

Identifying the Genes of Blast Resistance in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Using Line X Tester Analysis

El-Malky, M. M.¹; H. M. Hassan¹; E. M. R. Metwali² and A. A. Hadifa¹

¹ Rice Research Section, Field Crops Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt.

² Botany Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University, 21455 Ismailia, Egypt.



ABSTRACT

The present study investigation was carried out at the Experimental Farm of Rice Research and Training Center (RRTC), Sakha, Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt, during the three successive growing seasons of 2014, 2015 and 2016. Four rice genotypes namely; Sakha 101, Sakha 102, Sakha103 and Sakha 104 were used as Lines and four monogenic lines; i.e., IRBLKS-S, IRBL3-CP4, IRBL5-M and IRBL7-M, were used as Testers, which carried blast resistance genes *Pik-s*, *Pi3*, *Pi5(t)* and *Pi7(t)*. Under field condition, the results showed that the varieties Sakha 102 and Sakha 103 were resistant to blast under the three locations, Sakha, Gemmiza and Zarzoura. On the contrary, the other two Egyptian varieties Sakha 101 and Sakha104 were susceptible. Moreover, the monogenic lines (IRBLKS-S, IRBL3-CP4, IRBL5-M and IRBL7-M) were blast resistant under natural infection conditions. On the other hand, under five artificial inoculations races IA-77, IG-1, ID-15, IC-17 and IB-45 of *Magnaporthe grisea*, the results revealed that Sakha 102 and Sakha 103 variety were resistant to all races, except IB-45 race, which were susceptible. While, the two Egyptian varieties, Sakha 101 and Sakha 104 were susceptible to blast of the five races. For monogenic rice genotypes, results showed that IRBLKS-S, IRBL3-CP4 and IRBL5-M which carried (*Pik-s*, *Pi3* and *Pi5*) blast resistance genes were resistant to all races, except EG-5 race, which were susceptible and the other rice monogenic line IRBL7-M which carry *Pi7(t)* was resistant against IG-1, 367 and 374 races and susceptible under EG-5 and IB-45 races of *M. grisea*. The results also suggested that the six major genes; *Pi-i*, *Pi-sh*, *Pik-s*, *Pi3*, *Pi5*, *Pi7* (t) were effective under Egyptian conditions and can be used for improving blast resistance character in breeding program. The results of the inheritance of blast resistance using sixteen F₁ and F₂ rice populations showed that all F₁s were resistant to blast. Among the F₂ segregating generation eight populations showed resistant and non-segregation ratio, four rice crosses gave segregations 15 resistant (R) : 1 susceptible (S), while the segregating ratio of another four crosses were 3 R : 1 S for blast. In addition, the analysis of variance revealed significant differences among genotypes, lines, testers and line x tester interactions for blast reaction, duration, plant height, panicle length and weight, flag leaf area, number of panicles/plant and primary branches/panicle, 1000-grain weight and spikelet fertility % traits, indicated that the genotypes had wide genetic diversity for the studied traits. However, significant differences due to interactions of line x tester for the above mentioned traits, indicating the importance of both additive and non-additive gene action in the inheritance of these traits. Highly significant and desirable heterosis and heterobeltiosis were recorded in Sakha 103 X IRBL5-M for improving blast resistance, plant height, flag leaf area, number of panicles/plant and spikelet fertility %. In addition, Sakha 102 X IRBLKS-S rice hybrid was the best cross combinations for early maturity, shortness, area of flag leaf, number of panicles/plant and 1000-grain weight traits and it could be used in breeding program to improving these characters in rice

Keywords: rice, blast reaction, combining ability, heterosis, genetic parameters, and line x tester design.

INTRODUCTION

Rice blast disease is a serious caused by a fungal *Pyricularia oryzae* Cavara of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). It causes considerable damage to rice and crop loss in rice growing regions worldwide. The controlling of this by fungicides can be used to control rice blast, they generate additional costs in rice production and chemical contamination of environment and foods. Therefore, the use of resistant varieties is the best economic way and environmentally efficient ways of crop protection from the disease Yohei *et al.* (2009). The inheritance of host resistance to rice blast studied and they found about 70 genes and 347 quantitative trait loci (QTLs) have been detected by Ballini *et al.* (2008).

Since 1984 in Egypt, after breakdown Rehiyo variety which had exporting from Japan and growing in large area the new races from blast infected this variety and the damage of this area was more than 60%, then the scientists of Rice Research & Training Center (RRTC) started to produce resistant varieties (Giza 177, Giza 178, Giza 181, Giza 182, Sakha 101, Sakha 102, Sakha 103, Sakha 104, Sakha 105 and Sakha 106) RRTC (2006). These varieties produced through classical breeding from hybridization between blast resistance varieties as a donor like Japanese Differential Varieties (JDV) with local varieties. Also, monogenic

resistant lines can be utilized as donor varieties for blast resistance with different resistance genes Fukuta *et al.* (2004), Telebanco *et al.* (2008) which were used in this investigation. The blast races were identified by reactions to 26 Lijianxintuanheigu (LTH) monogenic lines for targeting 23 resistance genes Mary *et al.* (2010). Relations between races and resistance genes were deliberated by Hinako *et al.* (2009) and were understood by identifying resistance genes in Kasalath variety using monogenic lines as differential varieties carrying 24 kinds of resistance genes.

Success of breeding programs depends on the magnitude of genetic variability and the extent to which the advantageous characters. Therefore, the study of genetic variability in rice is not only essential for selecting variable genotypes and predicting that affect of selecting best genotypes but it will also aid breeders in simultaneous improvement of characters through selection Patil *et al.* (1983). Exploitation of heterosis in self-pollinated crops, especially rice, is required. The exploitation of heterosis depends mainly on general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability of genotypes in the hybrids. The Line x Tester analysis provides very useful information to plant breeders in marking decisions concerning the type of breeding system and selecting breeding materials that show the greatest promise for successful selection. Analysis of

variance for line x tester was carried out as designed by Wynne *et al.* (1970). This investigation aimed to: 1) study the inheritance of blast resistance genes through cross between monogenic lines and some Egyptian rice varieties. 2) study the general and specific combining ability effects for some agronomic traits, and 3) estimate the heterosis and heterobeltiosis percentage.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out at the Experimental Farm of Rice Research and Training Center (RRTC), Sakha, Kafer El-Sheikh, Egypt, during the three successive growing seasons of 201^ξ, 201^ο and 201^ϕ.

A line x Tester mating design was used, involving four Egyptian cultivars; Sakha 102, Sakha 101, sakha103 and Sakha 104 used as "Lines" and the four monogenic lines; i.e., IRBLKS-S, IRBL3-CP4, IRBL5-M and IRBL7-M, used as "testers". Seeds of the parental lines were obtained from genetic stock of the Rice Research and Training Center (RRTC), Agricultural Research Center (ARC), Egypt. While, the monogenic lines were received from the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), Philippines (Table 1). These monogenic lines were identifying the type of resistance genes according to Fukuta *et al.* (2004).

Table 1. Parentage, origin, and blast disease reaction for rice genotypes under study.

No.	Entries	Parentage	Origin	Blast reaction	Resistance Gene
1	Sakha 102	(Gz4096-7-1 / Giza177)	Egyptian	R*	<i>Pi-ta²*</i> + <i>Pi-i</i> , <i>Pi-sh</i>
2	Sakha 101	(Giza 176/Milyang 79)	Egyptian	S	<i>Pi-ta²*</i>
3	Sakha 103	(Giza177 / Suwwon349)	Egyptian	R	Unknown
4	Sakha104	(GZ 4096/GZ 4100)	Egyptian	S**	Unknown
5	IRBLKS-S	(IRRI LINES)	IRRI	R	Pik-s
6	IRBL3-CP4	(IRRI LINES)	IRRI	R	Pi3
7	IRBL5-M	(IRRI LINES)	IRRI	R	Pi5(t)
8	IRBL7-M	(IRRI LINES)	IRRI	R	Pi7(t)

Evaluation under field conditions:

Eight parental varieties were evaluated for their reaction against *Magnaporthe grisea* at the blast nursery test at three locations Sakha (Kafr Elsheikh governorate), Gemmiza (Gharbia governorate) and Zarzora (Behera governorate) during 201^ξ, 201^ο and 201^ϕ seasons for blast resistance at seedling stage. The varieties were left exposed for natural blast infection at seedling stage. About forty-days from sowing date, the typical blast lesions were scored, according to the Standard Evaluation System using 0-9 scales IRRI (1996) as follow: 1-2 = resistant (R), 3 = moderately resistant (MR), 4-6 = susceptible (S), 7-9= highly susceptible (HS).

Evaluation under artificial inoculation:

The parents were tested under artificial infection at greenhouse at Rice Pathology Department. Five *M. grisea* races were collected from infected rice varieties at different locations of rice growing area during the previous seasons; were used for artificial infection in the trays. The isolates used were identified according to Atkins *et al.* (1967) as virulent races IA-77, IG-1, ID-15, IC-17 and IB-45. The isolates were grown and multiplied on banana medium (200g Banana, 10g Dextrose, and 20g Agar, 1L water) at 28 °C. Seeds of each parent were seeded in plastic trays (30 x 20 x15 cm). The trays were kept in the greenhouse at 25-30°C, and fertilized with Urea 46.5% N (5 g/tray). Rice seedlings at 3-4-leaf stage were ready for inoculation by spraying with spore suspension (100 ml) adjusted to 5 x 10⁴ spores/ml. The inoculated seedlings were kept in a moist chamber with at least 90% R.H. and 25-28 °C for 24 hr, and then moved to the greenhouse. Seven days after inoculation, blast reaction was recorded according to the Standard Evaluation System using 0-9 scales, IRRI (1996).

Hybridization technique:

A line x tester cross was conducted among the eight parents to produce sixteen crosses using

hybridization technique of Jodon (1938) and modified by Butany (1961). The studied characters were; blast reaction at seedling stage, duration (day), plant height (cm), number of tillers/plant, number of panicles/plant, number of filled grains/panicle, number of unfilled grains/panicle, 1000- grain weight (g), grain yield /plant (g), panicle weight (g), panicle length (cm) and number of primary branches/panicle, it were evaluated according to Standard Evaluation System IRRI (1996).

The parental varieties and F₁s crosses arranged for evaluation in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) experiment with three replications. While, the F₂ materials were planted and evaluated for blast reaction as individual plants for each populations. Each F₂ populations ranged from 200 to 300 individual plants. Analysis of variance for line X tester was carried out as designed by Wynne *et al.* (1970) While, estimates of heterosis were completed as done by Mather (1949) and Mather and Jinkes (1982). All recommended cultural practices were applied for the permanent rice field. Weeds were chemically controlled by 2 liters Saturn.

Analysis of variance was computed for each season assuming that the cultivars under study are random. As the error variances of the experiments were statistically homogeneous, the two experiments were statistically combined over the two seasons, according to Le Clerg *et al.* (1962), then, it was subjected to analysis of variance, which was used to partition the gross phenotypic variability into the components due to genetic (hereditary) and non-genetic (environmental) factors and to estimate the magnitude of them. Genotypic variance is the part of the phenotypic variance, which can be attributed to genotypic differences among the phenotypes. Similarly, phenotypic variance is the total variance among phenotypes, when grown over the range of

environments of interest, Dudley and Moll (1969). Hence, variance components, genotypic (Vg), phenotypic (Vp) and error (Ve) variances were estimated using the formula of Wricke and Weber (1986) and Prasad *et al.* (1981). While, broad-sense heritability (h^2B), expressed as the percentage of the ratio of the genotypic variance (Vg) to the phenotypic variance (Vph) was estimated on genotypic mean basis, as described by Allard (1999). Genetic advance (GA) and expected GA as percent of the mean, assuming selection of the superior 5% of the genotypes were estimated in accordance the methods, illustrated by Fehr (1987) and the phenotypic correlation coefficients were computed, according to the method of Dewey and Lu (1959). Combining ability analysis was done using line x tester method, Kempthorne (1957). The variances for general combining ability and specific combining ability were tested against their respective error variances derived from ANOVA reduced to mean level. Significance test for GCA and SCA effects were performed using t-Test. The heterosis were estimated as the deviation of the F₁ mean value from the mid- and better-parent mean values as suggested by Matzinger *et al.* (1962) and Fonseca and Patterson (1968), respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1-Evaluation under field condition:

Eight rice genotypes were evaluated against *Magnaporthe grisea* infection under field conditions (natural infection) at three locations (Sakha, Gemmiza and Zarzoura).

The results in Table (2) revealed that the varieties Sakha 102 and Sakha 103 were resistant under the three locations, while the other two Egyptian varieties Sakha 101 and Sakha104 were susceptible. Also, the monogenic lines (IRBLKS-S, IRBL3-CP4, IRBL5-M and IRBL7-M) were resistant under natural infection.

Table 2. Blast reaction of four Egyptian varieties and four monogenic lines under blast nursery test in Sakha, Gemmiza and Zarzoura locations.

Genotypes	Locations			Resistance gene
	Sakha	Gemmiza	Zarzoura	
Sakha 102	2	2	2	Pi-ta ² * + Pi-i, Pi-sh
Sakha 101	6	5	6	Pi-ta ² *
Sakha 103	2	2	2	Unknown
Sakha104	5	6	6	Unknown
IRBLKS-S	1	1	1	Pik-s
IRBL3-CP4	1	1	1	Pi3
IRBL5-M	1	1	1	Pi5(t)
IRBL7-M	1	1	1	Pi7(t)

* Resistance gene according to (Imbe 1998).

2- Evaluation under artificial inoculation:

Five virulent races; IA-77, IG-1, ID-15, IC-17 and IB-45 of *M. grisea*, were used to contaminated the eight genotypes under artificial inoculation. The data in Table (3) showed that sakha 102 rice variety, which carry genes Pi-ta² + Pi-i, Pi-sh Imbe (1998) was resistant to all blast races, except IB-45 race, which was susceptible and the same result were observed with Sakha 103. While, the other two Egyptian varieties,

Sakha 101 (Pi-ta²) and Sakha 104 were susceptible by the five blast races. On the other hand, for monogenic genotypes results showed that IRBLKS-S, IRBL3-CP4 and IRBL5-M which carry (Pik-s, Pi3 and Pi5 (t)) were resistant to all blast races, except EG-5 race which were susceptible. IRBL7-M carry Pi7 (t) was resistant against IG-1, 367 and 374 races, while it was susceptible by EG-5 and IB-45 races of *M. grisea*. The results suggested that the six major genes; Pi-i, Pi-sh, Pik-s, Pi3, Pi5, Pi7 (t) were effective under Egyptian conditions and can be used for improving resistance character in breeding program. While, Pi-ta² gene under Egyptian conditions was not effective for the crossing to improve the resistance character.

Table 3. Blast reaction of four Egyptian varieties and four monogenic lines under artificial inoculation in greenhouse.

NO.	Genotypes	IB-45	374	367	IG-1	EG-5
1	Sakha 102	6	2	2	2	2
2	Sakha 101	6	5	4	5	7
3	Sakha 103	4	2	2	2	2
4	Sakha104	5	6	4	4	6
5	IRBLKS-S	2	2	2	2	4
6	IRBL3-CP4	2	2	2	2	5
7	IRBL5-M	2	2	2	2	4
8	IRBL7-M	4	2	2	2	6

3. Inheritance of blast resistance genes:

Eight varieties were chosen to study the resistance and infection type or inheritance of major genes. Four monogenic lines are known to contain specific blast resistance genes; (Pik-s, Pi3, Pi5 and Pi7 (t)), and four Egyptian varieties namely; Sakha102, Sakha101, Sakha 103 and Sakha104. Line x tester crossing technique for eight genotypes was used. The F₁ and F₂ generations of sixteen crosses were performed for studying the inheritance of resistance to leaf blast disease under field conditions. The results in Table (4) showed that 16 crosses produced between resistance by resistance and resistances by susceptible parents were resistance in F₁. These results indicate that the resistance parents used carried dominant genes for resistance and that resistance was completely dominant over susceptibility for blast. As for F₂ generation, eight crosses showed resistant and non-segregation ratio, which produced from Resistance x Resistance, which indicate that the resistance genes in those parents could be the same or allelic.

On the other hand, four crosses (No.7, 8, 13 and 14) gave segregation 15 resistant (R): 1 susceptible (S). This indicated the presence of two resistance genes of leaf blast segregating in these crosses and each gene can express resistance in the genetic background. Also, each parent in these crosses contained one of these genes and the allelic relationship was complete dominance. This data suggested that, if the first parent is AAbb the second should be aaBB. These results are compatible with Hammoud (2004), El-Malky *et al.* (2008) and El Sherif (2011). While, four crosses (No. 5, 6, 15 and 16) gave segregated ratio of 3 R : 1 S. These results indicated the presence of one dominant major resistance gene transferred from these resistant parents to their offspring that control the resistant against blast

El-Malky, M. M. et al.

(Table 4). These results were in agreement with those of (1996); Nagaty *et al.* (2006); El-Malky and Elamawi Mackill and Bonman (1992); Shi *et al.* (1994); Pan *et al.* (2013) and El-Malky *et al.* (2014).

Table 4. Sixteen F₁ crosses and phenotypic ratio and expected ratio of F₂ populations, χ^2 test for blast incidence during 2015 and 2016 seasons.

Genotypes	F ₁	Phenotypic ratio in F ₂		Expected ratio		χ^2	P. value
		R : S	R : S	R : S	R : S		
1-Sakha 102 X IRBLKS-S	R	294 : 0	1 : 0	-	-	-	-
2-Sakha 102 X IRBL3-CP4	R	214:0	1 : 0	-	-	-	-
3-Sakha 102 X IRBL5-M	R	189:0	1 : 0	-	-	-	-
4-Sakha 102 X IRBL7-M	R	196 :0	1 : 0	-	-	-	-
5-Sakha 101 X IRBLKS-S	R	174 : 66	3 : 1	1.331	0.622	-	-
6-Sakha 101 X IRBL3-CP4	R	203:71	3 : 1	1.211	0.614	-	-
7-Sakha 101 X IRBL5-M	R	221: 29	15 : 1	1.122	0.235	-	-
8-Sakha 101 X IRBL7-M	R	252 : 21	15 : 1	0.893	0.623	-	-
9-Sakha 103 X IRBLKS-S	R	139:0	1 : 0	-	-	-	-
10-Sakha 103 X IRBL3-CP4	R	156:0	1 : 0	-	-	-	-
11-Sakha 103 X IRBL5-M	R	167 :0	1 : 0	-	-	-	-
12-Sakha 103 X IRBL7-M	R	119:0	1 : 0	-	-	-	-
13-Sakha 104 X IRBLKS-S	R	243: 20	15 : 1	0.933	0.638	-	-
14-Sakha 104 X IRBL3-CP4	R	233: 21	15 : 1	0.911	0.624	-	-
15-Sakha 104 X IRBL5-M	R	192 : 75	3 : 1	1.33	0.345	-	-
16-Sakha 104 X IRBL7-M	R	190:63	3 : 1	0.314	0.612	-	-

R= Resistant S= susceptible

4- Inheritance of Quantitative traits:

Analysis of variance for all studied characters:

The recorded data on different characteristics were subjected to analysis of variance to confirm the differences among rice genotypes. Mean squares from analysis of variance of all studied traits of rice are presented in Table (5). The Table depicted highly significant differences among rice genotypes for all the studied traits. Sum of squares of rice genotypes for traits were further portioned into parents, crosses and parents vs. crosses, which revealed highly significant differences among genotypes for all studied traits, except panicle weight. The significant component of variance due to parents vs. crosses indicated prevalence of heterosis for all studied traits. The sum of squares calculated for rice crosses were further portioned into lines, testers and line x tester components. Highly significant ($P \leq 0.01$) differences were displayed among line x tester interaction for most of the studied traits. However, non-significant differences existed among lines

and testers and line x tester components for blast reaction and panicle weight traits. The mean squares due to GCA as well as SCA were significant for all the studied traits, except blast reaction and panicle weight traits. Thus, the significance of GCA (variances due to lines and testers) and SCA (variances due to lines x testers) implied that both additive and non-additive types of variation was available for all the traits, yet additive genes were more important than the dominant genes, because variance due to GCA was higher than that of SCA for all mentioned traits. Moreover, the ratio of GCA and SCA variances was greater than unity for all the studied traits that revealed the preponderance of additive gene action over the non-additive gene action for all studied traits. The results suggest that improvement in these traits may be obtained via heterosis breeding or by single plant selection in later segregating generations following hybridization or intermating of selected segregants through recurrent selection.

Table 5. Mean squares from line x tester analysis for yield and its related traits during 2015 growing season.

S.O.V	df	Blast reaction	Duration (day)	Plant height (cm)	Flag leaf area (cm ²)	No. of panicles/ plant
Replications	2	0.04	0.54	0.88	0.93	0.10
Genotypes	23	3.76**	132.13**	529.05**	52.64**	108.58**
Parents	7	10.33**	152.95**	731.24**	44.57**	36.18**
Parents vs. Crosses	1	9.00**	33.06**	1139.06**	43.34**	1715.34**
Crosses	15	0.35	129.01**	394.03**	57.02**	35.24**
Lines (gca, L)	3	0.31	171.24**	215.13**	37.08**	51.47**
Testers (sca, T)	3	0.58	181.47**	556.02**	82.19**	17.74**
Lines x Testers (sca)	9	0.29	97.45**	399.67**	55.28**	35.67**
Error	46	0.20	3.54	4.28	3.45	2.30
GCA / SCA		1.25	1.17	1.08	1.10	1.09

*and ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively.

Table 5. Continuous

S.O.V	df	Panicle length (cm)	No. of primary branches	Panicle weight (g)	1000-grain weight (g)	Spikelet fertility %
Replications	2	0.18	0.01	0.12	0.10	1.40
Genotypes	23	18.86**	7.47**	1.68	17.86**	203.22**
Parents	7	15.71**	12.90**	0.95	28.06**	233.84**
Parents vs. Crosses	1	215.11**	37.01**	21.24**	29.98**	184.96**
Crosses	15	7.24**	2.97*	0.72	12.30**	190.15**
Lines (gca, L)	3	18.06**	1.74	0.79	21.69**	320.46**
Testers (sca, T)	3	0.72	4.08*	0.30	4.07*	282.49**
Lines x Testers (sca)	9	5.81**	3.00*	0.84	11.91**	115.93**
Error	46	1.27	1.17	0.11	0.99	4.17
GCA / SCA		1.16	1.05	1.09	1.05	1.10

Similar results also were reported previously by Basbag *et al.* (2007), Viswanathan and Thiyagarajan, (2008), Hassan *et al.* (2013) and Zeinab Montazeri *et al.* (2014), they exhibited that high magnitude of specific combining ability (SCA) variance revealed the predominance of non-additive gene action for all characters, viz., duration (day), plant height, number of productive tillers/plant, panicle length, number of unfilled grains/panicle, spikelet fertility %, 100-grain weight and grain yield/plant, which offer scope for exploitation of hybrid vigor through heterosis breeding.

Performance of the studied rice genotypes

Conspicuously, Table (6) shows that the resistant to blast were detected for IRBL3-CP4 followed by IRBL5-M and IRBL7-M, on the other hand, the susceptible to infection were observed on Sakha 101 and Sakha 104. Moreover, the tallest plants were observed in IRBL5-M followed by IRBL3-CP4 and IRBL7-M, while, the shortest ones were exhibited in Sakha 101, Sakha 103 and IRBLKS-S rice varieties. IRBL5-M followed by Sakha 103 and IRBLKS-S, were the earliest rice cultivars. Otherwise, Sakha 101, Sakha 104 and IRBL7-M were the latest rice cultivars comparing with other rice cultivars. The highest number of panicles/plant were detected for Sakha 101 followed by Sakha 104. In addition, Sakha 104 has a longest panicle (25.00 cm). The superior panicle weight (2.57 g) was obtained for Sakha 102. Sakha 102 was found to be the heaviest grains rice cultivar (28.33 g/1000 grains). High spikelet fertility % with the lowest spikelet sterility % was observed for Sakha 103, Sakha 102 and Sakha 104 rice genotypes comparing with the other cultivated parents. The parental mean values of flag leaf area were ranged between 31.67 and 41.33 cm²/plant for Sakha 102 and IRBL3-CP4, respectively. Moreover, Sakha 101 was recorded the highest number of primary branches/panicle, so, rice breeders should be use it as donors to improving new rice variety in their breeding program.

In addition, the F₁ mean values of blast reaction were ranged between 1.60 for cross No. 1 (Sakha 102 X IRBLKS-S) and 2.67 for cross No. 6 (Sakha 101 X IRBL3-CP4). Blast reaction was found to be lower than the lowest parent for three rice crosses, indicating that over-dominance was played a remarkable role in the

inheritance of these traits in these counted or mentioned crosses. Moreover, blast reaction was controlled by partial dominance in seven crosses; their F₁ mean values were located between the values of their parental lines. Moreover, the F₁ mean values of duration were ranged between 117.00 day for cross No. 10 (Sakha 103 X IRBL3-CP4) and 143.33 day for cross No. 8 (Sakha 101 X IRBL7-M). Plant height of seven rice crosses was ranged between 101.33 -114.00 cm, which agrees with the target of rice breeders for selected ideal plant height under normal conditions for resistance to lodging and suitable for mechanical harvesting. Flag leaf area was found to be higher than the highest parent for two rice crosses, namely cross No. 2 and No. 5, indicating that over-dominance was played a remarkable role in the inheritance of these traits in these counted or mentioned crosses. The highest number of panicles/plant were exhibited for cross No. 2 (Sakha 102 X IRBL3-CP4), No. 16 (Sakha 104 X IRBL7-M), No. 8 (Sakha 101 X IRBL7-M) and No. 13 (Sakha 104 X IRBLKS-S). Moreover, cross No. 6 (Sakha 101 X IRBL3—CP4) followed by cross No. 16 (Sakha 104 X IRBL7-M) and No. 15 (Sakha 104 X IRBL5-M) were found to be the longest panicle, their estimated values of panicle length were ranged between 28.33 cm and 26.67 cm. On the other hand, cross No. 16 (Sakha 104 X IRBL7-M) followed by cross No. 7 (Sakha 101 X IRBL5-M and No. 13 (Sakha 104 X IRBLKS-S) were the heaviest panicle. High percent of spikelet fertility were observed for cross No. 13 (Sakha 104 X IRBLKS-S), No. 2 (Sakha 102 X IRBL3-CP4), No. 1 (Sakha 102 X IRBLKS-S) and No. 11 (Sakha 103 X IRBL5-M), but, their estimated values were lower than the value of the highest parent (Sakha 103).

Table 6. Mean performance of crosses, lines and testers for the studied traits during 2015 growing season.

Genotype	Blast reaction	Duration (day)	Plant height (cm)	Flag leaf area (cm ²)	No. of panicles/plant
Parents					
1-Sakha 102	2.00	126.00	105.00	31.67	21.00
2-Sakha 101	5.67	142.67	93.67	41.00	26.00
3-Sakha 103	2.00	124.00	98.00	35.33	23.33
4-Sakha 104	5.67	135.00	105.00	33.67	24.00
5-IRBLKS-S	2.00	125.00	98.00	32.33	20.33
6-IRBL3-CP4	1.00	126.00	122.67	41.33	17.00
7-IRBL5-M	1.67	121.00	140.33	37.00	18.33
8-IRBL7-M	1.67	132.33	114.67	39.67	16.33
F₁ - Crosses					
1-Sakha 102 X IRBLKS-S	1.60	123.00	102.00	41.33	33.00
2-Sakha 102 X IRBL3-CP4	1.67	127.00	112.00	44.00	38.67
3-Sakha 102 X IRBL5-M	2.00	128.00	141.33	30.33	28.67
4-Sakha 102 X IRBL7-M	2.00	131.67	135.00	38.00	31.67
5-Sakha 101 X IRBLKS-S	1.67	133.67	101.33	48.33	31.33
6-Sakha 101 X IRBL3-CP4	2.67	130.00	110.00	39.00	31.00
7-Sakha 101 X IRBL5-M	2.33	122.00	118.67	34.00	28.67
8-Sakha 101 X IRBL7-M	2.00	143.33	120.00	36.00	33.67
9-Sakha 103 X IRBLKS-S	1.67	129.00	122.33	37.67	24.67
10-Sakha 103 X IRBL3-CP4	2.00	117.00	117.67	33.00	32.00
11-Sakha 103 X IRBL5-M	1.67	117.67	122.33	35.00	30.33
12-Sakha 103 X IRBL7-M	2.00	128.33	108.67	36.67	26.00
13-Sakha 104 X IRBLKS-S	1.67	134.00	114.00	37.00	33.67
14-Sakha 104 X IRBL3-CP4	2.00	128.67	132.67	39.00	28.67
15-Sakha 104 X IRBL5-M	2.67	124.67	124.00	39.67	31.33
16-Sakha 104 X IRBL7-M	1.67	123.00	107.67	41.33	35.00
L.S.D. 0.05	0.74	3.10	3.41	3.06	2.50
0.01	0.99	4.15	4.56	4.10	3.34

Table 6. Continued.

Genotype	Panicle length (cm)	No. of primary branches/ panicle	Panicle weight (g)	1000-grain weight (g)	Spikelet fertility%
Parents					
1-Sakha 102	24.00	11.00	3.47	28.33	98.00
2-Sakha 101	24.00	13.00	3.20	26.37	92.10
3-Sakha 103	21.33	11.00	3.10	25.33	99.47
4-Sakha 104	25.00	12.00	3.30	25.90	95.17
5-IRBLKS-S	18.33	8.00	1.93	24.37	91.20
6-IRBL3-CP4	21.00	8.00	2.63	26.33	73.03
7-IRBL5-M	21.00	10.00	2.73	22.33	87.17
8-IRBL7-M	20.00	7.33	2.10	18.43	82.00
F₁ - Crosses					
1-Sakha 102 X IRBLKS-S	25.00	11.00	4.10	26.60	94.17
2-Sakha 102 X IRBL3-CP4	24.33	12.00	3.90	28.17	95.33
3-Sakha 102 X IRBL5-M	26.00	10.33	4.20	29.40	84.17
4-Sakha 102 X IRBL7-M	26.66	11.00	4.10	26.37	81.13
5-Sakha 101 X IRBLKS-S	26.33	10.00	4.20	26.23	90.90
6-Sakha 101 X IRBL3-CP4	28.33	13.00	3.80	22.50	85.03
7-Sakha 101 X IRBL5-M	24.00	12.00	4.73	25.63	80.27
8-Sakha 101 X IRBL7-M	25.00	11.67	3.07	23.57	83.13
9-Sakha 103 X IRBLKS-S	23.67	13.00	3.57	26.37	91.67
10-Sakha 103 X IRBL3-CP4	23.00	11.00	3.30	25.50	90.00
11-Sakha 103 X IRBL5-M	25.00	10.00	3.30	27.50	94.17
12-Sakha 103 X IRBL7-M	23.67	12.00	4.27	26.67	92.03
13-Sakha 104 X IRBLKS-S	26.00	12.00	4.30	27.13	97.17
14-Sakha 104 X IRBL3-CP4	26.33	13.00	3.90	24.70	71.17
15-Sakha 104 X IRBL5-M	26.67	11.00	3.80	22.10	76.33
16-Sakha 104 X IRBL7-M	28.00	12.00	4.83	28.27	75.20
L.S.D. 0.05	1.86	1.79	0.55	1.64	3.37
0.01	2.48	2.39	0.74	2.19	4.50

Estimates of general and specific combining ability effects

General combining ability effects:

The estimates of general combining ability effects consider an important indicator of the potential of parental lines for generating superior breeding populations. A negligible or negative combining ability effect indicates a poor ability to transfer its genetic superiority to hybrids. The largest significant positive values have the largest effects. On the other hand, the largest significant negative values have the smallest effects, except in case of duration (days) and plant

height traits. Obviously, Table (7) indicated that Sakha 102 was found to be an overall good general combiners for five traits under consideration including Blast reaction, no of panicles/plant, Panicle weight, 1000-grain weight and spikelet fertility%. Moreover, Sakha 101 was the good general combiners for plant height, flag area and Panicle length traits. Sakha 103 was good general combiners for blast reaction, heading date, 1000-grain weight and spikelet fertility% traits. Sakha 104 was the best general combiners for flag leaf area, No. of panicles/plant, panicle length,

Table 7. Estimates of general combining ability effects for the studied traits during 2015 growing season.

Genotype	Blast reaction	Duration (day)	Plant height (cm)	Flag leaf area (cm ²)	No. of panicles/ plant
Sakha 102	-0.13*	-0.15	4.48**	0.27	1.85**
Sakha 101	0.21**	4.69**	-5.60**	1.19**	0.02
Sakha 103	-0.13*	-4.56**	-0.35	-2.56**	-2.90**
Sakha 104	0.04	0.02	1.48**	1.10**	1.02**
S.E (gi)	0.13	0.54	0.60	0.54	0.44
S.E (gl-gj)	0.18	0.77	0.84	0.76	0.62
IRBLKS-S	-0.29**	2.35**	-8.19**	2.94**	-0.48*
IRBL3-CP4	0.13*	-1.90**	-0.02	0.60*	1.44**
IRBL5-M	0.21**	-4.48**	8.48**	-3.40**	-1.40**
IRBL7-M	-0.04	4.02**	-0.27	-0.15	0.44*
S.E (gi)	0.13	0.54	0.60	0.54	0.44
S.E (gt-gj)	0.18	0.77	0.84	0.76	0.62

*and ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively.

No. of primary branches/panicle and panicle weight, while, IRBLKS-S was the greatest general combiner for blast reaction, shortness, flag area, 1000-grain weight and spikelet fertility%. combiner for blast reaction, shortness, flag area, 1000-grain weight and spikelet fertility%. In addition, IRBL3-CP4 rice tester was good general combiners for improving earliness, flag leaf area, No. of panicles and No. of primary branches/panicle. While, IRBL5-M was the best general

combiners for earliness. Moreover, IRBL7-M was the best general combiners for No. of panicles/panicle and Panicle weight. However, some parents with high mean values exhibited low GCA effects. Hence, both performances *per se* and GCA effects should be taken into account for parental selection. The parent IRBL3-CP4, was selected as the best one since it had high mean values for blast reaction and was also a good general combiners for the same traits. It is obvious that none of

the parents were found to be good for all studied the traits. Hence, it would be desirable to have multiple crosses involving the parents, viz., IRBLKS-S, IRBL3-CP4 and Sakha 102, and practicing a selection in the segregating generations to isolate superior genotypes.

Similar results were obtained previously by Soroush and Moumeni (2006). El Abd, et al. (2007), Viswanathan satheesh and Thiyagarajan (2008), Muthuramu, et al. (2010), Hassan et al. (2013) and Zeinab Montazeril et al. (2014).

Table 7. Continuous

Genotype	Panicle length (cm)	No. of primary branches/pa.	Panicle weight (g)	1000-grain weight (g)	Spikelet fertility%
Sakha 102	-0.01	-0.48**	0.11*	1.59**	2.33**
Sakha 101	0.42*	0.10	-0.01	-1.56**	-1.53**
Sakha 103	-1.67**	-0.06	-0.35**	0.46**	5.60**
Sakha 104	1.25**	0.44**	0.25**	-0.49**	-6.40**
S.E (gi)	0.33	0.31	0.10	0.29	0.59
S.E (gl-gj)	0.46	0.44	0.14	0.41	0.83
IRBLKS-S	-0.25	-0.06	0.08	0.54**	7.11**
IRBL3-CP4	0.01	0.69**	-0.24**	-0.83**	-0.98**
IRBL5-M	-0.08	-0.73**	0.05	0.11	-2.63**
IRBL7-M	0.33	0.10	0.11**	0.17	-3.49**
S.E (gi)	0.33	0.31	0.10	0.29	0.59
S.E (gt-gj)	0.46	0.44	0.14	0.41	0.83

*and ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively.

Specific combining ability effects

High specific combining ability effects were caused by the dominance and interaction or epistatic effects (non-fixable genes) that existed between the crossed parents. The same can be used as an index to determine the usefulness of a particular cross-combination in the exploitation of heterosis. As shown in Table (8), only one cross out of 16 combinations possessed significant desirable SCA effects for blast reaction involving two kind of combinations between the parents of high and low GCA effects, such as Sakha 103 X IRBL5-M (high x low), where the majority were derived from former cross-combinations. Moreover, the hybrid, Sakha 102 X IRBL3-CP4 was the best cross combinations to improve shortness, flag leaf area, increase number of panicles/plant and increase 1000-grain weight as well as high fertility percentage. While, Sakha 103 X IRBL5-M was the best cross combinations for improving resistance to blast, plant height, flag leaf area, number of panicles/ plant and spikelet fertility%. In addition to, Sakha 102 X IRBLKS-S hybrid was the best cross combinations for early maturity, short plant height, flag leaf area, increase number of panicles/plant and increase 1000-grain weight.

Furthermore, On the contrary, Sakha 102 X IRBL7-M and Sakha 104 X IRBL3-CP4 rice hybrids were very poor cross combinations, which showed no significant specific combining abilities effects for all the studied traits. On the other hand, Sakha 103 X IRBL5-M was the greatest cross combinations; it showed significant favorable SCA effects for five traits among them blast reaction, plant height, flag leaf area, number of panicles/ plant and spikelet fertility %. The studied hybrids involved all kinds of parental combinations such as high x high, high x low and low x low. This suggests that either additive x additive, additive x dominance and/or dominance x dominance genetic interactions were predominant. The superiority of these crosses may be due to complementary and duplicate type of gene interactions.

Hence, these hybrids are expected to produce desirable segregants and could be exploited successfully in breeding programs. Similar findings were reported earlier by Pradhan, et al. (2006), El Abd, et al. (2007), Basbag, et al. (2007), Sarma, et al. (2007), Viswanathan Satheesh and Thiyagarajan (2008), Muthurama, et al. (2010), Hassan et al. (2013) and Zeinab Montazeril et al. (2014).

Table 8. Estimates of specific combining ability effects for the studied traits during 2015 growing season.

Genotypes	Blast reaction	Heading date (day)	Plant height (cm)	Flag leaf area (cm ²)	No. of panicles/ plant
1-Sakha 102 X IRBLKS-S	0.13	-6.77**	-12.40**	-0.02	0.48
2-Sakha 102 X IRBL3-CP4	-0.29	1.48	-10.56**	4.98*	4.23**
3-Sakha 102 X IRBL5-M	-0.04	5.06**	10.27**	-4.69*	-2.94*
4-Sakha 102 X IRBL7-M	0.21	0.23	12.69**	-0.27	-1.77*
5-Sakha 101 X IRBLKS-S	-0.21	-0.94	-2.98*	6.06**	0.65
6-Sakha 101 X IRBL3-CP4	0.38*	-0.35	-2.48*	-0.94	-1.60
7-Sakha 101 X IRBL5-M	-0.04	-5.77**	-2.31	-1.94	-1.10
8-Sakha 101 X IRBL7-M	-0.13	7.06**	7.77**	-3.19*	2.06*
9-Sakha 103 X IRBLKS-S	0.13	3.65*	12.77**	-0.85	-3.10*
10-Sakha 103 X IRBL3-CP4	0.04	-4.10*	-0.06	-3.19*	2.31*
11-Sakha 103 X IRBL5-M	-0.38*	-0.85	-3.90*	2.81*	3.48**
12-Sakha 103 X IRBL7-M	0.21	1.31	-8.81**	1.23	-2.69*
13-Sakha 104 X IRBLKS-S	-0.04	4.06*	2.60*	-5.19**	1.98*
14-Sakha 104 X IRBL3-CP4	-0.13	2.98*	13.10**	-0.85	-4.94**
15-Sakha 104 X IRBL5-M	0.46*	1.56	-4.06*	3.81*	0.56
16-Sakha 104 X IRBL7-M	-0.29	-8.60**	-11.65**	2.23*	2.40*
S.E (Sij)	0.16	1.09	1.19	1.07	0.88
S.E (Sij-Skl)	0.27	1.54	1.69	1.52	1.24
L.S.D 0.05	0.32	2.20	2.40	2.16	1.77
0.01	0.69	4.16	4.56	5.83	3.35

*and ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively.

Table 8. Continuous

Genotypes	Paniclelength (cm)	No. of primary branches	Panicleweight (g)	1000-grain weight (g)	Spikelet fertility%
1-Sakha 102 X IRBLKS-S	-0.25	-0.02	-0.06	-1.57*	-1.64
2-Sakha 102 X IRBL3-CP4	-1.17*	0.23	0.06	1.36*	7.62**
3-Sakha 102 X IRBL5-M	0.58	-0.02	0.08	1.65*	-1.90
4-Sakha 102 X IRBL7-M	0.83	-0.19	-0.08	-1.44*	-4.08*
5-Sakha 101 X IRBLKS-S	0.67	-1.60*	0.17	1.21*	-1.04
6-Sakha 101 X IRBL3-CP4	2.42*	0.65	0.09	-1.16*	1.18
7-Sakha 101 X IRBL5-M	-1.83*	1.06	0.74**	1.04	-1.93
8-Sakha 101 X IRBL7-M	-1.25	-0.10	-0.99**	-1.09	1.79
9-Sakha 103 X IRBLKS-S	0.08	1.56*	-0.12	-0.68	-7.41**
10-Sakha 103 X IRBL3-CP4	-0.83	-1.19	-0.07	-0.18	-0.98
11-Sakha 103 X IRBL5-M	1.25	-0.77	-0.36	0.88	4.83**
12-Sakha 103 X IRBL7-M	-0.50	0.40	0.55*	-0.01	3.56*
13-Sakha 104 X IRBLKS-S	-0.50	0.06	0.01	1.04	10.09**
14-Sakha 104 X IRBL3-CP4	-0.42	0.31	-0.07	-0.02	-7.82**
15-Sakha 104 X IRBL5-M	0.00	-0.27	-0.46*	-3.56**	-1.00
16-Sakha 104 X IRBL7-M	0.92	-0.10	0.52*	2.54**	-1.28
S.E (Sij)	0.65	0.63	0.19	0.57	1.18
S.E (Sij-Skl)	0.92	0.88	0.27	0.81	1.67
L.S.D 0.05	1.31	1.27	0.38	1.15	2.38
0.01	2.48	0.73	2.19	4.51	6.37

Estimates of heterosis and heterobeltiosis:

A large number of crosses exhibited high estimates of heterosis and heterobeltiosis in a desirable direction for different traits under study. The estimates of heterosis and heterobeltiosis for different traits are presented in Table 9. A greater magnitude of heterobeltiosis was observed in four crosses for blast reaction. The availability of sufficient hybrid vigor in several crosses in respect of blast reaction suggests that a hybrid breeding program could profitably be undertaken in rice. The results showed that significant heterosis and heterobeltiosis in desirable negative

direction was recorded on cross No. 10 (Sakha 103 X IRBL3-CP4) and No. 16 (Sakha 104 X IRBL7-M) rice crosses for earliness. Moreover, neither heterosis nor heterobeltiosis were observed for plant height in all the studied crosses also. Nine out of 16 crosses had highly significant and positive estimates of heterosis and heterobeltiosis for flag leaf area, the highest estimated values were exhibited in cross No. 5 (Sakha 101 X IRBLKS-S) and No. 1 (Sakha 102 X IRBLKS-S), otherwise, the lowest estimated values were recorded in cross No. 14 (Sakha 104 X IRBL3-CP4) and No. 15 (Sakha 104 X IRBL5-M).

Table 9. Estimates of heterosis as a deviation from mid-parents (MP) and better-parents (BP) for blast reaction, duration, plant height and flag leaf area traits in the studied rice crosses during 2015 growing season.

Genotype	Blast reaction		Duration (day)		Plant height (cm)		Flag leaf area	
	M.P	B.P	M.P	B.P	M.P	B.P	M.P	B.P
1-Sakha 102 X IRBLKS-S	-16.67**	-16.67**	-1.99	-1.60	0.49	4.08*	29.17**	27.84**
2-Sakha 102 X IRBL3-CP4	11.11**	66.67**	0.79	0.79	-1.61	6.67**	20.55**	6.45**
3-Sakha 102 X IRBL5-M	9.09**	20.00**	3.64**	5.79**	15.22**	34.60**	-11.65**	-18.02**
4-Sakha 102 X IRBL7-M	9.09**	20.00**	1.94	4.50**	22.91**	28.57**	6.54**	-4.20**
5-Sakha 101 X IRBLKS-S	-56.52**	-16.67**	-0.12	6.93**	5.74**	8.19**	31.82**	17.89**
6-Sakha 101 X IRBL3-CP4	-20.00**	166.67**	-3.23*	3.17*	1.69	17.44**	-5.26**	-5.65**
7-Sakha 101 X IRBL5-M	-36.36**	40.00**	-7.46**	0.83	1.42	26.69**	-12.82**	-17.07**
8-Sakha 101 X IRBL7-M	-45.45**	20.00**	4.24**	8.31**	15.20**	28.11**	-10.74**	-12.20**
9-Sakha 103 X IRBLKS-S	-16.67**	-16.67**	3.61**	4.03**	24.83**	24.83**	11.33**	16.49**
10-Sakha 103 X IRBL3-CP4	33.33**	100.00**	-6.40**	-5.65**	6.65**	20.07**	-13.91**	-20.16**
11-Sakha 103 X IRBL5-M	-9.09**	0.01	-3.95**	-2.75	2.66	24.83**	-3.23*	-5.41**
12-Sakha 103 X IRBL7-M	9.09**	20.00**	0.13	3.49*	2.19	10.88**	-2.22	-7.56**
13-Sakha 104 X IRBLKS-S	-56.52**	-16.67**	3.08*	7.20**	12.32**	16.33**	12.12**	9.90**
14-Sakha 104 X IRBL3-CP4	-40.00**	100.00**	-1.40	2.12	16.54**	26.35**	4.00**	-5.65**
15-Sakha 104 X IRBL5-M	-27.27**	60.00**	-2.60	3.03**	1.09	18.10**	12.26**	7.21**
16-Sakha 104 X IRBL7-M	-54.55**	2.00**	-7.98**	-7.05**	-1.97	2.54	12.73**	4.20**
L.S.D 0.05	0.64	0.74	2.69	3.10	2.96	3.41	2.65	3.06
0.01	0.86	0.99	3.59	4.15	3.95	4.56	3.55	4.10

*and ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively.

All studied crosses showed significant and positive heterosis and heterobeltiosis for number of panicles/ plant, their estimated values were ranged between (12.98, 5.71 and 103.5, 84.13 %) in Sakha 103 X IRBLKS-S and Sakha 102 X IRBL3-CP4 rice crosses, respectively. In addition to, fourteen out of 16 crosses had highly significant and positive estimates of heterobeltiosis for panicle length, the highest estimated values (18.06 and 17.19 %) were exhibited in Sakha 101

X IRBL3-CP4 and Sakha 103 X IRBL5-M rice crosses, respectively. While, all studied crosses showed significant and positive heterosis for panicle length. Highly significant and positive estimates of heterosis and heterobeltiosis were obtained in 12 and 4 crosses for number of primary branches, respectively, the most important crosses for reducing number of primary branches were Sakha 102 X IRBL3-CP4, Sakha 103 X IRBLKS-S and Sakha 103 X IRBL7-M.

Table 9. Estimates of heterosis as a deviation from mid-parents (MP) and better-parents (BP) for No. of panicles/plant, panicle length and No. of primary branches/panicle traits in the studied rice crosses during 2015 growing season.

Genotype	No. of panicles/plant		Panicle length (cm)		No. of primary branches/ panicle	
	M.P	B.P	M.P	B.P	M.P	B.P
1-Sakha 102 X IRBLKS-S	59.68**	57.14**	18.11**	4.15**	15.79**	0.00
2-Sakha 102 X IRBL3-CP4	103.51**	84.13**	8.15**	1.39	26.32**	9.09**
3-Sakha 102 X IRBL5-M	45.76**	36.51**	15.56**	8.33**	-1.59*	-6.06**
4-Sakha 102 X IRBL7-M	69.64**	50.79**	21.21**	11.11**	20.00**	0.00
5-Sakha 101 X IRBLKS-S	35.25**	20.51**	24.41**	9.72**	-4.76**	-23.08**
6-Sakha 101 X IRBL3-CP4	44.19**	19.23**	25.93**	18.06**	23.81**	0.00
7-Sakha 101 X IRBL5-M	29.32**	10.26**	6.67**	0.00	4.35**	-7.69**
8-Sakha 101 X IRBL7-M	59.06**	29.49**	13.64**	4.17**	14.75**	-10.26**
9-Sakha 103 X IRBLKS-S	12.98**	5.71**	19.33**	10.94**	36.84**	18.18**
10-Sakha 103 X IRBL3-CP4	58.68**	37.14**	8.66**	7.81**	15.79**	0.00
11-Sakha 103 X IRBL5-M	45.60**	30.00**	18.11**	17.19**	-4.76**	-9.09**
12-Sakha 103 X IRBL7-M	31.09**	11.43**	14.52**	10.94**	30.91**	9.09**
13-Sakha 104 X IRBLKS-S	51.88**	40.28**	20.00**	4.00**	20.00**	0.00
14-Sakha 104 X IRBL3-CP4	39.84**	19.44**	14.49**	5.33**	30.00**	8.33**
15-Sakha 104 X IRBL5-M	48.03**	30.56**	15.94**	6.67**	0.01	-8.33**
16-Sakha 104 X IRBL7-M	73.55**	45.83**	24.44**	12.00**	24.14**	0.00
L.S.D 0.05	2.17	2.50	1.61	1.86	1.55	1.79
0.01	2.90	3.34	2.15	2.48	2.07	2.39

*and ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively.

Table 9. Continuous

Genotype	Panicle weight (g)		1000-grain weight (g)		Spikelet fertility%	
	M.P	B.P	M.P	B.P	M.P	B.P
1-Sakha 102 X IRBLKS-S	51.85**	18.27**	0.95	-6.12**	-0.46	-3.91*
2-Sakha 102 X IRBL3-CP4	27.87**	12.50**	3.05**	-0.59	11.48**	-2.72
3-Sakha 102 X IRBL5-M	35.48**	21.15**	16.05**	3.76**	-9.09**	-14.12**
4-Sakha 102 X IRBL7-M	47.31**	18.27**	12.76**	-6.94**	-9.85**	-17.21**
5-Sakha 101 X IRBLKS-S	63.64**	31.25**	3.42**	-0.51	-0.82	-1.30
6-Sakha 101 X IRBL3-CP4	30.29**	18.75**	-14.61**	-14.66**	2.99*	-7.67**
7-Sakha 101 X IRBL5-M	59.55**	47.92**	5.27**	-2.78**	-10.45**	-12.85**
8-Sakha 101 X IRBL7-M	15.72**	-4.17**	5.21**	-10.62**	-4.50**	-9.74**
9-Sakha 103 X IRBLKS-S	41.72**	15.05**	6.10**	4.08**	-3.85*	-7.84**
10-Sakha 103 X IRBL3-CP4	15.12**	6.45**	-1.29	-3.16**	4.35**	-9.52**
11-Sakha 103 X IRBL5-M	13.14**	6.45**	15.38**	8.55**	0.91	-5.33**
12-Sakha 103 X IRBL7-M	64.10**	37.63**	21.86**	5.26**	1.43	-7.47**
13-Sakha 104 X IRBLKS-S	64.33**	30.30**	7.96**	4.76**	4.27**	2.10
14-Sakha 104 X IRBL3-CP4	31.46**	18.18**	-5.42**	-6.20**	-15.38**	-25.22**
15-Sakha 104 X IRBL5-M	25.97**	15.15**	-8.36**	-14.67**	-16.27**	-19.79**
16-Sakha 104 X IRBL7-M	79.01**	46.46**	27.52**	9.14**	-15.11**	-20.98**
L.S.D 0.05	0.48	0.55	1.42	1.64	2.92	3.37
0.01	0.64	0.74	1.90	2.19	3.90	4.50

*and ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively.

Obviously, (Table 9) shows that All the studied crosses showed significant and positive heterosis and heterobeltiosis for panicle weight, the cross, Sakha 104 X IRBL7-M exhibited highest estimate of heterosis (79.01%) for panicle weight followed by Sakha 104 X IRBLKS-S (64.33%), while, the highest estimate of heterobeltiosis was recorded on Sakha 101 X IRBL5-M (47.92%) for the same trait. Furthermore, highly significant and positive estimates of heterosis and heterobeltiosis were recorded on 11 and 6 rice crosses, the highest estimated values were reported on Sakha 104 X IRBL7-M (27.52%) and (9.14%) for 1000-grain weight, respectively. four rice crosses exhibited highly significant and positive heterosis, when it was measured as a deviation from mid-parent for spikelet fertility %, the highest estimated value was recorded on Sakha 102 X IRBL3-CP4 (11.48%), on the other hand, no heterobeltiosis were recorded for spikelet fertility % in all the studied crosses. Similar results were reported by several scientists such as, Khoyumthem, *et al.* (2005), Basbag, *et al.* (2007), El Abd, *et al.* (2007), Ganapathy and Ganesh (2008), Amudha, *et al.*

(2010), Muthuramu, *et al.* (2010), Hassan *et al.* (2013) and Zeinab Montazeril *et al.* (2014).

Estimates of genetic parameters for the studied traits:

It is evident from Table (10) that the dominance genetic variance was greater than additive genetic variance for all the studied traits. The highest estimated values of environmental variance were recorded for plant height and spikelet fertility% traits. On the other hand, high heritability coupled with moderate to high expected genetic advance were noted for panicle weight followed by number of panicles/plant, flag leaf area, blast reaction, 1000-grain weight and number of primary branches/panicle traits, revealing substantial contribution of additive variance in phenotypic expression, and indicating the effectiveness of selection in early generation to improve these traits. Falconer and Mackay (1996) demonstrated that the lower narrow sense heritability was caused by low additive effects and high dominant gene action. Low genetic advance as percent mean was observed for duration (3.69%), plant height (6.05%),

panicle length (6.51%), and spikelet fertility% (5.75%), indicating the involvement of non-additive gene action in controlling these traits and heterosis breeding may be useful for further generation of variability for these traits,

hence in this case selection may not be effective. These results are in harmony with the combining ability analysis. The lowest estimated values of narrow sense heritability were exhibited for all studied traits.

Table 10. Estimates of genetic parameters for the studied traits during 2015 growing season.

Genetic Parameter	Blast reaction	Duration (day)	Plant height (cm)	Flag leaf area(cm ²)	No. of panicles/ plant
Dominance Variance	0.03	31.30	131.79	17.27	11.12
Additive Variance	0.01	5.24	11.55	1.70	0.97
Genetic Variance	0.03	36.53	143.35	18.98	12.10
Environment Variance	0.20	3.54	4.28	3.52	2.30
Phenotypic Variance	0.48	6.33	12.15	4.73	3.79
Ratio of gca Var. to sca Var.	1.25	1.17	1.08	1.10	1.09
Narrow sense heritability	3.11	13.06	7.82	7.58	6.79
Broad sense heritability	15.19	91.16	97.10	84.61	84.03
Expected Genetic Advance	9.89	3.69	6.05	10.09	12.17

Table 10. Continued.

Genetic Parameters	Panicle length (cm)	No. of primary branches	Panicle weight (g)	1000-grain weight (g)	Spikelet fertility%
Dominance Variance	1.52	0.61	0.24	3.64	37.25
Additive Variance	0.25	0.05	0.01	0.36	9.01
Genetic Variance	1.76	0.66	0.25	4.00	46.26
Environment Variance	1.27	1.17	0.11	0.99	4.17
Phenotypic Variance	1.74	1.35	0.61	2.23	7.10
Ratio of gca Var. to sca Var.	1.16	1.09	1.05	1.10	1.24
Narrow sense of Heritability	8.12	2.86	3.60	7.22	17.86
Broad sense of Heritability	58.15	36.08	69.32	80.15	91.72
Expected Genetic Advance	6.51	7.82	31.08	9.64	5.75

Moreover, the ratio of GCA and SCA variances was greater than unity for all the traits revealed the preponderance of non-additive gene action over the additive gene action for all studied traits. The results suggest that improvement in these traits may be obtained via heterosis breeding or by single plant selection in later generations following hybridization or intermating of selected segregants through recurrent selection. Similar results were observed previously by Saxena, *et al.* (2005), Manickavelu, *et al.* (2006), Pradhan, *et al.* (2006), Sarma, *et al.* (2007), Hassan *et al.* (2013) and Zeinab Montazeril *et al.* (2014).

4. Proportional Contribution of Lines, Testers and L x T. to Total Variances:

Line x testers contributed more to the total sum square for all studied traits. The contribution of lines was lower compared to the testers and lines x testers interaction for all traits under study, except number of panicles/plant, panicle length, panicle weight and 1000-grain weight. All three sources of variation contributed equally for the spikelet fertility %. Contribution of tester was slightly greater than that of lines for blast reaction, duration, plant height, flag leaf area and number of primary branches/panicle (Table 11). These results showed that lines, testers and the interaction lines x testers brought much variation in the expression of the studied traits. Similar results were observed previously by Mushonga (1991).

Table 11. Contribution of Lines, Tester and Lines x Tester for the studied traits during 2015 growing season.

% of contribution	Blast reaction	Duration (day)	Plant height (cm)	Flag leaf area	No. of panicles/ plant
Contribution of Lines	17.82	26.55	10.92	13.00	29.21
Contribution of Tester	33.45	28.13	28.22	28.83	10.07
Contribution of LinexTester	48.64	45.32	60.86	58.17	60.73

Table 11. Continuous

% of contribution	Panicle length (cm)	No. of primary branches	Panicle weight (g)	1000-grain weight (g)	Spikelet fertility%
Contribution of Lines	49.85	11.76	22.03	35.27	33.71
Contribution of Tester	1.99	27.49	8.38	6.62	31.71
Contribution of LinexTester	48.16	60.75	69.59	58.10	33.58

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors conducted this research in the greenhouse of Dr. Salah El-Wash, Department of plant pathology at RRTC. The authors wish to express their deep thanks and gratitude to Dr. Dr. El-Wash for providing the materials and allowing this research to be carried out in his laboratory.

REFERENCES

Allard, R. W. (1999). Principles of Plant Breeding, 2nd edition, New York, John Wiley and Sons, U.S.A.

Amudha, K., K. Thiyagarajan, S. Robin, S. J. K. Prince, R. Poornima and K. K. Suji. 2010. Heterosis under aerobic condition in hybrid rice. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 1: 4, 769-775.
 Atkins, J.G., A. L. Robert, C. R. Adair, K. Goto, T. Kozako, R. Yanagida, Y. Yamada and S. Matsumoto (1967). An international set of rice varieties for differentiating races of *Pyricularia oryzae*. *Phytopathology*, 57: 298-301.

- Ballini, E.I.; J.B. Morel; G. Droc; A. Price; B. Courtois; J.L. Nottoghem and D. Tharreau. (2008). A genome-wide meta-analysis of rice blast resistance genes and quantitative trait loci provides new insights into partial and complete resistance. *Mol. Plant Microbe In.*, 21, 859–868.
- Basbag, S., R. Ekinçi and O. Gencer (2007). Combining ability and heterosis for earliness characters in line x tester population of (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Hereditas*. 144: 185-190.
- Butany, W.T. (1961). Mass emasculation in rice. *Intern. Rice Com. Newsletter*, 9:9-13.
- Dewey, D. R. and H. K. Lu (1959). A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of created wheat grass seed production. *Agron. J.* 51: 515 – 518.
- Dudley, J. W. and R. H Moll (1969). Interpretation and use of estimates of heritability and genetic advance in plant breeding. *Crop Science* 9: 257 – 262.
- El Abd, A. B.; A. A. Abd Allah; S. M. Shehata; A. S. M. Abd El-Lateef and B. A. Zayed (2007). Heterosis and combining ability for yield and its components and some root characters in rice under water stress conditions. *Proc. Fifth Plant Breeding Conference*, May 27. Egypt. *J. Plant Breeding, Special Issue*, Vol. 11 (2): 593-609.
- El Sherif, A.I. (2011). Genetic studies on blast disease in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Minofiya. Univ. Egypt.
- El-Malky, M. M., H. H. Nagaty., R.A.Eissa and A.I.A. El-Sherif (2014). Genetic analysis of blast resistance in some Egyptian rice varieties using Monogenic Lines and molecular markers. *Munufiya J. Agric. Res.*, 39: 2(1): 605-619
- El-Malky, M.M., and R. M. Elamawi (2013). Inheritance of some identified blast resistance genes and agronomic traits by utilization of Line x Tester analysis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Agric. Res. Kafr El-Sheikh Univ.*, 39 (4) : 532-568
- El-Malky, M.M.; A. A. Omran and H. H. Nagaty (2008). Genetic analysis and selected lines for blast resistance (*Pyricularia oryzae*) in crosses between American and Egyptian rice varieties. *J. Agric.Res. Kafer El-Sheikh Univ.*, 34(2).
- Falconer, D. S. and F. C. Mackey (1996). *Introduction to Quantitative Genetics*. Fourth Edition. Longman. New York.
- Fehr, W.R. (1987). Heterosis. In: *Principles of cultivar development; Theory and techniques* (Vol. 1) MacMillan Publishing Company, New York, pp: 115.
- Fonsecca, S. and F. L. Patterson (1968). Hybrid vigour in seven-parent diallel cross in common wheat (*T. aestivum* L.). *Crop Sci.* 2: 85-88.
- Fukuta, Y.; M.J.Telebanco-Yanoria; T.Imbe; H.Tsunematsu,H.Kato; T.Ban; L.A.Ebron; N.Hayashi; I.Ando and G.S.Khush (2004). Monogenic lines as an international standard differential set for blast resistance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Rice Gent. Newsl.* 21:70-72.
- Ganapathy, S. and S. K. Ganesh. 2008. Heterosis analysis for physio-morphological traits in relation to drought tolerance in rice. (*Oryza sativa* L.). *World J. of Agric. Sci.* 4(5): 623-629.
- Hammoud, S. A. M. (2004). Inheritance of some quantitative characters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Minofiya Univ., Shibin El-Kom, Egypt.
- Hassan, H. M.; S. A. A. Hammoud; A. M. El-Moghazy and A. B. El-Abd (2013). Combining Ability and Heterosis Estimates from Line X Tester Mating Design under Water Stress Conditions in Rice (*Oryza Sativa* L.). *J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ.*, 4 (8): 1259 - 1280.
- Hinako Takehisa; Michiko Yasuda; Yoshimichi Fukuta; Nobuya Kobayashi; Nagao Hayshi; Hideo Nakashita; Tomoko Abe and Tadashi Sato (2009). Genetic analysis of resistance genes in an Indica-type rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), Kasalath, using Dna markers. *Breeding science* 59: 253-260.
- Imbe, T. (1998). Identification of genes for blast resistance in some Egyptian rice varieties. Personal communication.
- IRRI (International Rice Research Institute) (1996). *Standard evaluation system for rice*. Manila, Philippines, p. 52.
- Jodon, N.E. (1938). Experiments on artificial hybridization of rice. *J. Amer. Soc. Agron.* 30:249-305.
- Kemphorne, O. (1957). *An introduction to genetic statistics*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Khoyumthem, P.; P. R. Sharma; N. B. Singh and M. R. K. Singh (2005). Heterosis for grain yield and its component characters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Environment and Ecology*. 23S (Special 4): 687-691.
- Le Clerg, E. L.; W. H. Leonard and A. G. Clark (1962). *Field Plot Technique*. Burgess Pub. Co., Minnesota, U. S. A.
- Mackill, D. J. and J. M. Bonman (1992). Inheritance of blast resistance in near- isogonics lines of rice. *Phytopathology*, 82: 746-749.
- Manickavelu; A.; R. P. Gnanamalar; N. Nadarajan and S. K. Ganesh (2006) . Genetic variability studies on different genetic populations of rice under drought condition. *Journal of Plant Sciences*. 1: 4, 332-339.
- Mary Jeanie Telebanco-Yanoria; Yohei Koide; Yoshimichi Fukuta; Tokio Imbe; Hiroshi Kato; Hiroshi Tsunematsu and Nobuya Kobayashi. (2010). Development of near-isogenic lines of Japonica-type rice variety Lijiangxintuanheigu as differentials for blast resistance. *Breeding Science* 60: 629–638.
- Mather, K. (1949). *Biometrical genetics*. 3rd ed. Cambridge Univ. press, London, N.Y., 158 p.
- Mather, K. and J. L. Jinks (1982). *Biometrical genetics*. 3rd ed. Cambridge Univ. press, London, N.Y.
- Matzinger, D. F.; T. J. Mannand, and C. C. Cockerham (1962). Diallel cross in *Nicotiana tabacum*. *Crop. Sci.*, 2: 238-286.

- Mushonga, J. N. (1991). Investigation on genetic variability, heterosis, and gene action for yield and some correlated traits in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) Moench grain. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Zimbabwe. Zimbabwe 172 pp.
- Muthuramu, S.; S. Jebaraj; R. Ushakumari and M. Gnanasekaran (2010). Estimation of combining ability and heterosis for drought tolerance in different locations in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 1: 5, 1279-1285.
- Nagaty, H. H.; I.R. Aidy; M.M. El-Malky, and M.I. Sherif (2006). Inheritance of Major Genes for Rice Blast Resistance in Some Egyptian Varieties. IRRRI Japan – JIRCAS Working Report, No.53: 81-86.
- Pan, Q.H.; L. Wang; Ikehashi H., and T. Tanisaka (1996). Identification of a new blast resistance gene in the indica rice variety Kasalath using Japanese differential varieties and isozyme markers. Phytopathology 86: 1071-1075.
- Patel, R.H.; Desai, K.B., Desai; D.T., Desai, R.T., and R.K. Parikh (1983). Lines x testers analysis for combining ability of new restorers in grain yield. Sorghum Newsletter 25:12.
- Pradhan, S. K.; L.K. Boss and J. Meher (2006). Studies on gene action and combining ability analysis in Basmati rice. Journal of Central European Agriculture, Vol. 7 (2): 267-272.
- Prasad, S. R.; R. Prakash; C. M. Sharma and M. F. Itaque (1981). Genotypic and phenotypic variability in quantitative characters in Oats. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science 51: 480-482.
- RRTC (2006). National Rice Research Programme: Final result of 2005 growing season. Sakha, Egypt.
- Sarma, M. K., A. K. Sharma, R. K. Agrawal and A. K. Richharia (2007). Combining ability and gene action for yield and quality traits in Ahu rices of Assam. Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding. 67: 3, 278-280.
- Saxena, R. R.; R. R. Saxena; N. K. Motiramani; S. S. Nichal and R. K. Sahu (2005). Studies on variability, heritability and genetic advance in scented rice germplasm accessions. Journal of Interacademia. 9(4): 487-489.
- Shi, C.; D. Shi and S. Sun. (1994). Inheritance of resistance to rice blast disease in some Japonicas. IRRN Vol. 19: no: 12-13.
- Soroush, H. R. and A. Moumeni (2006). Genetic dissection of some important agronomic characters in rice using line x tester analysis. Journal of Science and Technology of Agriculture and Natural Resources. 10(1): 177-187.
- Telebanco-Yanoria, M. J.; T. Imbe; H. Kato; H. Tsunematsu; L. A. Ebron; C. M. Vera Cruz; N. Kobayashi and Y. Fukuta (2008). AS of standard differential blast isolates (*Magnaporthe grisea* (Hebert) Barr.) from the Philippines for rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) resistance. JARQ 42:23-34.
- Viswanathan Satheesh and K. Thiyagarajan (2008). Combining ability studies for yield and yield components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). International Journal of Plant Sciences (Muzaffamagar). 3: 1, 61-68.
- Wricke, H. and W. E. Weber (1986). Quantitative genetics and selection in plant breeding. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter and Co.
- Wynne, J. C.; D. A. Emery and P. W. Rice (1970). Combining ability estimates in (*Archis hypogea* L.) II- Field performance of F1 hybrids. Crop Sc., 10(15): 713-715.
- Yohei K.; N. Kobayashi; D. Xu1 and Y. Fukuta (2009). Resistance Genes and Selection DNA Markers for Blast Disease in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). JARQ 43 (4), 255-280.
- Zeinab Montazeri; N. B. Jelodar and N. Bagheri (2014). Genetic dissection of some important agronomic traits in rice using line x tester method. International Journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research, 2 (1): 181 -191.

تحديد جينات المقاومة لمرض اللفحة في الأرز باستخدام تحليل السلالة X الكشاف محمد محمد المالكي¹، حماده محمد حسن¹، إيهاب ربيع متولي¹ و عادل عطيه حديقه¹ ¹ قسم بحوث الأرز- معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية- مركز البحوث الزراعية- الجيزة- مصر. ² قسم علم النبات- كلية الزراعة- جامعة قناة السويس- اسماعيلية- مصر.

أجريت هذه الدراسة في قسم بحوث الأرز -سحا -كفر الشيخ - مصر، خلال مواسم ٢٠١٤، ٢٠١٥ و ٢٠١٦. استخدمت أربعة تراكيب وراثية وهي: سحا ١٠١، سحا ١٠٢، سحا ١٠٣ و سحا ١٠٤ كسلالات وأربعة أصناف أرز (وحيدة الجين للفة) وهي: IRBL3-CP4، IRBLKS-S، IRBL5-M و IRBL7-M ككشافات والتي تحمل الجينات المعروفة لمرض اللفحة (Pi7 (t) و Pi3، Pi5(t) و Pik-S). وذلك بهدف دراسة توارث المقاومة لمرض لفحة الأوراق في الأرز والمتسبب عن الفطر *Magnaporthe grisea* أظهرت النتائج تحت الظروف الحقلية أن الأصناف سحا ١٠٢ و سحا ١٠٣ كانت مقاومة للفة تحت ظروف المواقع الثلاث سحا، جميزة وزرزورا، بينما كانا الصنفين سحا ١٠١ و سحا ١٠٤ حساسين للإصابة تحت ظروف نفس المواقع. أيضا كانت سلالات الأرز وحيدة الجين IRBL3-CP4، IRBLKS-S، IRBL5-M و IRBL7-M مقاومة للفة تحت ظروف الإصابة الطبيعية. على الجانب الآخر أوضحت البيانات أن الصنفين سحا ١٠٢ و سحا ١٠٣ كانا مقاومين للفة لسلالات الفطر الخمسة المستخدمة ماعدا سلالة الفطر IB-45 كانا حساسين لها. بينما الصنفين المصريين سحا ١٠١ و سحا ١٠٤ كانا حساسين للإصابة لسلالات الخمسة للفطر. بينما أوضحت النتائج أن السلالات وحيدة الجين للفة IRBL3-CP4، IRBLKS-S و IRBL5-M والتي تحمل جينات المقاومة (Pi3، Pi5(t) و Pik-S) كانت مقاومة لكل سلالات الفطر ماعدا سلالة الفطر EG-5 كانت حساسة لها. أوضحت النتائج أن ستة جينات رئيسية Pi-i، Pi-sh، Pi5(t)، Pi3، Pik-S و Pi7 (t) كانت فعالة تحت الظروف المصرية واستطاعت أن تحسن جينات المقاومة لمرض اللفحة في برنامج التربية. نتج التوريت لمقاومة اللفة باستخدام ستة عشر تراكيب وراثية من الجيل الأول والجيل الثاني أوضحت أن جميع تركيب الجيل الأول كانت مقاومة، بينما أعطي الجيل الانعزالي ثمانية مجاميع أظهرت مقاومة وعدم مقاومة أربعة هجن اعطت نسب انعزالات ١٥ مقاوم إلى ١ حساس، بينما نسبة الانعزال للأربعة هجن الأخرى كانت ٣ مقاوم إلى ١ حساس للصفات المحصولية، أوضح تحليل التباين اختلافات معنوية لمعظم التراكيب الوراثية السلالات، الكشافات، تفاعل السلالة X الكشاف لصفات مرض اللفحة، نضج المحصول، ارتفاع النبات، طول ووزن السنبل، مساحة ورقة العلم، عدد السنايل/نبات، عدد الفروع الأولية/سنبل، وزن ال ١٠٠٠ حبة والنسبة المئوية للعقم موضحة أن التراكيب الوراثية تمتلك تنوع جيني واسع للصفات المدروسة، فضلا عن ذلك اختلافات وراثية ترجع لتفاعل السلالة X الكشاف للصفات المذكورة سابقا موضحة أهمية كلا من التفاعل الجيني المضيف وغير المضيف في توريت هذه الصفات. وجدت اختلافات معنوية مرغوبة لقوة الهجين مقارنة بمتوسط الأبوين والاب الأفضل في الهجين سحا ١٠٣ x IRBLKS-S كفضل التراكيب لتحسين صفات المقاومة لمرض اللفحة، ارتفاع النبات، مساحة ورقة العلم، عدد السنايل/نبات والنسبة المئوية للعقم. إضافة إلى ذلك كان الهجين سحا ١٠٢ x IRBLKS-S أفضل التراكيب الوراثية لصفات التبريد في النضج، القصر، مساحة ورقة العلم، زيادة عدد السنايل/نبات وزيادة وزن ال ١٠٠٠ حبة، وعلية من الممكن استخدامه في برنامج التربية لتحسين هذه الصفات في الأرز.