed for all the studied traits (Table 5).

Table 5: Specific combining ability effects for all the studied traits at two nitrogen levels as well as the combined across them.

Cross	Trait								
	days to maturity			no of rows ear <sup>-1</sup>			no of kernels row <sup>-1</sup>		
	N1	N2	Comb	N1	N2	Comb	N1	N2	Comb
1x2	-1.60*	-1.59	-1.59	-0.49	0.60	0.06	2.26	4.85*	3.55
1x3	0.30	0.79	0.54	0.02	0.50	0.26	4.60	1.78	3.19
1x4	-0.99	-1.34	-1.17	0.32	-0.12	0.10	3.22	3.13	3.17
1x5	-1.55	-1.45	-1.50	0.23	-0.26	-0.01	-2.84	-1.99	-2.42
1x6	0.09	0.35	0.22	-0.13	-0.08	-0.10	3.72	1.78	2.75
1x7	1.14	0.90	1.02	-0.36	0.65	0.14	7.98*	5.56*	6.77*
2x3	-1.54	-1.53	-1.54	1.97*	0.48	1.22	1.13	3.59	2.36
2x4	0.22	-0.43	-0.11	0.17	2.31**	1.24	2.91	4.33*	3.62
2x5	2.25*	0.45	1.35	0.62	0.01	0.31	5.68*	4.32*	5.00*
2x6	1.91*	0.50	1.21	0.13	0.45	0.29	-0.22	0.72	0.25
2x7	0.70	-0.69	0.00	0.49	0.01	0.25	5.51*	6.86**	6.19*
3x4	-0.60	-0.62	-0.61	1.53	0.97	1.25	-2.23	-4.32*	-3.28
3x5	0.64	-1.40	-0.38	-0.63	0.44	-0.09	2.93	5.49*	4.21
3x6	-0.66	-0.09	-0.37	0.29	0.06	0.18	0.47	0.11	0.29
3x7	-2.04*	-2.38*	-2.21*	0.50	-0.73	-0.11	-0.20	1.23	0.52
4x5	0.79	0.04	0.41	1.74*	0.97	1.35	0.65	2.15	1.40
4x6	1.28	0.68	0.98	2.38*	0.80	1.59*	-0.98	-4.60*	-2.79
4x7	1.82*	1.39	1.60	1.55	-0.39	0.58	2.45	1.27	1.86
5x6	0.66	0.57	0.62	-0.60	0.36	-0.12	-1.95	-2.37	-2.16
5x7	0.61	0.61	0.61	-0.14	0.22	0.04	3.03	1.96	2.50
6x7	-3.82**	-2.42*	-3.12**	-1.70*	-0.10	-0.90	-1.95	1.73	-0.11
LSD 5% Sij	1.56	1.76	1.66	1.61	1.16	1.39	4.63	3.84	4.23
LSD 1% Sij	2.76	3.10	2.93	2.85	2.06	2.46	8.19	6.81	7.50
LSD 5% Sij-Sik	2.31	2.61	2.46	2.39	1.73	2.06	6.88	5.70	6.29
LSD 1% Sij-Sik	4.10	4.61	4.36	4.23	3.07	3.65	12.16	10.12	11.14
LSD 5% Sij-Skl	2.16	2.44	2.30	2.24	1.62	1.93	6.43	5.33	5.88
LSD 1% Sij-Skl	3.84	4.31	4.08	3.96	2.87	3.41	11.38	9.47	10.42

\* and \*\* significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

N1, N2 and Comb. refer to first, second nitrogen level and combined analysis, respectively.

Table 5: Cont.

Cross	Trait								
	100-kernel weight			grain yield plant <sup>-1</sup>			shelling%		
	N1	N2	Comb	N1	N2	Comb	N1	N2	Comb
1x2	1.05	7.10*	4.08	36.85*	19.66	28.25*	3.03*	1.36	2.19
1x3	1.11	3.49	2.30	39.60*	29.93*	34.77*	1.18	0.32	0.75
1x4	3.83	2.16	2.99	22.84	43.44**	33.14*	6.65**	4.41*	5.53**
1x5	-0.28	-1.66	-0.97	-28.01*	-17.84	-22.92*	-1.74	2.02	0.14
1x6	8.01*	7.77*	7.89*	28.58*	60.25**	44.42**	1.25	0.30	0.77
1x7	6.25*	3.27	4.76*	65.69**	59.70**	62.69**	3.09*	2.42	2.76
2x3	2.64	2.66	2.65	39.34*	25.55*	32.45*	2.47	2.03	2.25
2x4	1.87	-1.18	0.34	23.91	30.98*	27.45*	3.21*	0.95	2.08
2x5	4.25	3.01	3.63	36.77*	72.90**	54.83**	0.03	1.13	0.58
2x6	6.05*	4.44	5.24*	22.15	8.20	15.17	-3.09*	-1.56	-2.32
2x7	3.29	1.44	2.36	28.51*	41.28**	34.89*	3.25*	1.32	2.28
3x4	1.42	1.71	1.57	9.58	-0.82	4.38	0.09	2.41	1.25
3x5	3.81	2.90	3.35	10.57	30.23*	20.40	1.69	-1.77	-0.04
3x6	1.61	-0.68	0.47	20.75	4.85	12.80	-2.90	-4.11*	-3.51*
3x7	2.35	-0.18	1.09	7.21	-3.46	1.87	1.64	1.30	1.47
4x5	1.03	1.06	1.05	18.97	-4.07	7.45	2.58	0.36	1.47
4x6	3.33	2.49	2.91	-5.81	-1.87	-3.84	0.94	0.71	0.82
4x7	0.57	4.99*	2.78	31.34*	14.32	22.83*	7.04**	6.20**	6.62**
5x6	4.72	5.68*	5.20*	26.72*	7.45	17.08	-2.48	-4.82*	-3.65*
5x7	2.96	2.18	2.57	28.40*	16.29	22.34	2.54	2.22	2.38
6x7	4.25	5.10*	4.68	-15.89	-4.57	-10.23	0.71	-0.07	0.32
LSD 5% Sij	4.77	4.59	4.68	24.26	21.26	22.76	2.91	3.27	3.09
LSD 1% Sij	8.45	8.16	8.30	42.91	37.74	40.33	5.14	5.80	5.47
LSD 5% Sij-Sik	7.09	6.83	6.96	36.04	31.59	33.81	4.32	4.85	4.59
LSD 1% Sij-Sik	12.55	12.12	12.33	63.75	56.07	59.91	7.64	8.61	8.13
LSD 5% Sij-Skl	6.63	6.38	6.51	33.71	29.55	31.63	4.04	4.54	4.29
LSD 1% Sij-Skl	11.74	11.33	11.53	59.63	52.45	56.04	7.15	8.06	7.60

\* and \*\* significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

N1, N2 and Comb. refer to first, second nitrogen level and combined analysis, respectively.

Significant SCA effects for different hybrids were detected based on the values of Least Significant Difference (LSD) at both 0.05 and 0.01 levels of significance. The most desirable inter and intra allelic interactions were presented by combinations: P<sub>3</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> and P<sub>6</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> for days to 50% maturity, P<sub>4</sub>xP<sub>6</sub> for number of rows ear<sup>-1</sup>, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>5</sub> and P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> for No. of kernels row<sup>-1</sup>, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>6</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>6</sub> and P<sub>5</sub>xP<sub>6</sub> for 100-kernel weight, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>4</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>6</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>3</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>5</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> and P<sub>4</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> for grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> and P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>4</sub> and P<sub>4</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> for shelling%. These crosses may be prime importance in breeding programs either towards hybrid maize production or synthetic varieties composed of hybrids which involved the good combiners for the traits in view.

## CONCLUSION

This study clarified that the single crosses P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>2</sub> and P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> at the low nitrogen level, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>4</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>6</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> and P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>5</sub> hybrids at the normal nitrogen

level and the hybrid  $P_1 \times P_7$  in the combined analysis were out yielded the check hybrid (Single cross S.C. Pioneer 30K8). Hence, it could be concluded that these crosses offer possibility for improving grain yield of maize. Also, single cross  $P_1 \times P_7$  did not differ significantly from the hybrid Hytech 2031 in low nitrogen rate and combined analysis for grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>. While, grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> of the single cross  $P_2 \times P_5$  had insignificant heterotic effect in the high nitrogen rate regarding the chick hybrid Hytech 2031. These crosses may be useful for testing under different locations and environments. The parental inbred line No. 4 gave a good combiner for No. of rows ear<sup>-1</sup> and grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> at both and across nitrogen rate. The best SCA effects for grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> were presented by combinations  $P_1 \times P_2$ ,  $P_1 \times P_4$ ,  $P_1 \times P_6$ ,  $P_1 \times P_7$ ,  $P_2 \times P_3$ ,  $P_2 \times P_5$ ,  $P_2 \times P_7$  and  $P_4 \times P_7$ . These crosses may be prime importance in breeding programs either towards hybrid maize production or synthetic varieties composed of hybrids which involved the good combiners for the traits in view.

## **RECOMMENDATION**

From the previous results it is recommended that the single cross  $P_1 \times P_7$  expressed the highest seed yield as compared to all studied crosses and the check hybrid (Pioneer 30K8) and insignificant heterotic effect regarding the chick hybrid Hytech 2031. This particular hybrid had a practical value and could be used as a promising single cross in maize.

## **REFERENCES**

- Ahmed, M.A.; M.H. El-Sheikh and S.A. Shamarka (2000): Diallel analysis of yielding ability and earliness in maize. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 25: 3717- 3726.
- Akbar, M. M.; F.M. Saleem; M.Y. Azhar and R. Ahmed (2008): Combining ability analysis in maize under normal and high temperature conditions. *J. Agric. Res.*, 64: 27-38.
- Alamnie, A.; M.C. Wali; P.M. Salimath and R.C. Jagadeesha (2006): Combining ability and heterosis for grain yield and ear characters in maize. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 19: 13-16.
- Al-Naggar, A.M.; M.S. Radwan and M.M.M. Atta (2002): Analysis of diallel crosses among ten maize populations differing in drought tolerance. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed.*, 6: 179-198.
- Amer, E.A. (2005): Estimates of combining ability using diallel crosses among eight new maize inbred lines. *J. Aric. Res. Tanta Univ.*, 31: 67-73.
- Ayub, M.; M.A. Nadeem; M.S. Sharar and N. Mahmood (2002): Response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) fodder to different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus. *Asian J. Plant Sci.*, 1: 352-354.
- Barakat, A.A. and M.M.A. Osman (2008): Evaluation of some newly developed yellow maize inbred lines for combining ability in two locations. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 33(7): 4667-4679.

- Dadheech, A. and V.N. Joshi (2007): Heterosis and combining ability for quality and yield in early maturing single cross hybrids of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Indian J. Agric. Res., 41(3): 210-214.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955): Multiple rang and multiple F tests. Biometrics 11: 1-42
- El-Badawy, M.E.M. (2006): Genetical analysis of diallel crosses in maize (*Zea mays* L.) over two years. J. Agric. Sci. Benha Univ., 44(3): 911-922.
- El-Badawy, M.E.M.; S.A. Sedhom; A.M. Morsy and A.A.A. El-Hosary (2010). Combining ability in maize (*Zea mays* L.) under two nitrogen rates and genetic distance determined by RAPD markers. Proc. of the 12<sup>th</sup> International Conf. of Agron., Sep. 20-22, 2010, EL-Arish, Sinai, Egypt, pp: 48-66.
- El-Hosary, A.A. and M.EL.M. El-Badawy (2005): Heterosis and combining ability in yellow corn (*Zea mays* L.) under two nitrogen levels. Proc. of the 11<sup>th</sup> Conf. on Agron., Nov. 15-16, 2005, Assiut Univ., Egypt, pp: 89-99.
- El-Hosary, A.A.; M.EL.M. El-Badawy and Y.M. Abdel-Tawab (2006): Genetic distance of inbred lines and prediction of maize single-cross performance using RAPD and SSR markers. Egypt. J. Genet. Cytol., 35: 209-224.
- Eltelib, H.A.; A.M. Hamad and E.E. Ali (2006): The Effect of Nitrogen and Phosphorus Fertilization on Growth, Yield and Quality of Forage Maize (*Zea mays* L.). J. of Agron., 5: 515-518.
- Gilbert, N.E.G. (1958): Diallel cross in plant breeding heredity, 12: 477-492.
- Gomez, K.N. and A.A. Gomez (1984): Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. 2nd ed. John.Wiley and Sons. Inc., new york,
- Griffing, B., (1956). Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems. Aus. J. of Biol. Sci. 9: 463-493.
- Hefny, M. (2007): Estimation of Quantitative Genetic Parameters for Nitrogen Use Efficiency in Maize under Two Nitrogen Rates. International J. of Plant Breed. and Genet., 1: 54-66.
- Hefny, M. (2010): Genetic Control of Flowering Traits, Yield and its Components in Maize (*Zea mays* L.) at Different Sowing Dates. Asian J.I of Crop Sci., 2: 236-249.
- Hefny, M. (2011): Genetic parameters and path analysis of yield and its components in corn inbred lines (*Zea mays* L.) at different sowing dates. Asian J. Crop Sci., 3: 106-117.
- Hefny, M.M. and A.A. Aly (2008): Yielding Ability and Nitrogen Use Efficiency in Maize Inbred Lines and Their Crosses. International J. of Agric. Res., 3: 27-39.
- Iqbal, A.M.; F.A. Nehvi; S.A. Wani; R. Qadir and Z.A. Dar (2007): Combining Ability Analysis for Yield and Yield Related Traits in Maize (*Zea mays* L.) International J. of Plant Breed. and Genet., 1: 101-105.
- Irshad-El-Haq, M.; S.U. Ajmal; M. Munir and M. Gulfaraz (2010): Gene action studies of different quantitative traits in maize. Pak. J. Bot., 42(2): 1021-1030.

- Kanta, G.; H.B. Singh; J.K. Sharma and G.K. Guleri (2005): Heterosis and combining ability studies for yield and its related traits in maize. *Crop Res.*, 30: 221-226.
- Mosa, H.E. (2003): Combining ability of eight yellow maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines for different characters in diallel crosses. *J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ.*, 31: 604-614.
- Mosa, H.E. and A.A. Motawei (2005): Combining ability of resistance to late wilt diseases and grain yield and their relationships under artificial and natural infections in maize. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 30(2): 731-742.
- Ngaboyisonga, C.; K. Njoroge; D. Kirubi and S.M. Githiri (2009): Effects of low nitrogen and drought on genetic parameters of grain yield and endosperm hardness of quality protein maize. *Asian J. Agric. Res.*, 3: 1-10.
- Sedhom, A.S.; M.El.M. El-Badawy; A.M. Morsy and A.A.A. El-Hosary (2007): Diallel analysis and relationship between molecular polymorphisms and yellow maize hybrid performance. *J. Agric. Sci. Benha Univ.*, 45: 1-20.
- Sedhom, S.A. (1994): Genetic analysis of diallel analysis crosses in maize (*Zea mays* L.) over two years. *Ann. Agric. Sci. Moshtohor*, 32: 95-107.
- Shafey, S.A.; H.E. Yassien; I.E.M.A. El-Beially and O.A.M. Gad-Alla (2003): Estimation of combining ability and heterosis effects for growth, earliness and yield in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 28 (1): 55-67.
- Singh, A.K.; J.P. Shahi and J.K. Singh (2004): Heterosis in maize. *J. Applied Biol.*, 14: 1-5.
- Sofi, P. and A.G. Rather (2006): Genetic analysis of yield traits in local and CIMMYT inbred line crosses using line x tester analysis in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Asian J. Plant Sci.*, 5: 1039-1042.
- Tamilarasi, P.M. and M. Vetriventhan (2009): Exploitation of promising maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids for nitrogen (N) stress environment by studying the SCA, heterosis and nature of gene action at different N fertilizer doses. *Int. J. Plant Sci.*, 4: 15-19.
- White, P.J. and L.A. Johnson (2003): *Corn: Chemistry and Technology*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edn., American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN., USA., ISBN-13: 9781891127335, Pages: 892.

**قوة الهجين والقدرة علي التألف في الذرة الشامية باستخدام الهجن التبادلية بين  
سبع سلالات جديدة  
محمود الزعلاوي محمود البدوي  
كلية الزراعة بمشتهر- جامعة بنها**

أجرى تقييم الهجن الناتجة من التهجين النصف دائري لسبع سلالات من الذرة البيضاء تحت مستويين من التسميد الأزوتي (٦٠ و ١٢٠ كجم نيتروجين/ فدان) لستة صفات كمية هي ميعاد النضج وعدد الصفوف للكوز وعدد الحبوب للصف ووزن المائة حبة ومحصول الحبوب للنبات و نسبة التصافي في تصميم قطاعات كاملة العشوائية في مزرعة مركز البحوث والتجارب بكلية الزراعة بمشتهر جامعة بنها.

كانت متوسطات التباين لكل من مستويات التسميد والتراكيب الوراثية والآباء والهجن معنوية في كل الصفات تحت الدراسة. كما كان متوسط التباين للتفاعل بين التراكيب الوراثية ومعدلات التسميد معنوي لصفة ميعاد النضج وعدد الصفوف للكوز ونسبة التصافي. كما أظهر متوسط التباين للتفاعل بين الهجن ومعدلات التسميد معنوية لصفات ميعاد النضج وعدد الصفوف للكوز ومحصول الحبوب للنبات. وكانت التباينات للقدرة العامة والخاصة على التألف معنوية لكل الصفات تحت الدراسة. وكانت النسبة بين القدرة العامة والقدرة الخاصة أكبر من الوحدة لكل من صفة ميعاد النضج وعدد الصفوف للكوز ونسبة التصافي في كلا من مستويي التسميد والتحليل التجميعي. وكان متوسط التباين للتفاعل بين مستويي التسميد والقدرة العامة والخاصة معنويًا لمعظم الصفات تحت الدراسة. أظهرت الهجن الأتية (٢X١ و ١ X ٧) لمستوي التسميد النتروجيني المنخفض والهجن (١ X ٤، ١ X ٦، ١ X ٧ و ٢ X ٥) لمستوي النتروجين العالي والهجن (١ X ٧) لكلا من مستويي التسميد والتحليل التجميعي تفوقا واضح ومعنوي في قوة الهجين للمحصول عن الهجين الفردي المقارن بيونير ٣٠ك٨. كما أظهر الهجين (١ X ٧) انه لم يختلف معنويًا عن الصنف المقارن هايتك ٢٠٣١ في قوة الهجين لمحصول حبوب النبات عند التسميد المنخفض والتحليل المشترك. أظهرت السلالة الأبوية الرابعة قدرة عامة علي التوافق جيدة ومرغوبة لصفة عدد صفوف الكوز ومحصول الحبوب للنبات. كما أوضحت الهجن (١ X ٦، ١ X ٧، ٢ X ٦ و ٥ X ٦) قدرة خاصة ومرغوبة علي التألف لصفة وزن المائة حبة والهجن (١ X ٢، ١ X ٤، ١ X ٦، ١ X ٧، ٢ X ٣، ٢ X ٥، ٢ X ٧ و ٤ X ٧) لصفة محصول الحبوب للنبات والهجن (١ X ٤ و ٤ X ٧) لصفة نسبة أو معدل التصافي. وهذه الهجن يمكن أن يكون لها أهمية في برامج التربية وخصوصا في انتاج الهجن الفردية والهجن التركيبية للصفات تحت الدراسة.

**قام بتحكيم البحث**

**كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنصورة  
كلية الزراعة – جامعة بنها**

**أ.د / سعد احمد المرسي  
أ.د / سيدهم اسعد سيدهم**





**Table 1: Mean squares from ordinary analysis of variance and combining ability for each Nitrogen level as well as the combined across them for all traits under study.**

S.O.V.	d.f.		Trait								
			days to maturity			no of rows ear <sup>-1</sup>			no of kernels row <sup>-1</sup>		
	S.	Comb	N1	N2	Comb	N1	N2	Comb	N1	N2	Comb
Nitrogen rate		1			182.35**			4.95**			241.47**
Rep/N	2	4	2.541*	0.29	1.41	5.01**	0.11	2.56*	1.34	2.22	1.78
Genotypes	27	27	16.87**	32.35**	45.35**	7.55**	4.23**	9.99**	61.52**	71.10**	124.29**
parent	6	6	44.23**	63.09**	106.07**	2.26*	1.78**	2.50*	34.63**	32.63**	63.89**
Cross	20	20	9.50**	23.06**	28.47**	7.73**	3.72**	9.52**	35.27**	45.84**	70.90**
Par.vs.cr.	1	1	0.09	33.66**	18.60**	35.58**	29.04**	64.46**	747.87**	807.07**	1554.38**
G/N		27			3.87*			1.78*			8.34
par./N		6			1.26			1.54			3.37
Cr./N		20			4.09*			1.94*			10.21
Par.vs.cr.Vs.N		1			15.14**			0.17			0.56
Error	54	108	0.56	0.72	0.64	0.60	0.31	0.46	4.99	3.43	4.21
GCA	6	6	15.82**	41.63**	54.18**	4.12**	2.72**	6.55**	8.57**	6.70**	11.67**
SCA	21	21	2.71**	1.97**	3.95**	2.05**	1.03**	2.41**	23.91**	28.55**	49.93**
GCA x N		27			3.87**			1.78**			8.33**
SCA x N		6			3.26**			0.29			3.60
Error	54	21	0.19	0.24	0.73	0.20	0.10	0.68	1.66	1.14	2.54
GCA/SCA		108	5.84	21.11	13.70	2.00	2.63	2.72	0.36	0.23	0.23
GCA x N/GCA					0.07			-			-
SCA x N/SCA					0.82			-			-
Table 1: Cont.											
S.O.V.	d.f.		Trait								
			100-kernel weight			grain yield plant <sup>-1</sup>			shelling%		
	S.	Comb	N1	N2	Comb	N1	N2	Comb	N1	N2	Comb
Nitrogen rate		1			319**			21536.75**			1.49
Rep/N	2	4	5.17	7.65	6.41	832.04**	23.00	427.52	0.30	1.18	0.74
Genotypes	27	27	104.80**	95.76**	193.05**	5856.12**	6132.681**	11570.16**	68.92**	51.07**	110.93**
parent	6	6	15.11*	24**	37.33**	971.17**	2280.35**	2960.09**	127.22**	87.21**	207.10**
Cross	20	20	19.46*	26.8**	37.23**	1878.57**	2252.97**	3657.31**	27.15**	34.39**	54.33**
Par.vs.cr.	1	1	2349.72**	1905.75**	4243.86**	114716.80**	106840.89**	221487.68**	554.40**	167.74**	666.01**
G/N		27			7.52			418.64			9.05*
par./N		6			1.78			291.43			7.33
Cr./N		20			9.03			474.234*			7.21
Par.vs.cr.Vs.N		1			11.61			70.02			56.12**
Error	54	108	5.31	4.91	5.11	136.97	105.25	121.11	1.97	2.48	2.23
GCA	6	6	5.10**	8.70**	12.49**	598.83**	571.04**	1134.32**	27.23**	27.06**	48.78**
SCA	21	21	43.457**	38.557**	79.16**	2338.67**	2465.13**	4634.54**	21.76**	14.15**	33.60**
GCA x N		27			7.51**			418.64*			9.047**
SCA x N		6			1.32			35.55			5.51*
Error	54	21	1.77	1.64	2.85	45.66	35.08	169.26	0.66	0.83	2.30
GCA/SCA		108	0.12	0.23	0.16	0.26	0.23	0.24	1.25	1.91	1.45
GCA x N/GCA					-			-			0.19
SCA x N/SCA					-			-			0.16

\* and \*\* significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

S. refers to single nitrogen level. N1, N2 and Comb. refer to first, second nitrogen level and combined analysis, respectively.

**Table 2 : Meanperformance of all genotype under study at the two nitrogen levels as well as the combind across them.**

Cross	Trait								
	days to maturity			no of rows ear <sup>-1</sup>			no of kernels row <sup>-1</sup>		
	N1	N2	comb.	N1	N2	comb.	N1	N2	comb.
1x1	103.8 AB	107.0 BD	105.4 BC	13.40 DF	12.00 HI	12.70 DF	25.60 M	29.13 LM	27.37 P
2x2	97.57 LM	101.0 LN	99.28 IL	10.83JK	10.87 J	10.85 H	27.53 M	27.93 M	27.73 P
3x3	102.50 CD	106.3 CE	104.4 CD	10.93 IK	12.60 DI	11.77 FG	28.63 LM	32.40 KL	30.52 O
4x4	97.00 M	99.67 NP	98.33 L	11.93 FJ	13.33 CF	12.63 DF	34.47 FK	35.88 HJ	35.17 JL
5x5	94.87 N	96.33 S	95.60 M	11.33 HK	11.80 I	11.57 GH	31.67 KL	31.90 KL	31.78 NO
6x6	102.4 CD	105.3 EG	103.8 DE	12.07 FJ	12.27 FI	12.17 EG	32.93 IK	36.27 GJ	34.60 KM
7x7	104.7 A	109.0 A	106.8 A	11.77 GK	12.57DI	12.17 EG	26.60 M	29.30 LM	27.95 P
1x2	98.93 IL	101.0 LN	99.97IK	12.25 FJ	13.32 CF	12.78 DE	37.88 CG	43.33 BC	40.60 CF
1x3	101.8 CE	105.6 DF	103.7 DF	13.00 EG	13.55 CD	13.28 CD	38.13 CG	38.30 FH	38.21 FI
1x4	99.40 HK	101.3 KM	100.4 HJ	14.80 BC	14.00 C	14.40 B	39.50 BE	39.90 CF	39.70 DG
1x5	98.00 KM	99.33 OQ	98.67 KL	12.80 EH	12.40 EI	12.60 DF	32.40 JL	34.70 IK	33.55 KN
1x6	102.4 CD	105.8 DF	104.1 CD	12.60 FH	12.75 DI	12.68 DF	37.50CH	37.60 FI	37.55 GJ
1x7	104.3 A	107.7 AC	106.0 AB	12.20 FJ	13.17 CG	12.68 DF	43.03 B	43.21 BC	43.12 BC
2x3	98.00 KM	100.0 MO	99.00 JL	14.50 BD	13.60 CD	14.05 BC	35.20 FK	41.90 CE	38.55 FI
2x4	98.62 JL	99.00 OR	98.81 KL	14.20 CE	16.50 A	15.35 A	39.73 BE	42.90 CD	41.32 BE
2x5	99.80 GJ	98.00 QR	98.90KL	12.73 FH	12.73 DI	12.73 DE	41.47 BC	42.80CD	42.13 BD
2x6	102.2 CD	102.7 IK	102.5 EG	12.40 FI	13.35 CF	12.88 DE	34.10 GK	38.33 FH	36.21 HK
2x7	101.9 CE	102.8 HK	102.4 FG	12.60 FH	12.60 DI	12.60 DF	41.10 BD	46.30 B	43.70 B
3x4	98.80 IL	101.0 LN	99.90 IK	15.80 AB	15.50 B	15.65 A	32.50 JL	32.28KL	32.39 MO
3x5	99.20 IK	98.33 PR	98.77 KL	11.73 GK	13.50 CE	12.62 DF	36.63 EJ	42.00 CE	39.32 EG
3x6	100.7 EH	104.3 FH	102.5 EG	12.80 EH	13.30 CF	13.05 DE	32.70 JL	35.75 HJ	34.23 KN
3x7	100.2 FI	103.3 HJ	101.8 GH	12.85 EH	12.20 GI	12.53 DF	33.30 HK	38.70EH	36.00 IK
4x5	98.20 KM	97.67 RS	97.93 L	15.60 AC	15.10 B	15.35 A	37.10 DI	38.93EH	38.01FI
4x6	101.5 DF	103.0 HJ	102.2 G	16.40 A	15.10 B	15.75 A	34.00 GK	31.30KL	32.65LO
4x7	102.9 BC	105.0 EG	103.9 D	15.40 AC	13.60 CD	14.50 B	38.70 CF	39.00EH	38.85EH
5x6	100.0 GJ	101.0 LN	100.5 HI	11.50 GK	13.20 CG	12.35 DG	32.00 KL	33.45JK	32.73 LO
5x7	100.8 EG	102.3 JL	101.6 GH	11.80 GK	12.75 DI	12.28 EG	38.25 CG	39.6DG	38.93 EG
6x7	99.17 IK	104.0 GI	101.6 GH	10.40 K	12.60 DI	11.50 GH	31.80 KL	38.50 EH	35.15 JL
Pioneer 30K8	102.0 CD	104 FH	103EG	11.6GK	12.50 DI	12.05 EG	35.00 FK	38.10 FH	36.5 HK
Hytech 2031	105.0 A	108.0 AB	106.5 AB	12.00 FJ	13.00 CH	12.50 DF	47.60 A	53.10 A	50.35 A
Over mean	100.56	102.66	101.61	12.74	13.11	12.93	35.14	37.59	36.36

**N1, N2 and Comb. refer to first, second nitrogen level and combined analysis, respectively.**

**The capital letters indicate significant difference between means (Duncan test (LSR value, P<0.05).**

**The alphabets descending from A to Z refer to the mean value from high to low.**

Table (2): Cont.

Cross	Trait								
	100-kernel weight			grain yield plant <sup>-1</sup>			shelling%		
	N1	N2	comb.	N1	N2	comb.	N1	N2	comb.
1x1	25.00 I	27.00 K	26.00 K	83.67KL	91.17 MN	87.42 KL	74.67M	79.67HI	77.17K
2x2	24.33 I	28.00 K	26.17 K	69.60L	80.67N	75.13 L	84.67DG	86.33AD	85.50CD
3x3	27.33HI	32.00 IJ	29.67 J	84.83KL	129.0L	106.9 IJ	79.00KL	79.33HJ	79.17IJ
4x4	30.33H	35.00 HI	32.67 I	126.7 J	163.0IK	144.8 H	68.33N	71.33K	69.83M
5x5	26.33I	28.67JK	27.50 JK	89.67 KL	104.7M	97.17JK	84.33EH	83.00EG	83.67DE
6x6	24.00 I	28.00 K	26.00 K	93.90K	127.0L	110.4 I	84.33EH	86.67AD	85.50CD
7x7	24.67I	29.00JK	26.83K	80.00KL	107.0 M	93.50 K	73.00M	77.33IJ	75.17L
1x2	35.50FG	44.50 BC	40.00CF	201.8B	204.0 DF	202.9 DE	88.28A	88.38A	88.33A
1x3	35.50FG	41.00 CG	38.25 DG	197.0 BC	210.4 CE	203.7CE	82.42FJ	82.58FG	82.50EG
1x4	39.50CF	41.50 CF	40.50 CE	194.6 BD	239.8 B	217.2C	86.64AE	86.38AD	86.51AC
1x5	34.50 G	35.00 HI	34.75 HI	123.4J	155.1 K	139.3H	81.78HJ	85.85AE	83.81DE
1x6	44.50 AB	47.00 B	45.75 B	177.9CG	236.7 B	207.3CE	82.72FI	83.79DG	83.25E
1x7	41.00 BD	41.00 CG	41.00 CD	225.2 A	238.5 B	231.8B	84.85CG	86.98AB	85.91BC
2x3	36.50 EG	39.50 DG	38.00 DG	195.2 BC	201.6 DF	198.4E	87.56AB	86.22AD	86.89AC
2x4	37.00 DG	37.50 FH	37.25 FH	194.1 BE	222.9 BC	208.5CE	87.06AD	84.86BF	85.96BC
2x5	38.50 CG	39.00 EH	38.75 DG	186.6 BF	241.4 B	214.0CD	87.40AC	86.88AC	87.14AC
2x6	42.00 AC	43.00 BE	42.50 C	169.9 FI	180.3GJ	175.1FG	82.24GJ	83.86CG	83.05E
2x7	37.50 DG	38.50 FH	38.00 DG	186.5 BF	215.6 CD	201.1DE	88.87A	87.80AB	88.34A
3x4	36.50 EG	40.50 CG	38.50 DG	172.3EH	187.3FH	179.8F	79.92JL	81.55GH	80.73GI
3x5	38.00 CG	39.00 EH	38.50 DG	152.9 HI	194.9EG	173.9FG	85.05BF	79.22HIJ	82.13EG
3x6	37.50 DG	38.00 FH	37.75 EG	161.0GI	173.0HK	167.0FG	78.41L	76.55J	77.48JK
3x7	36.50 EG	37.00 GH	36.75 GH	157.7 GI	167.0IK	162.4G	83.24FI	83.02EG	83.13E
4x5	36.50 EG	39.00 EH	37.75 EG	175.7CG	176.5GJ	176.1FG	84.70DG	81.07GH	82.88EF
4x6	40.50 BE	43.00 BE	41.75 C	148.8 I	182.2GI	165.5FG	81.00IK	81.09GH	81.04FH
4x7	36.00 EG	44.00 BC	40.00 CF	196.2 BC	200.7DF	198.4E	87.39 AC	87.64AB	87.52AB
5x6	41.00 BD	43.50 BD	42.25 C	161.0GI	168.1HK	164.5 G	81.11 IK	77.41J	79.26HJ
5x7	37.50 DG	38.50 FH	38.00 DG	172.9DH	179.3GJ	176.1FG	86.42 AE	85.51AF	85.97BC
6x7	40.50 BE	44.00 BC	42.25 C	126.5 J	161.9JK	144.2H	82.54 FJ	82.89EG	82.72EF
Pioneer 30K8	38.00 CG	40.00CG	39 DG	185.6 BF	220.5 BC	203.1 CB	83.00 FI	84.5 BF	83.75 DE
Hytech 2031	45.50 A	52.00 A	48.75 A	238.5 A	277.3 A	257.9 A	85.01 BF	86.70AD	85.86BC
Over mean	35.53	38.36	36.94	154.87	177.94	166.40	82.86	83.12	82.99

N1, N2 and Comb. refer to first, second nitrogen level and combined analysis, respectively.  
 The capital letters indicate significant difference between means (Duncan test (LSR value, P<0.05)).  
 The alphabets descending from A to Z refer to the mean value from high to low.