Determination of Phytochemicals and Antibacterial Activity of Some Medicinal Plants Against Pathogenic Bacteria .

Aida, H. Afify; F. I. A. Hauka and Amany K. E. El-Namla Microbiology Dept., Fac. Agric., Mansoura Univ., El-Mansoura, Egypt



ABSTRACT

The present work was achieved to find the active chemical constituents and to evaluate the antimicrobial activity of some medicinal plant extracts on six pathogenic bacteria include; *Escherichia coli, Salmonella* sp., *Shigella flexneri, Enterobacter sakazakii, Staphylococcus aureus* and *Bacillus cereus* by agar well diffusion method. A qualitative phytochemical analysis was performed for the detection of alkaloids, saponins, terpenes, flavonoids, tannins and glycosides. The results revealed that *Rosmarinus officinalis* (rosemary), *Citrus sinensis* (orange peel), *Eucalyptus globulus* (camphor), *Psidium guajava* (guava), *Matricaria chamomilla* (chamomile), *Allium cepa* (onion), *Allium sativum* (garlic) and *Nigella sativa* (black seed) contain alkaloids, flavonoids, tannins, terpenes, saponins and glycosides. Also the plant extracts of both rosemary, orange peel, camphor, guajava, showed highest significant effect against all tested pathogenic bacteria followed by chamomile, onion and garlic showed lowest significant effect but black seed showed no effect. *Salmonella* sp. exhibited resistance to most plant extracts. This study revealed the presence of bioactive compounds in these plants which have antibacterial properties, can be useful as an alternative antimicrobial agent for treatment infectious diseases and in pharmaceutical industries.

Keywords: Phytochemical analysis – plant extracts – pathogenic bacteria – antibacterial activity.

ITRODUCTION

There has been an increase in the number of poisoning outbreaks caused by food-borne pathogenic bacteria. Diseases are the major causes of death in the developing countries. The extensive use of the antibiotics to treatment these diseases has led to the emergence of multidrug resistance (Westh et al., 2004). Bacterial infectious diseases represent an important cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Bacterial resistance to antibiotic is becoming increasingly common (Lesse, 1995). The increased usage of specific antimicrobial correlates with the increased levels of bacterial resistance to those agents (Mordi and Erah, 2006). There has been increasing incidence of multiple resistances in pathogenic bacteria attribute to the indiscriminate use of commercial antimicrobial drugs for treatment diseases (Shareef, 2011), therefore recently research toward to develop alternative drugs from medicinal plants for cure infectious diseases. Drugs from the plants are easily available, less expensive, safe, efficient and rarely have side effects. Plants are rich in a wide variety of secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, tannins, terpenoids and flavonoids which exhibited the antimicrobial properties for treatment of a wide range of diseases (Cowan, 1999). Also plant contain some organic compounds which have physiological action on the human body and these bioactive compounds include tannins, alkaloids, carbohydrates, terpenoids, steroids and flavonoids (Edoga et al., 2005). These compounds are synthesized by primary or secondary metabolism of organisms. Secondary metabolities are chemically taxonomically extremely diverse compounds obscure function. They are widely used in the human therapy, veterinary, agriculture (Vasu et al., 2009). Plant products have been part of phytomedicines since time immemorial. This can be derived from barks, leaves, flowers, roots, fruits, seeds (Criagg and David, 2001). The chemical constituents of plants is desirable because such information will be value for synthesis of complex chemical substances (Mojab et al., 2003; Parekh and Chanda, 2007, 2008). Herbs and spices have many phytochemicals which are sources of natural antioxidant such as flavonoids, phenolic, diterpenes, tannins and phenolic acid. These compounds have antioxidant, putrefaction and anticancer properties (Chitravadivu *et al.*, 2009). These substances have been used as food, medicine and having medicinal value have been extensively used for treating various disease conditions. Herbs being easily available to human beings, have medicinal properties (Perumal and Krishnakone, 2007). Extraction of bioactive compounds from medicinal plants permits of demonstration of their physiological activities, lead to the synthesis of a more potent drug with reduced toxicity.

The aim of present work to determine the phytochemical analysis and antibacterial activity of some plant extracts against pathogenic bacteria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pathogenic bacteria : Six bacterial species among Gram negative such as *Salmonella* sp., *Shigella flexneri* and *Enterobacter sakazakii* and Gram positive such as *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Bacillus cereus* were used in this work. These microbes were obtained from faculty of Medicine, Mansoura University and Agricultural Research Center (ARC), Giza, Egypt.

Collection and extraction of plants: Eight plants such as Rosmarinus officinalis (leaves), Citrus sinensis (peels), Eucalyptus globulus (leaves), Psidium juagava (leaves), Matricaria chamomilla (flowers), Allium cepa (bulbs), Allium sativum (cloves) and Nigella sativa (seeds) were collected and purchased from the market of Mansoura City. Each part cut into pieces, dried under shaded condition at room temperature and then powdered. The powder was macerated with four solvents (water, methanol, ethanol and acetone) for two days. The mixture was filtered using centrifuge. The filtrates were evaporated in a rotary evaporator at 45°C to concentrate and preserved in refrigerator at 4°C untile further use (Ababutain 2011)

Qualtitative phytochemical analysis: The extracts were tested for the presence of terpenes, alkaloids, flavonoids, tannins, saponinis and glycosides compounds by using qualtitative analysis according to Harborne (1988).

Preparation of bacterial cultures: Loopful of stock cultures were transferred into test tubes contain nutrient broth and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The bacterial culture was enumerated by using the serial dilution method. Final concentration was 10^{6} colony forming units (cfu / ml) according to NCCLS (1999).

Antibacterial assay: All the plant extracts were studied to ascertain their antibacterial activity against pathogenic bacteria by using the well diffusion agar method (Araujo et al., 2003). One ml of active bacterial culture containing (10^6 cfu) was poured to Petri dishes containing nutrient agar. Well was made in nutrient agar plate by sterile cork borer (9 mm). 0.1 of each of each concentration was placed in the well. Also 0.1 ml of each solvent without bacteria was placed in the well as a control, then the plates were incubated at 37° C for 24 h. The antimicrobial activity of the different extracts was determined by measuring the diameter of zone of inhibition (ZI) exhibited by the extracts compared with control.

Statistical analysis: Using SAS (2001) and Dancan (1955) numerical data collected were statistically analyzed for analysis of variance and least significant difference at propability (P) level of ≤ 0.05 .

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phytochemical analysis : The phytochemical constituents of eight medicinal plants were summarized in Table (1). From the phytochemical screening, terpenes, flavonoids, flavonoids and glycosides were found in all tested plant extracts. Alkaloids were observed in different plant extracts except the aqueous extract of both camphor and guava also the acetone extract of rosemary did not have alkaloids, while alkaloids did not found in all different extracts of onion and black seed. Saponins were absent in all extracts of orange peel, chamomile, onion and garlic but they were present in the methanol and ethanol extracts of rosemary, also saponins were present in the methanol, ethanol and acetone extracts of camphor and guava, all plant extracts of black seed possess saponins.

Antibacterial activity : From the results of antimicrobial screening are shown in Tables (2-8).

The antibacterial activity of ethanol extract of Rosamarinus officinalis in Table (2) showed highest effect with non significant difference between methanol and acetone extracts against E. coli but the aqueous extract showed lowest significant effect. The methanol and acetone extracts had high effect with non significant difference between them against Salmonella sp., while the aqueous and ethanol extracts exhibited non effective. The acetone extract was highest inhibition zone with non significant difference between all extracts against Shigella flexneri and Enterobeter sakazakii but the aqueous extract showed lowest significant effect

with *Shigella flexneri*, ethanol extract showed lowest significant effect against *Enterobacter sakazakii*. The methanol extract exhibited the highest significant effect with *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Bacillus cereus*, but the aqueous extract showed lowest significant effect. Also highest effect was at concentration 400 mg/ml, lowest significant effect was in 100 mg/ml .

Table 1. Phytochemical constituents of eight medicinal plants

Plant extracts	Compounds	Terpenes	Alkaloids	Flavonoids	Tannins	Saponnins	Glycosidess
	aqueous extract	+	+	+	+	-	+
Rosmarinus	methanol extract	+	+	+	+	+	+
officinalis	ethanol extract	+	+	+	+	+	+
	acetone extract	+	-	+	+	-	+
	aqueous extract	+	+	+	+	-	+
	methanol extract	+	+	+	+	-	+
Citrus sinensis	ethanol extract	+	+	+	+	-	+
	acetone extract	+	+	+	+	-	+
	aqueous extract	+	-	+	+	-	+
Eucalyptus	methanol extract	+	+	+	+	+	+
globulus	ethanol extract	+	+	+	+	+	+
	acetone extract	+	+	+	+	+	+
	aqueous extract	+	-	+	+	-	+
D-: 1:	methanol extract	+	+	+	+	+	+
Psidium guajava	ethanol extract	+	+	+	+	+	+
	acetone extract	+	+	+	+	+	+
	aqueous extract	+	+	+	+	-	+
Matricaria	methanol extract	+	+	+	+	-	+
chamomilla	ethanol extract	+	+	+	+	-	+
	acetone extract	+	+	+	+	-	+
	aqueous extract	+	-	+	+	-	+
4 77 .	methanol extract	+	_	+	+	_	+
Allium cepa	ethanol extract	+	_	+	+	_	+
	acetone extract	+	_	+	+	_	+
	aqueous extract	+	+	+	+	-	+
A 11:	methanol extract	+	+	+	+	-	+
Allium sativum	ethanol extract	+	+	+	+	-	+
	acetone extract	+	+	+	+	-	+
	aqueous extract	+	-	+	+	+	+
37: 77	methanol extract	+	_	+	+	+	+
Nigella sativa	ethanol extract	+	-	+	+	+	+
	acetone extract	+	-	+	+	+	+
-	+ = present	- =	abs	ent			

Table 2. Effect of Rosmarinus officinalis extracts against pathogenic bacteria (diameter of inhibition zone mm)

Dlam4	Pathogenic bacteria							
Plant extracts	E. coli	Salmonella sp.	Shigella flexneri	En. sakazakii	St. aureus	B. cereus		
Aqueous extract	9.67 ^b	0.00^{b}	5.67 ^d	8.33 °		6.33 °		
Methanol extract	17.67ª	20.3ª	15.67 °	17.67 ^b	18.00 a	20.22ª		
Ethanol extract	18.67ª	0.00^{b}	17.33 ^b	7.67 °	17.33 ab	16.67 ^b		
Acetone extract	18.00 ^a	20.0 a	18.33 ^a	19.33 ^a	15.33 ^b	16.67 ^b		
LSD	1.104	1.517	0.99	1.315	2.068	1.444		
Concentra	ations m							
100	14.75°	8.75 ^b	11.75 b	11.75 ^в	13.00 b	13.50 b		
200	15.75 ^b	10.0 b	12.75 b	12.75 ^b	15.25 a	14.25 ^b		
400	17.50 ^a	11.50 ^a	15.25 a	15.25 a	16.50 a	17.17 a		
LSD	0.95	1.313	0.86	1.139	1.791	1.251		

Mean in each column in each followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to LSD test (p = 0.05).

The antibacterial activity in Table (3) indicated that *Citrus sinensis* had highest significant effect in their ethanolic extract against *E. coli*, *Salmonella* sp., *Enterobacter sakazakii* and *Bacillus cereus*, while the

aqueous extract showed lowest significant effect, but the aqueous extract did not effect on Salmonella sp. The methanol and ethanol extracts showed highest effect with non significant difference between them against Shigella flexneri, while the aqueous extract showed lowest significant effect, The methanol extract showed highest significant effect with Staphylococcus aureus but the aqueous extract showed lowest significant effect. Also highest effect was at concentration 400 mg/ml, lowest significant effect was in 100 mg/ml.

Table 3. Effect of *Citrus sinensis* extracts against pathogenic bacteria (diameter of inhibition zone mm)

Dland		Pa	athogeni	ic bacteri	a	
Plant extracts	E. coli	Salmonella sp.		En. sakazakii	St.	B. cereus
Aqueous extract	13.67	0.00^{d}	10.33 ^b	13.67 ^b	12.33°	6.67°
Methanol extract	14.44	18.0 ^{ab}	18.00 ^a	16.67ª	19.78ª	15.00 ^b
Ethanol extract	20.00	21.00 ^a	18.00 ^a	17.33 ^a	17.33 ^b	17.00 ^a
Acetone extract	16.00 ^t	10.0°	17.67ª	15.00 ^b	14.33°	16.67 ^a
LSD	1.281	2.435	1.577	1.606	2.056	1.267
Concentra	tions m	g/ml				
100	12.58	9.25 ^b	13.25°	11.50°	11.00^{c}	10.50^{c}
200	17.00^{t}	12.75 ^a	16.00^{b}	15.75 ^b	15.08^{b}	14.00^{b}
400	18.50	14.75 ^a	18.75 ^a	19.75 ^a	21.75 ^a	17.00^{a}
LSD	1.109	2.109	1.348	1.391	1.780	1.0977

Mean in each column in each followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to LSD test (p=0.05) .

Data from results in Table (4) showed that the aqueous extract of Eucalyptus globulus showed highest significant effect with E. coli while the acetone extract showed lowest significant effect. Salmonella sp. was resistance for all extracts. The methanol extract exhibited highest significant activity against Shigella Enterobacter sakazakii, Staphylococcus aureus and Bacillus cereus, but the ethanol extract significant effect against Shigella showed lowest flexneri and Staphylococcus aureus The acetone extract showed lowest significant effect with Enterobacter sakazakii while the aqueous showed lowest significant effect with Bacillus cereus. Also highest effect was at concentration 400 mg/ml, lowest significant effect was in 100 mg/ml.

Table 4. Effect of *Eucalyptus globulus* extracts against pathogenic bacteria (diameter of inhibition zone mm)

Plant	Pathogenic bacteria						
extracts	E. coli	Salmonella sp.	O	En. sakazakii	St. aureus	B. cereus	
Aqueous extract	16.33 ^a	0.00	14.22ª	14.00 ^b	12.78 ^{ab}	9.33°	
Methano l extract	13.33 ^b	0.00	15.00 ^a	17.11 ^a	14.67ª	13.33ª	
Ethanol extract	15.0 ^{ab}	0.00	10.33 ^b	14.33 ^b	11.00 ^b	11.67 ^b	
Acetone extract	10.67°	0.00	11.67 ^b	12.00°	13.67 ^a	10.56 ^{bc}	
LSD	1.961	0.00	1.618	1.699	2.237	1.593	
Concentr	ations m	ng/ml					
100	11.25°	0.00	10.00°	11.00°	9.25^{c}	7.50°	
200	13.75 ^a	0.00	12.00^{b}	14.08^{b}	13.08^{b}	10.92^{b}	
400	14.75 ^a	0.00	16.42 ^a	18.00^{a}	16.75 ^a	15.25 ^a	
LSD	1.698	0.00	1.402	1.4719	1.937	1.379	
			0 11 11				

Mean in each column in each followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to LSD test (p=0.05) .

The present finding in Table (5) revealed that the methanol and aqueous extracts of Psidium guajava exhibited the best antibacterial activity with non significant different between them against E. coli, while the ethanol extract showed the lowest significant effect. The ethanol extract showed highest significant effect against Salmonella sp. while the acetone extract showed the lowest significant effect, the aqueous extract did not show any effect. The ethanol and aqueous extracts showed highest effect with non significant difference betweem them against Shigella flexneri. but the methanol and acetone extracts showed the lowest effect with non significant different between them. The aqueous extract showed highest significant effect against Enterobacter sakazakii but the acetone extract showed the lowest significant effect. The methanol extract showed highest significant effect against Staphylococcus aureus but the acetone extract showed the lowest effect. The methanol extract showed highest significant effect against Bacillus cereus but the aqueous extract showed the lowest significant against Bacillus cereus. Also highest effect was at concentration 400 mg/ml, lowest significant effect was in 100 mg/ml.

Table 5. Effect of *Psidium guajava* extracts against pathogenic bacteria (diameter of inhibition zone mm)

Dland	Pathogenic bacteria								
Plant extracts	E. coli	Salmonella sp.	Shigella flexneri	En. sakazakii	St. aureus	B. cereus			
Aqueous extract	15.67ª	0.00^{d}	14.44 ^a	15.67 ^a	14.00 ^{ab}	10.67°			
Methanol extract	16.67ª	15.33 ^b	12.00 ^b	14.33 ^{ab}	15.00 ^a	15.22ª			
Ethanol extract	11.67 ^b	18.78 ^a	14.89ª	13.00 ^b	13.00b°	12.33 ^b			
Acetone extract	13.00 ^b	9.67°	12.00 ^b	11.56 ^c	12.33°	12.00 ^b			
LSD	1.486	1.137	1.501	1.380	1.309	1.395			
Concentra	ations m								
100	11.75c	9.75 ^b	10.92 ^b	11.50°		10.00^{c}			
200	14.00^{b}	10.58 ^b	14.00^{a}	13.67 ^b	13.50^{b}	12.42^{b}			
400	17.00^{a}	12.50 ^a	15.08^{a}	15.75 ^a	15.75 ^a	15.25^{a}			
LSD	1.287	0.98	1.300	1.197	1.134	1.177			

Mean in each column in each followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to LSD test (p=0.05) .

From the Table (6) it could be seen that the acetone extract showed the highest significant activity against *E. coli* and *Enterobacter sakazakii* but the aqueous extract showed lowest significant effective. The methanol extract showed the highest significant effect against *Salmonella* sp., *Shigella flexneri Staphylococcus aureus* and *Bacillus cereus*, but the aqueous extract showed lowest significant effect. Also highest effect was at concentration 400 mg/ml, lowest significant effect was in 100 mg/ml .

The antibacterial efficacy of the ethanol and methanol extracts in Table (7) were the highest activity with non significant between them against *E. coli* but the acetone extract showed lowest significant effect. The methanol and acetone extracts showed the highest effect with non significant difference between them against *Salmonella* sp, but the ethanol extract showed lowest significant effect. The methanol and aceton extracts showed highest effect with non significant difference between them against *Shigella flexneri* and

Enterobacter sakazakii, while ethanol extract showed lowest significant effect with Shigella flexneri, non significant effect with Enterobacter sakazakii. The acetone extract showed the highest significant effect against Staphylococcus and Bacillus cereus but the methanol extract showed lowest significant effect. The aqueous extract showed no effect against all tested bacteria. Also highest effect was at concentration 400 mg/ml, lowest significant effect was in 100 mg/ml.

Table 6. Effect of *Matricaria chamomilla* extracts against pathogenic bacteria (diameter of inhibition zone mm)

Dland	Pathogenic bacteria						
Plant extracts	E. coli	Salmonella sp.		En. sakazakii	St. aureus	B. cereus	
Aqueous extract	`1.67°	4.00°	2.33°	5.33°	1.67°	0.33°	
Methanol extract	11.33 ^b	14.11 ^a	11.44 ^a	14.33 ^a	16.33ª	12.33ª	
Ethanol extract	12.6 ^{ab}	$0.00^{\rm d}$	8.33 ^b	11.67 ^b	11.00 ^b	10.33 ^b	
Acetone extract	13.33ª	10.33 ^b	10.67ª	15.00 ^a	15.00 ^a	10.00 ^b	
LSD	1.591	1.497	1.547	1.172	1.486	1.236	
Concentrat	ions mg	/ ml					
100	7.25^{c}	5.58°	6.25°	10.00^{c}	8.75^{c}	6.75°	
200	9.75 ^b	7.00^{b}	8.33^{b}	11.50 ^b	10.75^{b}	8.00^{b}	
400	12.25 ^a	8.75^{a}	10.00^{a}	13.25 ^a	13.50^{a}	10.00^{a}	
LSD	1.378	1.296	1.340	1.015	1.287	1.071	

Mean in each column in each followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to LSD test (p = 0.05). Table 7. Effect of Allium cepa extracts against pathogenic bacteria diameter of inhibition

zone mm)

		<i>)</i>				
Plant extracts	E. coli	Salmonella sp.	Shigella	bacteria En. sakazakii	St. aureus	B. cereus
Aqueous extract	0.00^{c}	0.00^{b}	$0.00^{\rm c}$	0.00^{b}	0.00^{c}	$0.00^{\rm c}$
Methanol extract	8.33ª	6.89 ^a	9.67ª	7.78 ^a	7.00 ^b	8.00 ^b
Ethanol extract	8.67ª	0.89^{b}	5.00 ^b	6.67 ^a	7.67 ^{ab}	8.67 ^{ab}
Acetone extract	6.00 ^b	6.67ª	8.67ª	7.44 ^a	8.67ª	9.33ª
LSD	1.261	1.775	1.495	1.559	1.389	1.192
Concentrat						
100	4.50^{b}	2.17 ^b	4.25^{c}	3.50°	4.50^{c}	5.00^{c}
200	5.50^{b}	3.25 ^b	5.75 ^b	$5.00^{\rm b}$	5.75^{b}	6.50^{b}
400	7.25^{a}	5.42 ^a	7.50^{a}	7.92^{a}	7.25^{a}	8.00^{a}
LSD	1.092	1.537	1.278	1.350	1.203	1.032

Mean in each column in each followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to LSD test (p=0.05) .

Data obtained in Table (8) showed that the ethanol and methanol extracts showed the highest effect with non significant difference between them against E. coli, but the acetone extract showed lowest significant effect. The methanol extract showed high significant effect against Salmonella sp., but other extracts showed no effect The methanol extract showed highest effect with non significant effect against Shigella flexneri, Enterobacter sakazakii and Bacillus cereus, but the ethanol extract showed lowest significant effect against Shigella flexneri, the acetone extract showed lowest significant effect against Enterobacter sakazakii and Bacillus cereus. The aceton showed highest significant effect against Staphylococcus aureus while methanol extract showed lowest significant effect. The aqueous extract showed no effect on all bacteria. Also highest effect was at concentration 400 mg/ml, lowest significant effect was in 100 mg/ml.

Table 8. Effect of *Allium sativum* extracts against pathogenic bacteria (diameter of inhibition zone mm)

		Do	thogonia	bacteria		
Plant extracts	E. coli	Salmonella sp.	Shigella		St. aureus	B. cereus
Aqueous extract	0.00^{c}	0.00^{b}	$0.00^{\rm c}$	$0.00^{\rm c}$	0.00c	$0.00^{\rm c}$
Methanol extract	9.33ª	9.33 ^a	9.67ª	7.67 ^a	7.67b	11.44ª
Ethanol extract	9.44 ^a	0.00^{b}	2.78°	$0.00^{\rm c}$	0.00c	9.78 ^b
Acetone extract	7.33 ^b	0.00^{b}	6.22 ^b	3.44 ^b	9.33a	9.33 ^b
LSD	1.306	1.905	3.277	1.577	1.577	1.133
Concentrat	ions mg	g/ml				
100	5.00^{b}	1.50 ^b	3.75^{a}	1.83 ^b	3.00^{b}	6.75^{b}
200	6.75^{a}	1.75 ^b	5.00^{a}	$2.50^{\rm b}$	4.50^{a}	7.58^{b}
400	7.83^{a}	3.75 ^a	5.25 ^a	4.00^{a}	5.25 ^a	8.58^{a}
LSD	1.320	1.650	2.838	1.104	1.365	.098

Mean in each column in each followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to LSD test (p = 0.05).

The results obtained in this study revealed that the identified phytochemical compounds may be the bioactive constituents responsible for the efficacy of the tested plant. These compounds which found in the plants have antimicrobial activity and are known to exhibit medicinal physiological activities (Sofowra, 1993). Several phenolic compounds like tannins are potent inhibitors of many hydrolytic enzymes such as pectolytic macerating enzymes used by pathogenic bacteria. Also many plants which contain non toxic glycosides can get hydrolyzed to release phenolic are toxic to pathogenic bacteria (Aboaba and Efuwape, 2001).

Their inhibitory effect on the tested bacteria attribute to the crude form which contained the phytochemicals in large quantities, composition of bacterial cell wall and type of solvents which used in the extraction the bioactive compounds from the plants such as phenolic compound. Shan et al. (2005) reported that methanol extract of rosemary leaves and stem contain a phenolic concentration of 5.07 gm gallic acid (dry weight) but the concentration in water extract 185 mg gallic acid (Dorman et al., 2003). The polarity of the solvents play role in the extraction of natural products from plants and chemical constituents are partially separated attribute to their polarity and the polarity of the solvent used. Alkaloids are used in medicine as anesthetic agents also the presence of saponin in plant responsible for tonic, stimulating activities and antiinflammation.

The rosemary and orange peel were greater antibacterial activity against all pathogenic bacteria while *Salmonella* sp. was resistance, this resistant due to the presence of their cell wall lipopolysaccharaide. Followed by camphor and guava. Chamomile showed moderate effect. Garlic and onion exhibited weakest activity. Black seed did not inhibit the growth on all bacteria. The medicinal properties of the plants could be attributed to the presence one or more of plant natural products.

Rosemary have a potent antioxidant and antibacterial activity (Erkan *et al.*, 2009) due to flavonoids and hydroxyl group which are phenolic compounds. These possess biological properties, have antimicrobial properties against microorganisms. The presence of active compounds in orange peels makes it useful in folk medicine to treat many diseases therefore orange peel used for treatment infection disease (McGravey and Croteau, 1995). D- limonene terpene derived from the peels of citrus fruits it is a cyclic monoterpene. Also citrus contain flavonoids which are hydroxylated phenolic substance have antimicrobial and antioxidant properties.

Camphor have antimicrobial activity because it contain bioactives such as comarins, essential oils, flavonoids, triterpenes, triterpenes and ellagitannins are useful for treatment diseases. Guava leaf extracts contain several compounds such as comarins, essential oils, phenolic compound, glycosides, flavonoids, triterpenes and ellagitannins. These compounds showed antimicrobial and antioxidant properties. Tannin which found in guava is phenolic compound can be soluble in various solvent such as water, alcohol and acetone. It as natural defense mechanism against microorganisms by binds with protein, complex with polysaccharide (Chung et al., 1988). Chamomile have antimicrobial activity attributed to the presence of tannins which are water soluble polyphenols (Cinco et al., 1983). Chamomile used for treating colic, cloup convulsions, fiver, diarrhea, indigestion insomania, infantile, convulsions, toothache and bleeding. Garlic and onion extracts showed weakest effect against all tested bacteria may be related to the methods extraction of secondary metabolism and its concentration. Many studies reported that garlic has antimicrobial activity against Gram negative and Gram positive bacteria (Ross et al., 2001). Azu and Onyeagba (2007) reported that garlic is more effective than onion and may be due to the high molecular weight of the onion extract. Black cumin did not effect on all tested bacteria may be presence of polysaccharides in cell wall of gram negative bacteria prevent reaching the active compounds, essential oils and extracts to the cytoplasmic membrane (Duraipandiyan et al., 2006).

The present study provides that solvent extract of rosemary, orange peel, guava, camphor, chamomile, onion, garlic and black seed contains medicinally bioactive compounds and they can use as traditional medicine for treatment of various diseases.

REFERENCE

- Ababutain, I.M (2011). Antimicrobial activity of ethanolic extracts from some medicinal plant. Australian J. Basic and Appl. Sci. 5 (11): 678 683.
- Azu, N.C and Onyeagba, R.A (2007). Antimicrobial properties of extract of *Allium cepa* (onion) *Zingiber officinale* (ginger) on *E. coli, Salmonella typhi* and *B. subtilus*. The interned J. tropical medicine.3.2.

- Chung, K.T; Wong, T.Y; Wei, C.I; Huang, Y.W and Lin, Y (1988). Tannins and human health: a review. Crit. Rev. Food. Sci. Nutr. 38 (6): 421 464.
- Cinco, M ; Banfi, E and Tubaro, A (1983). A microbiological survey on the activity of a hydroalcoholic extract of chamomile. Int J Drug Res. 145-151 .
- Cowan, M.M (1999). Plant products as antimicrobial agents. Clin Microbiol Rev. 12 (4): 564 582.
- Criagg, G.M and David, J.N (2001). Natural product drug discovery in the next millennium. J. Pharm. Biol. 39:8-17 .
- Dorman, H.J.D ; Peltoketo, A ; Hiltunen, R and Tikkanen, M.J (2003). Characterisation of the antioxidant properties to de-odourised aqueous extracts from selected amiaceae herbs. J. Food Chem. 83: 255 262.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple range multiple F-test. Biometrics,11: 1-42
- Duraipandiyan, V; Ayyanar, M and Igancimuthu, S(2006).

 Antimicrobial activity of some ethnomedicinal plants used by paliyar Tribe from Tamil Nadu, India. BMC Complement Altern Med. 6:35
- Edoga, H.O; Okwu, D.E and Mbaebie, B.O (2005). Phytochemicals constituents of some Nigerian medicinal plants. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 4 (7):685 688.
- Erkan, N; Ayranic, G and Ayranci, E (2008). Antioxidant of rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis* L) extract, black seed (*Nigella sativa* L) essential oil, carnosic acid, rosmarinic acid and SESAMOL. J. Food Chem. 110: 76 82.
- Harborne, J.B (1988). Phytochemical methods A Giude to Modern techniques of plants analysis $2^{\rm nd}$ ed. London. Chapman & Hall, 1-226.
- Lesse, A.J (1995). Penicillins and other cell wall active agents. Essentials of pharmacology, WBSanders Co, Philadelphia, 362 373.
- Mojab, F ; Kamalinejad, M ; Ghaderi, N and Vanidipour, H.R (2003). Phytochemical screening of some species of Iranian plants. Iran. J. Pharm. Res. 3:77-82.
- Mordi, R.M and Erah, P.O (2006). Susceptibility of common urinary tract isolates to the commonly used antibiotics in a tertiary hospital in southern Nigeria. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 5 (11): 1067 1071.
- NCCLS (1999). Methods for determining bactericidal activity of antimicrobial agents. Approved guideline, M26-A. National Committee for Clin. Laboratory Standards, Wayne, Pa.
- Parkh, J and Chanda, S (2007). Antibacterial and phytochemical studies on twelve species of Indian medicinal plants. Afr. J. Biomed Res. 10: 175 181.
- Parkh, J and Chanda, S (2008). Phytochemicals screening of some plants from western region of India. Plant Arch. 8:657-662 .
- Perumal, S.R and Gopala, K.P (2007). Current status of herbal and their future perspectives, Nature Precedings .

- Ross, Z.M; O,Gara, E.A; Hill, D.J; Sleightholme, H.V and Maslin, I.J (2001). Antimicrobial properties of garlic oil against human enteric bacteria: Evaluation of methodologies and comparisons with garlic oil sulfides and garlic powder. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67: 475 480.
- SAS (2001). SAS / STAT Guide for personal computer. SAS Inst. Cary. N.C .
- Shan, B; Cai, Y.Z; Sun, M and Corke, H (2005). Antioxidant capacity 26 spice extracts and characterization of their phenolic constituent. J.Agricul and Food Chem. 53: 7749 7759.
- Shareef, A.A (2011). Evaluation of antibacterial activity of essential oils of *Cinnamomum* sp. and *Boswellia* sp. J. Basrah Res. 37 (5): 60 71.

- Sofowra, A (1993). Medicinal plants and traditional and medicines in Africa. Spectrum Books Ltd. Ibadan, Nigeria, 191 289.
- Vasu, K; Goud, J.V Suryam, A; Singara, Chary, M.A (2009). Biomolecular and phytochemical analyses of three aquatic angiosperms. Afr. J. Microbiol. Res. 3 (8): 418 421.
- Westh, H; Zinn, C.S and Rosdahl, V.T (2004). An international multi cancer study of antimicrobial consumption and resistance in *S. aureus* isolates from 15 hospitals in 14 countries. Microbe Drug Resistance, 10: 169 176.

تقدير المكونات الكيميائية والنشاط المضاد للبكتيريا لبعض النباتات الطبية ضد البكتيريا الممرضة . عايدة حافظ عفيفي ، فتحى إسماعيل على حوقه و أماني كمال الدسوقي النملة قسم الميكروبيولوجي كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنصورة المنصورة –مصر

اجريت هذه الدراسة لتقدير المكونات الكيميائية الفعالة والنشاط المصاد للبكتيريا لبعض المستخلصات النباتية على البكتيريا الممرضة وتشمل: Staphylococcus aureus and Bacillus cereus واجرى التحليل الكيميائي بطريقة التحليل الوصفى للكشف عن القلويدات الصابونينات ، التريبينات ، الفلافونيدات ، التانينات و الجليكوسيدات و إختبرت فعالية هذه المستخلصات النباتية بإستخدام طريقة الصابونينات ، الفلافونيدات ، التانينات و الجليكوسيدات و إظهرت التائج الأتى : أظهر التحليل الوصفى للمستخلصات النباتية لكل من الإنتشار في الأجار وذلك بقياس قطر منطقة التثبيط و أظهرت التوم وحبة البركة أنها تحتوى على التريبينات القلويدات الفلافونيدات التائية لكل من التانيات المستخلصات النباتية لحصالبان ، البرتقال ، الكافور والجوافة التنبيريا التمرضة ، بينما أظهرت المستخلصات النباتية لكل من البصل والثوم أقل تأثير معنوى ضد البكتيريا الممرضة ، بينما أظهرت المستخلصات النباتية لكل من البصل والثوم أقل تأثير معنوى ضد البكتيريا ويمكن أستخدامها كعوامل طبيعية بديلة مضادة للميكروبات الممرضة لمعالجة الأمراض مواد فعالة هامه لها خواص مضادة للبكتيريا ويمكن أستخدامها كعوامل طبيعية بديلة مضادة الميكروبات الممرضة لمعالجة الأمراض وكذلك في الصناعات الدوائية.